

# STA286 Lecture 09

Neil Montgomery

Last edited: 2017-01-30 12:35

## conditional distributions

Consider again the gas pipe joint (and marginal) distributions:

Y	X			Marginal
	1	1.5	1.75	
0.5	0.075	0.100	0.150	0.325
1	0.110	0.080	0.090	0.280
2	0.160	0.140	0.095	0.395
Marginal	0.345	0.320	0.335	1.000

## conditional distributions

Consider again the gas pipe joint (and marginal) distributions:

Y	X			Marginal
	1	1.5	1.75	
0.5	0.075	0.100	0.150	0.325
1	0.110	0.080	0.090	0.280
2	0.160	0.140	0.095	0.395
Marginal	0.345	0.320	0.335	1.000

What are the probabilities of  $X$  taking on any of its three possible values *given*  $Y = 2$ , say.

## conditional distributions

Consider again the gas pipe joint (and marginal) distributions:

Y	X			Marginal
	1	1.5	1.75	
0.5	0.075	0.100	0.150	0.325
1	0.110	0.080	0.090	0.280
2	0.160	0.140	0.095	0.395
Marginal	0.345	0.320	0.335	1.000

What are the probabilities of  $X$  taking on any of its three possible values *given*  $Y = 2$ , say.

$$P(X = 1|Y = 2) = \frac{P(X = 1, Y = 2)}{P(Y = 2)} = \frac{0.16}{0.395}$$

## conditional distributions

Consider again the gas pipe joint (and marginal) distributions:

Y	X			Marginal
	1	1.5	1.75	
0.5	0.075	0.100	0.150	0.325
1	0.110	0.080	0.090	0.280
2	0.160	0.140	0.095	0.395
Marginal	0.345	0.320	0.335	1.000

What are the probabilities of  $X$  taking on any of its three possible values *given*  $Y = 2$ , say.

$$P(X = 1|Y = 2) = \frac{P(X = 1, Y = 2)}{P(Y = 2)} = \frac{0.16}{0.395}$$

$$P(X = 1.5|Y = 2) = \frac{0.14}{0.395} \qquad P(X = 1.75|Y = 2) = \frac{0.095}{0.395}$$

## conditional pmf and conditional density

Given the joint pmf  $p(x, y)$  for  $X$  and  $Y$ , the conditional pmf for  $X$  given  $Y = y$  is:

$$p(x|y) = \frac{p(x, y)}{p_Y(y)},$$

provided  $P(Y = y) > 0$ .

## conditional pmf and conditional density

Given the joint pmf  $p(x, y)$  for  $X$  and  $Y$ , the conditional pmf for  $X$  given  $Y = y$  is:

$$p(x|y) = \frac{p(x, y)}{p_Y(y)},$$

provided  $P(Y = y) > 0$ .

Given the joint density  $f(x, y)$  for  $X$  and  $Y$ , the conditional density for  $X$  given  $Y = y$  is:

$$f(x|y) = \frac{f(x, y)}{f_Y(y)},$$

provided  $f_Y(y) > 0$ .

## conditional density example

Reconsider the two electronic components example:

$$f(x, y) \begin{cases} 2e^{-x-2y} & : x > 0, y > 0 \\ 0 & : \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The marginal density for  $Y$  is  $2e^{-2y}$  on  $y > 0$ .



## conditional density example

Reconsider the two electronic components example:

$$f(x, y) \begin{cases} 2e^{-x-2y} & : x > 0, y > 0 \\ 0 & : \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The marginal density for  $Y$  is  $2e^{-2y}$  on  $y > 0$ .

The conditional density for  $X$  given  $Y = 2$  is:

## conditional density example

Reconsider the two electronic components example:

$$f(x, y) \begin{cases} 2e^{-x-2y} & : x > 0, y > 0 \\ 0 & : \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The marginal density for  $Y$  is  $2e^{-2y}$  on  $y > 0$ .

The conditional density for  $X$  given  $Y = 2$  is:

$$e^{-x} \text{ on } x > 0$$

## conditional density example

Reconsider the two electronic components example:

$$f(x, y) \begin{cases} 2e^{-x-2y} & : x > 0, y > 0 \\ 0 & : \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The marginal density for  $Y$  is  $2e^{-2y}$  on  $y > 0$ .

The conditional density for  $X$  given  $Y = 2$  is:

$$e^{-x} \text{ on } x > 0$$

Heck, the conditional density for  $X$  given  $Y$  equals anything greater than 0 is still always  $e^{-x}$  on  $x > 0$ .

## conditional density example

Reconsider the two electronic components example:

$$f(x, y) \begin{cases} 2e^{-x-2y} & : x > 0, y > 0 \\ 0 & : \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The marginal density for  $Y$  is  $2e^{-2y}$  on  $y > 0$ .

The conditional density for  $X$  given  $Y = 2$  is:

$$e^{-x} \text{ on } x > 0$$

Heck, the conditional density for  $X$  given  $Y$  equals anything greater than 0 is still always  $e^{-x}$  on  $x > 0$ .

That's because  $X$  and  $Y$  have a special relationship... to be revisited.

## conditional density example “uniform on a triangle”

Consider again  $X$  and  $Y$  with the following density.

$$f(x, y) = \begin{cases} 2 & : 0 < x < 1, 0 < y < 1, x + y < 1 \\ 0 & : \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The marginal density for  $X$  was found to be  $f_X(x) = 2x$  for  $0 < x < 1$ .

## conditional density example “uniform on a triangle”

Consider again  $X$  and  $Y$  with the following density.

$$f(x, y) = \begin{cases} 2 & : 0 < x < 1, 0 < y < 1, x + y < 1 \\ 0 & : \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The marginal density for  $X$  was found to be  $f_X(x) = 2x$  for  $0 < x < 1$ .

The conditional density of  $Y$  given  $X = 0.5$  will be 0 when  $y$  is outside  $(0, 0.5)$ .

Otherwise it will be:

$$f(y|x = 0.5) = \frac{2}{2 \cdot 0.5} = 2$$

## conditional density example “uniform on a triangle”

Consider again  $X$  and  $Y$  with the following density.

$$f(x, y) = \begin{cases} 2 & : 0 < x < 1, 0 < y < 1, x + y < 1 \\ 0 & : \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The marginal density for  $X$  was found to be  $f_X(x) = 2x$  for  $0 < x < 1$ .

The conditional density of  $Y$  given  $X = 0.5$  will be 0 when  $y$  is outside  $(0, 0.5)$ .

Otherwise it will be:

$$f(y|x = 0.5) = \frac{2}{2 \cdot 0.5} = 2$$

Unlike marginal densities, conditional densities can sometimes be visualized. They are just “slices” of the joint density.

## independence

In the “two electronic component” example, the conditional density for  $X$  given  $Y = y$  (no matter what  $y > 0$ ) never changes:

$$f(x|y) = f(x)$$

Knowledge of the outcome of  $Y$  doesn't tell you anything about the distribution of  $X$ .



## independence

In the “two electronic component” example, the conditional density for  $X$  given  $Y = y$  (no matter what  $y > 0$ ) never changes:

$$f(x|y) = f(x)$$

Knowledge of the outcome of  $Y$  doesn't tell you anything about the distribution of  $X$ .

In the “uniform on a triangle” example, the conditional density for  $Y$  given  $X = x$  is always going to be (for  $0 < x < 1$ ):

$$f(y|x) = \frac{2}{2x} = \frac{1}{x}$$

for  $0 < y < x$  and 0 otherwise. So knowledge of the outcome of  $X$  tells something about the distribution of  $Y$ .

## independence

In the gas pipes pmf:

$Y$	$X$			Marginal
	1	1.5	1.75	
0.5	0.075	0.100	0.150	0.325
1	0.110	0.080	0.090	0.280
2	0.160	0.140	0.095	0.395
Marginal	0.345	0.320	0.335	1.000

the conditional distributions for  $X$  given  $Y = y$  are all different (as well as those for  $Y$  given  $X = x$ .)

## independence

In the gas pipes pmf:

Y	X			Marginal
	1	1.5	1.75	
0.5	0.075	0.100	0.150	0.325
1	0.110	0.080	0.090	0.280
2	0.160	0.140	0.095	0.395
Marginal	0.345	0.320	0.335	1.000

the conditional distributions for  $X$  given  $Y = y$  are all different (as well as those for  $Y$  given  $X = x$ .)

**Definition:**  $X$  and  $Y$  are *independent* (or  $X \perp Y$ ) if:

$$p(x, y) = p_X(x)p_Y(y) \quad (\text{discrete}) \qquad f(x, y) = f_X(x)f_Y(y) \quad (\text{continuous})$$

## gas pipe diameters and pressures “made” independent

Suppose the marginal distributions are the same. What would the joint pmf have to be?

Y	X			Marginal
	1	1.5	1.75	
0.5				0.325
1				0.280
2				0.395
Marginal	0.345	0.320	0.335	1.000

## gas pipe diameters and pressures “made” independent

Suppose the marginal distributions are the same. What would the joint pmf have to be?

Y	X			Marginal
	1	1.5	1.75	
0.5	$0.345 \cdot 0.325$			0.325
1				0.280
2				0.395
Marginal	0.345	0.320	0.335	1.000

## gas pipe diameters and pressures “made” independent

Suppose the marginal distributions are the same. What would the joint pmf have to be?

Y	X			Marginal
	1	1.5	1.75	
0.5	$0.345 \cdot 0.325$			0.325
1				0.280
2	$0.320 \cdot 0.395$			0.395
Marginal	0.345	0.320	0.335	1.000

## gas pipe diameters and pressures “made” independent

Suppose the marginal distributions are the same. What would the joint pmf have to be?

Y	X			Marginal
	1	1.5	1.75	
0.5	$0.345 \cdot 0.325$			0.325
1	$0.335 \cdot 0.280$			0.280
2	$0.320 \cdot 0.395$			0.395
Marginal	0.345	0.320	0.335	1.000

## relationship with independence of events

For an event  $A$  from a sample space  $S$ , define the *indicator random variable*:

$$I_A(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1 & : \omega \in S \\ 0 & : \omega \notin S \end{cases}$$

This is the general “Bernoulli trial” and one of the most important random variables there is.



relationship with independence of events

## relationship with independence of events

Suppose  $A$  and  $B$  are events in  $S$ . Consider the joint pmf for  $A$  and  $B$ :

$I_B$	$I_A$		Marginal
	0	1	
0			
1			
Marginal			

## relationship with independence of events

Suppose  $A$  and  $B$  are events in  $S$ . Consider the joint pmf for  $A$  and  $B$ :

$I_B$	$I_A$		Marginal
	0	1	
0			$P(B)$
1			
Marginal	$P(A)$		

## relationship with independence of events

Suppose  $A$  and  $B$  are events in  $S$ . Consider the joint pmf for  $A$  and  $B$ :

$I_B$	$I_A$		Marginal
	0	1	
0			$P(B')$
1			$P(B)$
Marginal	$P(A')$	$P(A)$	

## relationship with independence of events

Suppose  $A$  and  $B$  are events in  $S$ . Consider the joint pmf for  $A$  and  $B$ :

$I_B$	$I_A$		Marginal
	0	1	
0			$P(B')$
1		$P(A \cap B)$	$P(B)$
Marginal	$P(A')$	$P(A)$	

## relationship with independence of events

Suppose  $A$  and  $B$  are events in  $S$ . Consider the joint pmf for  $A$  and  $B$ :

$I_B$	$I_A$		Marginal
	0	1	
0		$P(A \cap B')$	$P(B')$
1		$P(A \cap B)$	$P(B)$
Marginal	$P(A')$	$P(A)$	

## relationship with independence of events

Suppose  $A$  and  $B$  are events in  $S$ . Consider the joint pmf for  $A$  and  $B$ :

$I_B$	$I_A$		Marginal
	0	1	
0	$P(A' \cap B')$	$P(A \cap B')$	$P(B')$
1		$P(A \cap B)$	$P(B)$
Marginal	$P(A')$	$P(A)$	

## relationship with independence of events

Suppose  $A$  and  $B$  are events in  $S$ . Consider the joint pmf for  $A$  and  $B$ :

$I_B$	$I_A$		Marginal
	0	1	
0	$P(A' \cap B')$	$P(A \cap B')$	$P(B')$
1	$P(A' \cap B)$	$P(A \cap B)$	$P(B)$
Marginal	$P(A')$	$P(A)$	



## relationship with independence of events

Suppose  $A$  and  $B$  are events in  $S$ . Consider the joint pmf for  $A$  and  $B$ :

$I_B$	$I_A$		Marginal
	0	1	
0	$P(A' \cap B')$	$P(A \cap B')$	$P(B')$
1	$P(A' \cap B)$	$P(A \cap B)$	$P(B)$
Marginal	$P(A')$	$P(A)$	

Now suppose  $A \perp B$ . Recall that this is actually a “strong” statement equivalent to  $A \perp B'$  and  $A' \perp B'$  and  $A' \perp B$ .

## relationship with independence of events

Suppose  $A$  and  $B$  are events in  $S$ . Consider the joint pmf for  $A$  and  $B$ :

$I_B$	$I_A$		Marginal
	0	1	
0	$P(A' \cap B')$	$P(A \cap B')$	$P(B')$
1	$P(A' \cap B)$	$P(A \cap B)$	$P(B)$
Marginal	$P(A')$	$P(A)$	

Now suppose  $A \perp B$ . Recall that this is actually a “strong” statement equivalent to  $A \perp B'$  and  $A' \perp B'$  and  $A' \perp B$ .

So it is also equivalent to the independence of the random variables  $I_A$  and  $I_B$ .

## relationship with independence of events

Suppose  $A$  and  $B$  are events in  $S$ . Consider the joint pmf for  $A$  and  $B$ :

$I_B$	$I_A$		Marginal
	0	1	
0	$P(A' \cap B')$	$P(A \cap B')$	$P(B')$
1	$P(A' \cap B)$	$P(A \cap B)$	$P(B)$
Marginal	$P(A')$	$P(A)$	

Now suppose  $A \perp B$ . Recall that this is actually a “strong” statement equivalent to  $A \perp B'$  and  $A' \perp B'$  and  $A' \perp B$ .

So it is also equivalent to the independence of the random variables  $I_A$  and  $I_B$ .

The formal definition of independence is:

$$P(X \in C, Y \in D) = P(X \in C)P(Y \in D)$$

for any  $C, D \subset \mathbb{R}$ .

## notes on verifying independence

First of all, in practice independence continues to be someone one generally *assumes*.

## notes on verifying independence

First of all, in practice independence continues to be someone one generally *assumes*.

But one still needs to know how to verify independence, which is easy for continuous  $X$  and  $Y$ :

- ▶ the joint density factors:

$$f(x, y) = g(x)h(y)$$

## notes on verifying independence

First of all, in practice independence continues to be someone one generally *assumes*.

But one still needs to know how to verify independence, which is easy for continuous  $X$  and  $Y$ :

- ▶ the joint density factors:

$$f(x, y) = g(x)h(y)$$

- ▶ and the non-zero region of  $f$  is a “rectangle”

## notes on verifying independence

First of all, in practice independence continues to be someone one generally *assumes*.

But one still needs to know how to verify independence, which is easy for continuous  $X$  and  $Y$ :

- ▶ the joint density factors:

$$f(x, y) = g(x)h(y)$$

- ▶ and the non-zero region of  $f$  is a “rectangle”

## notes on verifying independence

First of all, in practice independence continues to be someone one generally *assumes*.

But one still needs to know how to verify independence, which is easy for continuous  $X$  and  $Y$ :

- ▶ the joint density factors:

$$f(x, y) = g(x)h(y)$$

- ▶ and the non-zero region of  $f$  is a “rectangle”

Examples:

- ▶  $f(x, y) = x + y$  on  $0 < x, y < 1$  and 0 otherwise.



## notes on verifying independence

First of all, in practice independence continues to be someone one generally *assumes*.

But one still needs to know how to verify independence, which is easy for continuous  $X$  and  $Y$ :

- ▶ the joint density factors:

$$f(x, y) = g(x)h(y)$$

- ▶ and the non-zero region of  $f$  is a “rectangle”

Examples:

- ▶  $f(x, y) = x + y$  on  $0 < x, y < 1$  and 0 otherwise.
- ▶  $f(x, y) = 24xy$  on  $x > 0, y > 0, 0 < x + y < 1$  and 0 otherwise.

the spanish inquisition

## expected value

Recall the sample average:

$$\bar{x} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \cdot \frac{1}{n}$$

which can be considered as a weighted sum with weights  $w_i = 1/n$ .

## expected value

Recall the sample average:

$$\bar{x} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \cdot \frac{1}{n}$$

which can be considered as a weighted sum with weights  $w_i = 1/n$ .

A (discrete) random variable can have an “average”, which is a weighted sum of the outcomes with their probabilities as weights.

## expected value

Recall the sample average:

$$\bar{x} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \cdot \frac{1}{n}$$

which can be considered as a weighted sum with weights  $w_i = 1/n$ .

A (discrete) random variable can have an “average”, which is a weighted sum of the outcomes with their probabilities as weights.

BIG MONEY. We play a gambling game called BIG MONEY. Roll a die. This is your outcome after each play of BIG MONEY:

Roll	1, 2	3	4, 5	6
Outcome \$	-2	0	1	2

## expected value

Recall the sample average:

$$\bar{x} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \cdot \frac{1}{n}$$

which can be considered as a weighted sum with weights  $w_i = 1/n$ .

A (discrete) random variable can have an “average”, which is a weighted sum of the outcomes with their probabilities as weights.

BIG MONEY. We play a gambling game called BIG MONEY. Roll a die. This is your outcome after each play of BIG MONEY:

	Roll	1, 2	3	4, 5	6
Outcome \$		-2	0	1	2
Probability		2/6	1/6	2/6	1/6

## BIG MONEY is a “fair game”

Your *expected* winnings are (theoretically):

$$-2\frac{2}{6} + 0\frac{1}{6} + 1\frac{2}{6} + 2\frac{1}{6} = 0$$

## BIG MONEY is a “fair game”

Your *expected* winnings are (theoretically):

$$-2\frac{2}{6} + 0\frac{1}{6} + 1\frac{2}{6} + 2\frac{1}{6} = 0$$

The *expected value* of a discrete random variable  $X$  is:

$$E(X) = \sum_x xp(x)$$

if the sum exists (actually it has to converge absolutely.)



## expected number of coin tosses to first H

Denote by  $X$  the number of coin tosses until the first H. The pmf is:

$$p(x) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right)^{x-1} \frac{1}{2}$$

for  $x \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ . For a moment replace  $\frac{1}{2}$  with  $p$ .

## expected number of coin tosses to first H

Denote by  $X$  the number of coin tosses until the first H. The pmf is:

$$p(x) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right)^{x-1} \frac{1}{2}$$

for  $x \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ . For a moment replace  $\frac{1}{2}$  with  $p$ .

$$E(X) = \sum_{x=1}^{\infty} x(1-p)^{x-1}p$$

## expected number of coin tosses to first H

Denote by  $X$  the number of coin tosses until the first H. The pmf is:

$$p(x) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right)^{x-1} \frac{1}{2}$$

for  $x \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ . For a moment replace  $\frac{1}{2}$  with  $p$ .

$$\begin{aligned} E(X) &= \sum_{x=1}^{\infty} x(1-p)^{x-1}p \\ &= p \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} x(1-p)^{x-1} \end{aligned}$$

## expected number of coin tosses to first H

Denote by  $X$  the number of coin tosses until the first H. The pmf is:

$$p(x) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right)^{x-1} \frac{1}{2}$$

for  $x \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ . For a moment replace  $\frac{1}{2}$  with  $p$ .

$$\begin{aligned} E(X) &= \sum_{x=1}^{\infty} x(1-p)^{x-1}p \\ &= p \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} x(1-p)^{x-1} \\ &= p \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{d}{dp}(1-p)^x \end{aligned}$$

## expected number of coin tosses to first H

Denote by  $X$  the number of coin tosses until the first H. The pmf is:

$$p(x) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right)^{x-1} \frac{1}{2}$$

for  $x \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ . For a moment replace  $\frac{1}{2}$  with  $p$ .

$$\begin{aligned} E(X) &= \sum_{x=1}^{\infty} x(1-p)^{x-1}p \\ &= p \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} x(1-p)^{x-1} \\ &= p \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{d}{dp}(1-p)^x \\ &= p \frac{d}{dp} \left( \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} (1-p)^x \right) = p \frac{d}{dp} \left( \frac{1}{1-(1-p)} \right) = \frac{1}{p} \end{aligned}$$

## expected value non-example

Let  $X$  have the following pmf (!):

$$p(x) = \frac{6}{\pi^2 x^2}, x \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$$

$X$  does not have an expected value, because  $\sum_x xp(x)$  does not converge.

## expected value - continuous version

If  $X$  is continuous with density  $f$ , its expected value is:

$$E(X) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} xf(x) dx$$

provided the integral converges (absolutely).

## expected value - continuous version

If  $X$  is continuous with density  $f$ , its expected value is:

$$E(X) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} xf(x) dx$$

provided the integral converges (absolutely).

Bus stop example. What is the expected waiting time?