#### STA286 Lecture 19

**Neil Montgomery** 

Last edited: 2017-03-08 10:04

#### other distributions

There are lots of other distributions (continuous and discrete) that have many applications, but we'll stop here.

There will be a few more special-purpose distributions specific for data analysis that I'll introduce when necessary.



For every probability problem done so far, the distribution was completely known, along with all paramater values.

For every probability problem done so far, the distribution was completely known, along with all paramater values.

The problem is: often we encounter one or more distributions that are only partially specified, such as:

▶  $N(\mu, 2)$ ,  $\mu$  unknown.

For every probability problem done so far, the distribution was completely known, along with all paramater values.

The problem is: often we encounter one or more distributions that are only partially specified, such as:

- $\blacktriangleright$   $N(\mu, 2)$ ,  $\mu$  unknown.
- ▶  $N(\mu, \sigma)$ , both parameters unknown.

For every probability problem done so far, the distribution was completely known, along with all paramater values.

The problem is: often we encounter one or more distributions that are only partially specified, such as:

- $\blacktriangleright$   $N(\mu, 2)$ ,  $\mu$  unknown.
- $\triangleright$   $N(\mu, \sigma)$ , both parameters unknown.
- "Some distribution that has unknown mean and variance."

For every probability problem done so far, the distribution was completely known, along with all paramater values.

The problem is: often we encounter one or more distributions that are only partially specified, such as:

- $\blacktriangleright$   $N(\mu, 2)$ ,  $\mu$  unknown.
- $\triangleright$   $N(\mu, \sigma)$ , both parameters unknown.
- "Some distribution that has unknown mean and variance."

For every probability problem done so far, the distribution was completely known, along with all paramater values.

The problem is: often we encounter one or more distributions that are only partially specified, such as:

- $\blacktriangleright$   $N(\mu, 2)$ ,  $\mu$  unknown.
- $\triangleright$   $N(\mu, \sigma)$ , both parameters unknown.
- "Some distribution that has unknown mean and variance."

etc.

For every probability problem done so far, the distribution was completely known, along with all paramater values.

The problem is: often we encounter one or more distributions that are only partially specified, such as:

- $\blacktriangleright$   $N(\mu, 2)$ ,  $\mu$  unknown.
- $\triangleright$   $N(\mu, \sigma)$ , both parameters unknown.
- "Some distribution that has unknown mean and variance."

#### etc.

The solution to this problem is to obtain a dataset, and use it to *infer* statements about the underlying distribution.

One model for this prospective dataset can be to consider it as a mix of columns of length n where some (or all) of the columns are random.

A random column is headed by random variable (with "the underlying distribution"), and the contents are random variable "copies" of that underlying distribution.

One model for this prospective dataset can be to consider it as a mix of columns of length n where some (or all) of the columns are random.

A random column is headed by random variable (with "the underlying distribution"), and the contents are random variable "copies" of that underlying distribution.

X	
$X_1$	
$egin{array}{c} X_1 \ X_2 \ X_3 \ X_4 \end{array}$	
$X_3$	
$X_4$	
:	
$X_n$	

One model for this prospective dataset can be to consider it as a mix of columns of length n where some (or all) of the columns are random.

A random column is headed by random variable (with "the underlying distribution"), and the contents are random variable "copies" of that underlying distribution.

Y
$Y_1$
$Y_2$
$Y_3$
$Y_4$
<u>:</u>
$Y_n$

One model for this prospective dataset can be to consider it as a mix of columns of length n where some (or all) of the columns are random.

A random column is headed by random variable (with "the underlying distribution"), and the contents are random variable "copies" of that underlying distribution.

X	Y	
$X_1$	$Y_1$	
$X_2$	$Y_2$	
$X_3$	$Y_3$	
$X_4$	$Y_4$	
÷	:	
$X_n$	$Y_n$	
	X <sub>1</sub> X <sub>2</sub> X <sub>3</sub> X <sub>4</sub>	$X_1$ $Y_1$ $X_2$ $Y_2$ $X_3$ $Y_3$ $X_4$ $Y_4$

One model for this prospective dataset can be to consider it as a mix of columns of length n where some (or all) of the columns are random.

A random column is headed by random variable (with "the underlying distribution"), and the contents are random variable "copies" of that underlying distribution.

"Subject ID"	X	Y	"Group ID"	
ID345	$X_1$	$Y_1$	А	
ID952	$X_2$	$Y_2$	Α	
ID826	$X_3$	$Y_3$	В	
ID118	$X_4$	$Y_4$	В	
:	:	÷	:	
ID503	$X_n$	$Y_n$	Α	

One model for this prospective dataset can be to consider it as a mix of columns of length n where some (or all) of the columns are random.

A random column is headed by random variable (with "the underlying distribution"), and the contents are random variable "copies" of that underlying distribution.

"Subject ID"	X	Y	"Group ID"	"InputVar"
ID345	$X_1$	$Y_1$	Α	$w_1$
ID952	$X_2$	$Y_2$	Α	$w_2$
ID826	$X_3$	$Y_3$	В	<i>W</i> 3
ID118	$X_4$	$Y_4$	В	W <sub>4</sub>
:	:	:	:	:
ID503	$X_n$	$Y_n$	Α	$w_n$

the dataset, once observed, is fixed

The model for a dataset is a model for the plan you make to collect it.

Every method of analysis we will discuss is based on this plan to collect.

the dataset, once observed, is fixed

The model for a dataset is a model for the plan you make to collect it.

Every method of analysis we will discuss is based on this plan to collect.

... because once the dataset is collected, there is nothing random about it. It is a fixed rectangle of numbers/etc.

The basic model for what we'll call a *sample* is a sequence of random variables that are independent with the same distribution (abbreviation: i.i.d.):

$$X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$$

The basic model for what we'll call a *sample* is a sequence of random variables that are independent with the same distribution (abbreviation: i.i.d.):

$$X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$$

This is a column of the dataset.

The basic model for what we'll call a *sample* is a sequence of random variables that are independent with the same distribution (abbreviation: i.i.d.):

$$X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$$

This is a column of the dataset.

Some practical generalizations we won't touch: the elements are not independent; the elements do not have the same distribution (e.g. change as a function of time.)

The basic model for what we'll call a *sample* is a sequence of random variables that are independent with the same distribution (abbreviation: i.i.d.):

$$X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$$

This is a column of the dataset.

Some practical generalizations we won't touch: the elements are not independent; the elements do not have the same distribution (e.g. change as a function of time.)

A statistic is defined as a function of the sample. So, a statistic is a random variable.

The sample mean (or sample average):

$$\overline{X} = \frac{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} X}{n}$$

The sample mean (or sample average):

$$\overline{X} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i}{n}$$

Source of confusion: the function  $\overline{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i/n$  of a column  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  in an *observed* dataset is also called "sample mean", but this is not a random quantity.

The sample mean (or sample average):

$$\overline{X} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i}{n}$$

Source of confusion: the function  $\overline{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i/n$  of a column  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  in an observed dataset is also called "sample mean", but this is not a random quantity.

I might call  $\overline{x}$  the "observed" sample mean, but you really just need to get used to the terminology.

The sample mean (or sample average):

$$\overline{X} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i}{n}$$

Source of confusion: the function  $\overline{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i/n$  of a column  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  in an *observed* dataset is also called "sample mean", but this is not a random quantity.

I might call  $\overline{x}$  the "observed" sample mean, but you really just need to get used to the terminology.

You might have a plan to guess the unknown "true" mean of a random variable X using the sample mean  $\overline{X}$  of a sample  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  (whose properties can be studied using probability), and when you actually observe the sample  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  your actual guess will be  $\overline{x}$ .

The sample variance:

$$S^2 = \frac{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} \left( X_i - \overline{X} \right)^2}{n-1}$$

(source of confusion: the (observed) sample variance 
$$s^2 = \frac{\sum\limits_{i=1}^n (x_i - \overline{x})^2}{n-1}$$
)

The sample variance:

$$S^{2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( X_{i} - \overline{X} \right)^{2}}{n-1}$$

(source of confusion: the (observed) sample variance 
$$s^2 = \frac{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}(x_i - \overline{x})^2}{n-1}$$
)

The sample standard deviation:  $S = \sqrt{S^2}$ 

The sample variance:

▶ the sum  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$ 

$$S^{2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(X_{i} - \overline{X}\right)^{2}}{n-1}$$

(source of confusion: the (observed) sample variance 
$$s^2 = \frac{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}(\mathsf{x}_i - \overline{\mathsf{x}})^2}{n-1}$$
)

The sample standard deviation:  $S = \sqrt{S^2}$ 

More:

The sample variance:

$$S^2 = \frac{\sum\limits_{i=1}^n \left(X_i - \overline{X}\right)^2}{n-1}$$

(source of confusion: the (observed) sample variance 
$$s^2 = \frac{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}(\mathsf{x}_i - \overline{\mathsf{x}})^2}{n-1}$$
)

The sample standard deviation:  $S = \sqrt{S^2}$ 

▶ the sum 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$$
  
▶ the sample median...

The sample variance:

$$S^{2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( X_{i} - \overline{X} \right)^{2}}{n-1}$$

(source of confusion: the (observed) sample variance 
$$s^2 = \frac{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}(x_i-\overline{x})^2}{n-1}$$
)

The sample standard deviation:  $S = \sqrt{S^2}$ 

- More:
  - ▶ the sum  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$
  - ▶ the sample median...
  - ightharpoonup the maximum  $X_{(n)}$

The sample variance:

$$S^2 = \frac{\sum\limits_{i=1}^n \left(X_i - \overline{X}\right)^2}{n-1}$$

(source of confusion: the (observed) sample variance 
$$s^2 = \frac{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}(x_i-\overline{x})^2}{n-1}$$
)

The sample standard deviation:  $S = \sqrt{S^2}$ 

More:

- ▶ the sum  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$ 
  - ▶ the sample median...
  - the maximum  $X_{(n)}$
  - the sample range  $X_{(n)} X_{(1)}$

Statistics are random variables, so we mainly care about their distributions, which are (unnecessarily) called the "sampling distributions".

Statistics are random variables, so we mainly care about their distributions, which are (unnecessarily) called the "sampling distributions".

Example, if  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  are i.i.d. Bernoulli(p), the statistic  $\sum_i X_i$  has a Binomial(n, p) distribution. (What about  $\overline{X}$  in this case?)

Statistics are random variables, so we mainly care about their distributions, which are (unnecessarily) called the "sampling distributions".

Example, if  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  are i.i.d. Bernoulli(p), the statistic  $\sum_i X_i$  has a Binomial(n, p) distribution. (What about  $\overline{X}$  in this case?)

Example: if  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  are i.i.d. Exponential( $\lambda$ ), the sample mean  $\overline{X}$  has a Gamma( $n, n\lambda$ ) distribution.

Statistics are random variables, so we mainly care about their distributions, which are (unnecessarily) called the "sampling distributions".

Example, if  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  are i.i.d. Bernoulli(p), the statistic  $\sum_i X_i$  has a Binomial(n, p) distribution. (What about  $\overline{X}$  in this case?)

Example: if  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  are i.i.d. Exponential( $\lambda$ ), the sample mean  $\overline{X}$  has a Gamma( $n, n\lambda$ ) distribution.

Important example: if  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  are i.i.d.  $N(\mu, \sigma)$ ...

$$E(\overline{X}) = E\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}\right)$$

$$Var(\overline{X}) = Var\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}\right)$$

$$E(\overline{X}) = E\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}\right) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}E(X_{i})$$

$$Var(\overline{X}) = Var\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}\right)$$

$$E(\overline{X}) = E\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}\right) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}E(X_{i}) = \frac{1}{n}n\mu = \mu$$

$$Var(\overline{X}) = Var\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}\right)$$

$$E(\overline{X}) = E\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}\right) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}E(X_{i}) = \frac{1}{n}n\mu = \mu$$

$$Var(\overline{X}) = Var\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}\right) = \frac{1}{n^{2}}\sum_{i=1}^{n}Var(X_{i})$$

$$E(\overline{X}) = E\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}\right) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}E(X_{i}) = \frac{1}{n}n\mu = \mu$$

$$Var(\overline{X}) = Var\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}\right) = \frac{1}{n^{2}}\sum_{i=1}^{n}Var(X_{i}) = \frac{1}{n^{2}}n\sigma^{2} = \frac{\sigma^{2}}{n}$$

$$E(\overline{X}) = E\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}\right) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}E(X_{i}) = \frac{1}{n}n\mu = \mu$$

$$Var(\overline{X}) = Var\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}\right) = \frac{1}{n^{2}}\sum_{i=1}^{n}Var(X_{i}) = \frac{1}{n^{2}}n\sigma^{2} = \frac{\sigma^{2}}{n}$$

$$SD(\overline{X}) = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$$

$$M_{\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}}(t)=\prod_{i=1}^{n}M_{X_{i}}(t)$$

so that 
$$\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}\sim N\left(n\mu,\sqrt{n}\sigma
ight)$$

$$M_{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i}(t) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} M_{X_i}(t) = \left(e^{\mu t + \sigma^2 t^2/2}\right)^n$$

so that 
$$\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}\sim N\left(n\mu,\sqrt{n}\sigma
ight)$$

$$M_{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}}(t)=\prod_{i=1}^{n}M_{X_{i}}(t)=\left(e^{\mu t+\sigma^{2}t^{2}/2}
ight)^{n}=e^{n\mu t+n\sigma^{2}t^{2}/2}$$

so that 
$$\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}\sim N\left(n\mu,\sqrt{n}\sigma
ight)$$

$$M_{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}}(t)=\prod_{i=1}^{n}M_{X_{i}}(t)=\left(e^{\mu t+\sigma^{2}t^{2}/2}
ight)^{n}=e^{n\mu t+n\sigma^{2}t^{2}/2}$$

so that 
$$\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}\sim N\left(n\mu,\sqrt{n}\sigma
ight)$$

For a sample  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  i.i.d.  $N(\mu, \sigma)$  we have:

$$M_{\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}}(t)=\prod_{i=1}^{n}M_{X_{i}}(t)=\left(e^{\mu t+\sigma^{2}t^{2}/2}
ight)^{n}=e^{n\mu t+n\sigma^{2}t^{2}/2}$$

so that 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} \sim N(n\mu, \sqrt{n}\sigma)$$

Using the rules for normal distributions we also get:

$$\overline{X} \sim N\left(\mu, \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$$

For a sample  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  i.i.d.  $N(\mu, \sigma)$  we have:

$$M_{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i}(t) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} M_{X_i}(t) = \left(e^{\mu t + \sigma^2 t^2/2}\right)^n = e^{n\mu t + n\sigma^2 t^2/2}$$

so that  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} \sim N(n\mu, \sqrt{n}\sigma)$ 

Using the rules for normal distributions we also get:

$$\overline{X} \sim N\left(\mu, \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$$
  $\frac{\overline{X} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \sim N(0, 1)$ 

For a sample  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  i.i.d.  $N(\mu, \sigma)$  we have:

$$M_{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i}(t) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} M_{X_i}(t) = \left(e^{\mu t + \sigma^2 t^2/2}\right)^n = e^{n\mu t + n\sigma^2 t^2/2}$$

so that  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} \sim N(n\mu, \sqrt{n}\sigma)$ 

Using the rules for normal distributions we also get:

$$\overline{X} \sim N\left(\mu, \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$$
  $\frac{\overline{X} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \sim N(0, 1)$ 

For a sample  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  i.i.d.  $N(\mu, \sigma)$  we have:

$$M_{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i}(t) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} M_{X_i}(t) = \left(e^{\mu t + \sigma^2 t^2/2}\right)^n = e^{n\mu t + n\sigma^2 t^2/2}$$

so that 
$$\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}\sim N\left(n\mu,\sqrt{n}\sigma
ight)$$

Using the rules for normal distributions we also get:

$$\overline{X} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mu, \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \qquad \qquad \frac{\overline{X} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$

The seemingly impossible task is to determine the distribution of  $\overline{X}$  when the underlying distribution is not normal (i.e., almost always.)

For any random variable X with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ , consider a sample  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  from the same distribution.

Now consider the sample average of this sample:  $\overline{X}_n$  (because n will be changing below. . . ).

For any random variable X with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ , consider a sample  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  from the same distribution.

Now consider the sample average of this sample:  $\overline{X}_n$  (because n will be changing below. . . ).

The actual Central Limit Theorem (CLT) says:

$$P\bigg(\frac{\overline{X}_n - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \leqslant u\bigg)$$

For any random variable X with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ , consider a sample  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  from the same distribution.

Now consider the sample average of this sample:  $\overline{X}_n$  (because n will be changing below. . . ).

The actual Central Limit Theorem (CLT) says:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}P\bigg(\frac{\overline{X}_n-\mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}\leqslant u\bigg)$$

For any random variable X with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ , consider a sample  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  from the same distribution.

Now consider the sample average of this sample:  $\overline{X}_n$  (because n will be changing below. . . ).

The actual Central Limit Theorem (CLT) says:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}P\bigg(\frac{\overline{X}_n-\mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}\leqslant u\bigg)=P(Z\leqslant u)$$

where  $Z \sim N(0,1)$ .

For any random variable X with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ , consider a sample  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  from the same distribution.

Now consider the sample average of this sample:  $\overline{X}_n$  (because n will be changing below. . . ).

The actual Central Limit Theorem (CLT) says:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} P\left(\frac{\overline{X}_n - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \leqslant u\right) = P(Z \leqslant u)$$
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} F_n(u) = F_Z(u)$$

where  $Z \sim N(0, 1)$ .

Useful limit theorems are ones where the convergence is fast—the CLT is such an example.

$$P\left(\frac{\overline{X}-\mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}\leqslant u\right)\approx P(Z\leqslant u)$$

for *n* "large enough".

Useful limit theorems are ones where the convergence is fast—the CLT is such an example.

$$P\left(\frac{\overline{X}-\mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}\leqslant u\right)\approx P(Z\leqslant u)$$

for n "large enough".

How large? Depends on the shape of the underlying distribution X.

ightharpoonup n = 2 would need X normal.

Useful limit theorems are ones where the convergence is fast—the CLT is such an example.

$$P\left(\frac{\overline{X}-\mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}\leqslant u\right)\approx P(Z\leqslant u)$$

for *n* "large enough".

How large? Depends on the shape of the underlying distribution X.

- ightharpoonup n=2 would need X normal.
- ightharpoonup n = 10 good enough for symmetric distributions without outliers.

Useful limit theorems are ones where the convergence is fast—the CLT is such an example.

$$P\left(\frac{\overline{X}-\mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}\leqslant u\right)\approx P(Z\leqslant u)$$

for *n* "large enough".

How large? Depends on the shape of the underlying distribution X.

- ightharpoonup n = 2 would need X normal.
- ightharpoonup n = 10 good enough for symmetric distributions without outliers.
- ightharpoonup n = 30 for mildly skewed X.

Useful limit theorems are ones where the convergence is fast—the CLT is such an example.

$$P\left(\frac{\overline{X}-\mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}\leqslant u\right)\approx P(Z\leqslant u)$$

for *n* "large enough".

How large? Depends on the shape of the underlying distribution X.

- ightharpoonup n = 2 would need X normal.
- ightharpoonup n = 10 good enough for symmetric distributions without outliers.
- ▶ n = 30 for mildly skewed X.
- ightharpoonup n > 60 for more skewed.

Piston lifetimes in a Diesel engine follow a roughly symmetric distribution with mean 3.4 years and standard deviation 2.1 years.

$$P(\overline{X} > 4)$$

Piston lifetimes in a Diesel engine follow a roughly symmetric distribution with mean 3.4 years and standard deviation 2.1 years.

$$P(\overline{X} > 4) = P\left(\frac{\overline{X} - 3.4}{2.1/\sqrt{25}} > \frac{4 - 3.4}{2.1/\sqrt{25}}\right)$$

Piston lifetimes in a Diesel engine follow a roughly symmetric distribution with mean 3.4 years and standard deviation 2.1 years.

$$P(\overline{X} > 4) = P\left(\frac{\overline{X} - 3.4}{2.1/\sqrt{25}} > \frac{4 - 3.4}{2.1/\sqrt{25}}\right) \approx P(Z > 1.43)$$

Piston lifetimes in a Diesel engine follow a roughly symmetric distribution with mean 3.4 years and standard deviation 2.1 years.

$$P(\overline{X} > 4) = P\left(\frac{\overline{X} - 3.4}{2.1/\sqrt{25}} > \frac{4 - 3.4}{2.1/\sqrt{25}}\right) \approx P(Z > 1.43) = 0.0763585$$