STA286 Lecture 19

Neil Montgomery

Last edited: 2017-03-09 14:08

other distributions

There are lots of other distributions (continuous and discrete) that have many applications, but we'll stop here.

There will be a few more special-purpose distributions specific for data analysis that I'll introduce when necessary.



For every probability problem done so far, the distribution was completely known, along with all paramater values.

For every probability problem done so far, the distribution was completely known, along with all paramater values.

The problem is: often we encounter one or more distributions that are only partially specified, such as:

▶ $N(\mu, 2)$, μ unknown.

For every probability problem done so far, the distribution was completely known, along with all paramater values.

The problem is: often we encounter one or more distributions that are only partially specified, such as:

- \blacktriangleright $N(\mu, 2)$, μ unknown.
- ▶ $N(\mu, \sigma)$, both parameters unknown.

For every probability problem done so far, the distribution was completely known, along with all paramater values.

The problem is: often we encounter one or more distributions that are only partially specified, such as:

- \blacktriangleright $N(\mu, 2)$, μ unknown.
- \triangleright $N(\mu, \sigma)$, both parameters unknown.
- "Some distribution that has unknown mean and variance."

For every probability problem done so far, the distribution was completely known, along with all paramater values.

The problem is: often we encounter one or more distributions that are only partially specified, such as:

- \blacktriangleright $N(\mu, 2)$, μ unknown.
- \triangleright $N(\mu, \sigma)$, both parameters unknown.
- "Some distribution that has unknown mean and variance."

For every probability problem done so far, the distribution was completely known, along with all paramater values.

The problem is: often we encounter one or more distributions that are only partially specified, such as:

- \blacktriangleright $N(\mu, 2)$, μ unknown.
- \triangleright $N(\mu, \sigma)$, both parameters unknown.
- "Some distribution that has unknown mean and variance."

etc.

For every probability problem done so far, the distribution was completely known, along with all paramater values.

The problem is: often we encounter one or more distributions that are only partially specified, such as:

- \blacktriangleright $N(\mu, 2)$, μ unknown.
- \triangleright $N(\mu, \sigma)$, both parameters unknown.
- "Some distribution that has unknown mean and variance."

etc.

The solution to this problem is to obtain a dataset, and use it to *infer* statements about the underlying distribution.

One model for this prospective dataset can be to consider it as a mix of columns of length n where some (or all) of the columns are random.

A random column is headed by random variable (with "the underlying distribution"), and the contents are random variable "copies" of that underlying distribution.

One model for this prospective dataset can be to consider it as a mix of columns of length n where some (or all) of the columns are random.

A random column is headed by random variable (with "the underlying distribution"), and the contents are random variable "copies" of that underlying distribution.

X	
X_1	
$egin{array}{c} X_1 \ X_2 \ X_3 \ X_4 \end{array}$	
X_3	
X_4	
:	
X_n	

One model for this prospective dataset can be to consider it as a mix of columns of length n where some (or all) of the columns are random.

A random column is headed by random variable (with "the underlying distribution"), and the contents are random variable "copies" of that underlying distribution.

Y
Y_1
Y_2
Y_3
Y_4
<u>:</u>
Y_n

One model for this prospective dataset can be to consider it as a mix of columns of length n where some (or all) of the columns are random.

A random column is headed by random variable (with "the underlying distribution"), and the contents are random variable "copies" of that underlying distribution.

X	Y	
X_1	Y_1	
X_2	Y_2	
X_3	Y_3	
X_4	Y_4	
÷	:	
X_n	Y_n	
	X ₁ X ₂ X ₃ X ₄	X_1 Y_1 X_2 Y_2 X_3 Y_3 X_4 Y_4

One model for this prospective dataset can be to consider it as a mix of columns of length n where some (or all) of the columns are random.

A random column is headed by random variable (with "the underlying distribution"), and the contents are random variable "copies" of that underlying distribution.

"Subject ID"	X	Y	"Group ID"	
ID345	X_1	Y_1	А	
ID952	X_2	Y_2	Α	
ID826	X_3	Y_3	В	
ID118	X_4	Y_4	В	
:	:	÷	:	
ID503	X_n	Y_n	Α	

One model for this prospective dataset can be to consider it as a mix of columns of length n where some (or all) of the columns are random.

A random column is headed by random variable (with "the underlying distribution"), and the contents are random variable "copies" of that underlying distribution.

"Subject ID"	X	Y	"Group ID"	"InputVar"
ID345	X_1	Y_1	Α	w_1
ID952	X_2	Y_2	Α	w_2
ID826	X_3	Y_3	В	<i>W</i> 3
ID118	X_4	Y_4	В	W ₄
:	:	:	:	:
ID503	X_n	Y_n	Α	w_n

the dataset, once observed, is fixed

The model for a dataset is a model for the plan you make to collect it.

Every method of analysis we will discuss is based on this plan to collect.

the dataset, once observed, is fixed

The model for a dataset is a model for the plan you make to collect it.

Every method of analysis we will discuss is based on this plan to collect.

... because once the dataset is collected, there is nothing random about it. It is a fixed rectangle of numbers/etc.

The basic model for what we'll call a *sample* is a sequence of random variables that are independent with the same distribution (abbreviation: i.i.d.):

$$X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$$

The basic model for what we'll call a *sample* is a sequence of random variables that are independent with the same distribution (abbreviation: i.i.d.):

$$X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$$

This is a column of the dataset.

The basic model for what we'll call a *sample* is a sequence of random variables that are independent with the same distribution (abbreviation: i.i.d.):

$$X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$$

This is a column of the dataset.

Some practical generalizations we won't touch: the elements are not independent; the elements do not have the same distribution (e.g. change as a function of time.)

The basic model for what we'll call a *sample* is a sequence of random variables that are independent with the same distribution (abbreviation: i.i.d.):

$$X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$$

This is a column of the dataset.

Some practical generalizations we won't touch: the elements are not independent; the elements do not have the same distribution (e.g. change as a function of time.)

A statistic is defined as a function of the sample. So, a statistic is a random variable.

The sample mean (or sample average):

$$\overline{X} = \frac{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} X}{n}$$

The sample mean (or sample average):

$$\overline{X} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i}{n}$$

Source of confusion: the function $\overline{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i/n$ of a column $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ in an *observed* dataset is also called "sample mean", but this is not a random quantity.

The sample mean (or sample average):

$$\overline{X} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i}{n}$$

Source of confusion: the function $\overline{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i/n$ of a column $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ in an observed dataset is also called "sample mean", but this is not a random quantity.

I might call \overline{x} the "observed" sample mean, but you really just need to get used to the terminology.

The sample mean (or sample average):

$$\overline{X} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i}{n}$$

Source of confusion: the function $\overline{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i/n$ of a column $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ in an *observed* dataset is also called "sample mean", but this is not a random quantity.

I might call \overline{x} the "observed" sample mean, but you really just need to get used to the terminology.

You might have a plan to guess the unknown "true" mean of a random variable X using the sample mean \overline{X} of a sample X_1, \ldots, X_n (whose properties can be studied using probability), and when you actually observe the sample x_1, \ldots, x_n your actual guess will be \overline{x} .

The sample variance:

$$S^{2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(X_{i} - \overline{X} \right)^{2}}{n-1}$$

(source of confusion: the (observed) sample variance
$$s^2 = \frac{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}(x_i-\overline{x})^2}{n-1}$$
)

The sample variance:

$$S^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(X_i - \overline{X} \right)^2}{n-1}$$

(source of confusion: the (observed) sample variance
$$s^2 = \frac{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}(x_i-\overline{x})^2}{n-1}$$
)

The sample standard deviation:
$$S = \sqrt{S^2}$$

The sample variance:

▶ the sum $\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$

$$S^{2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(X_{i} - \overline{X} \right)^{2}}{n-1}$$

(source of confusion: the (observed) sample variance
$$s^2 = \frac{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}(x_i-\overline{x})^2}{n-1}$$
)

The sample standard deviation:
$$S = \sqrt{S^2}$$

More:

The sample variance:

$$S^{2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(X_{i} - \overline{X} \right)^{2}}{n-1}$$

(source of confusion: the (observed) sample variance
$$s^2 = \frac{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}(x_i-\overline{x})^2}{n-1}$$
)

The sample standard deviation: $S = \sqrt{S^2}$

- More:
 - ► the sum $\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$ ► the minimum $X_{(1)}$

The sample variance:

$$S^{2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(X_{i} - \overline{X} \right)^{2}}{n-1}$$

(source of confusion: the (observed) sample variance
$$s^2 = \frac{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}(x_i-\overline{x})^2}{n-1}$$
)

The sample standard deviation: $S = \sqrt{S^2}$

- More:
 - ► the sum $\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$ ► the minimum $X_{(1)}$
 - \blacktriangleright the maximum $X_{(n)}$

The sample variance:

$$S^{2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(X_{i} - \overline{X} \right)^{2}}{n-1}$$

(source of confusion: the (observed) sample variance
$$s^2 = \frac{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}(x_i - \overline{x})^2}{n-1}$$
)

The sample standard deviation: $S = \sqrt{S^2}$

More:

- ▶ the sum $\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$
 - the minimum $X_{(1)}$
 - the maximum $X_{(n)}$
 - lacktriangle the sample median $ilde{X}$

The sample variance:

$$S^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(X_i - \overline{X} \right)^2}{n-1}$$

(source of confusion: the (observed) sample variance
$$s^2 = \frac{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}(x_i-\overline{x})^2}{n-1}$$
)

The sample standard deviation: $S = \sqrt{S^2}$

More:

- ▶ the sum $\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$
 - the minimum $X_{(1)}$
- the maximum $X_{(n)}$
- ▶ the sample median \tilde{X} ▶ the sample range $X_{(x)} = X_{(x)}$
- ▶ the sample range $X_{(n)} X_{(1)}$

"sampling distributions"

Statistics are random variables, so we mainly care about their distributions, which are (unnecessarily) called the "sampling distributions".

"sampling distributions"

Statistics are random variables, so we mainly care about their distributions, which are (unnecessarily) called the "sampling distributions".

Example, if X_1, \ldots, X_n are i.i.d. Bernoulli(p), the statistic $\sum_i X_i$ has a Binomial(n, p) distribution. (What about \overline{X} in this case?)

"sampling distributions"

Statistics are random variables, so we mainly care about their distributions, which are (unnecessarily) called the "sampling distributions".

Example, if X_1, \ldots, X_n are i.i.d. Bernoulli(p), the statistic $\sum_i X_i$ has a Binomial(n, p) distribution. (What about \overline{X} in this case?)

Example: if X_1, \ldots, X_n are i.i.d. Exponential(λ), the sample mean \overline{X} has a Gamma($n, n\lambda$) distribution.

"sampling distributions"

Statistics are random variables, so we mainly care about their distributions, which are (unnecessarily) called the "sampling distributions".

Example, if X_1, \ldots, X_n are i.i.d. Bernoulli(p), the statistic $\sum_i X_i$ has a Binomial(n, p) distribution. (What about \overline{X} in this case?)

Example: if X_1, \ldots, X_n are i.i.d. Exponential(λ), the sample mean \overline{X} has a Gamma($n, n\lambda$) distribution.

Important example: if X_1, \ldots, X_n are i.i.d. $N(\mu, \sigma)$...

$$E(\overline{X}) = E\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}\right)$$

$$Var(\overline{X}) = Var\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}\right)$$

$$E(\overline{X}) = E\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}\right) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}E(X_{i})$$

$$Var(\overline{X}) = Var\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}\right)$$

$$E(\overline{X}) = E\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}\right) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}E(X_{i}) = \frac{1}{n}n\mu = \mu$$

$$Var(\overline{X}) = Var\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}\right)$$

$$E(\overline{X}) = E\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}\right) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}E(X_{i}) = \frac{1}{n}n\mu = \mu$$

$$Var(\overline{X}) = Var\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}\right) = \frac{1}{n^{2}}\sum_{i=1}^{n}Var(X_{i})$$

$$E(\overline{X}) = E\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}\right) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}E(X_{i}) = \frac{1}{n}n\mu = \mu$$

$$Var(\overline{X}) = Var\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}\right) = \frac{1}{n^{2}}\sum_{i=1}^{n}Var(X_{i}) = \frac{1}{n^{2}}n\sigma^{2} = \frac{\sigma^{2}}{n}$$

$$E(\overline{X}) = E\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}\right) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}E(X_{i}) = \frac{1}{n}n\mu = \mu$$

$$Var(\overline{X}) = Var\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}\right) = \frac{1}{n^{2}}\sum_{i=1}^{n}Var(X_{i}) = \frac{1}{n^{2}}n\sigma^{2} = \frac{\sigma^{2}}{n}$$

$$SD(\overline{X}) = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$$

$$M_{\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}}(t)=\prod_{i=1}^{n}M_{X_{i}}(t)$$

so that
$$\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}\sim N\left(n\mu,\sqrt{n}\sigma
ight)$$

$$M_{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i}(t) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} M_{X_i}(t) = \left(e^{\mu t + \sigma^2 t^2/2}\right)^n$$

so that
$$\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}\sim N\left(n\mu,\sqrt{n}\sigma
ight)$$

$$M_{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}}(t)=\prod_{i=1}^{n}M_{X_{i}}(t)=\left(e^{\mu t+\sigma^{2}t^{2}/2}
ight)^{n}=e^{n\mu t+n\sigma^{2}t^{2}/2}$$

so that
$$\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}\sim N\left(n\mu,\sqrt{n}\sigma
ight)$$

$$M_{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}}(t)=\prod_{i=1}^{n}M_{X_{i}}(t)=\left(e^{\mu t+\sigma^{2}t^{2}/2}
ight)^{n}=e^{n\mu t+n\sigma^{2}t^{2}/2}$$

so that
$$\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}\sim N\left(n\mu,\sqrt{n}\sigma
ight)$$

For a sample X_1, \ldots, X_n i.i.d. $N(\mu, \sigma)$ we have:

$$M_{\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}}(t)=\prod_{i=1}^{n}M_{X_{i}}(t)=\left(e^{\mu t+\sigma^{2}t^{2}/2}
ight)^{n}=e^{n\mu t+n\sigma^{2}t^{2}/2}$$

so that
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} \sim N(n\mu, \sqrt{n}\sigma)$$

Using the rules for normal distributions we also get:

$$\overline{X} \sim N\left(\mu, \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$$

For a sample X_1, \ldots, X_n i.i.d. $N(\mu, \sigma)$ we have:

$$M_{\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}}(t)=\prod_{i=1}^{n}M_{X_{i}}(t)=\left(e^{\mu t+\sigma^{2}t^{2}/2}
ight)^{n}=e^{n\mu t+n\sigma^{2}t^{2}/2}$$

so that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} \sim N(n\mu, \sqrt{n}\sigma)$

Using the rules for normal distributions we also get:

$$\overline{X} \sim N\left(\mu, \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$$
 $\frac{\overline{X} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \sim N(0, 1)$

For a sample X_1, \ldots, X_n i.i.d. $N(\mu, \sigma)$ we have:

$$M_{\sum_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}}(t)=\prod_{i=1}^{n}M_{X_{i}}(t)=\left(e^{\mu t+\sigma^{2}t^{2}/2}
ight)^{n}=e^{n\mu t+n\sigma^{2}t^{2}/2}$$

so that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} \sim N(n\mu, \sqrt{n}\sigma)$

Using the rules for normal distributions we also get:

$$\overline{X} \sim N\left(\mu, \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$$
 $\frac{\overline{X} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \sim N(0, 1)$

For a sample X_1, \ldots, X_n i.i.d. $N(\mu, \sigma)$ we have:

$$M_{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i}(t) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} M_{X_i}(t) = \left(e^{\mu t + \sigma^2 t^2/2}\right)^n = e^{n\mu t + n\sigma^2 t^2/2}$$

so that $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}X_{i}\sim N\left(n\mu,\sqrt{n}\sigma
ight)$

Using the rules for normal distributions we also get:

$$\overline{X} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mu, \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \qquad \qquad \frac{\overline{X} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$

The seemingly impossible task is to determine the distribution of \overline{X} when the underlying distribution is not normal (i.e., almost always.)