

STA304

Neil Montgomery

2016-01-14

simple random sampling

Recall the definition, and one practical method

"all samples of size n have the same probability of being selected"

So there are $\binom{N}{n} = \frac{N!}{n!(N-n)!}$ different possible samples, each with probability $\binom{N}{n}^{-1}$ of being selected.

Theorem: Selecting elements one at a time without replacement results in a simple random sample. (*Last week this was quietly assumed.*)

Proof: The sample $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ could be selected in any order, e.g. $e_4 e_2 e_n e_{22} \dots e_{42}$. There are $n!$ such orders. Any particular order will be selected with probability $\frac{1}{N} \frac{1}{N-1} \dots \frac{1}{N-(n-1)}$. So:

$$P(\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}) = n! \frac{1}{N} \frac{1}{N-1} \dots \frac{1}{N-(n-1)} = \binom{N}{n}^{-1}$$

When you encounter the population sequentially, N known

1. Let n_k be the number of elements selected *so far*
2. Select the first element with probability $\frac{n}{N}$.
3. Select the k^{th} element with probability $\frac{n-n_k}{N-k+1}$

This is a simple random sample.

When you encounter the population sequentially, N not known

1. Select the first n elements to be in your "temporary" sample
2. Then when you encounter the k^{th} element out of $n + 1, n + 2, \dots$, do one of the following:
 - with probability $1 - \frac{n}{k}$ leave the temporary sample as is.
 - with probability $\frac{n}{k}$ replace an element in the temporary sample (chosen at random) with the k^{th} element.

This is also a simple random sample (from the "population" of those elements you happened to encounter.)

recall properties of a simple random sample (without replacement)

- The sample $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ is a list of random variables.
- Each of the y_i have the *same* distribution.
 - Name: *discrete uniform distribution over* $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_N\}$.
 - $E(y_i) = \sum_{j=1}^N y_j \frac{1}{N} = \mu$
 - $V(y_i) = \sum_{j=1}^N (y_j - \mu)^2 \frac{1}{N} = \sigma^2$
- But they are *not* independent random variables. In particular (for $i \neq j$):

$$\text{Cov}(y_i, y_j) = -\frac{1}{N-1} \sigma^2$$

the details—"identically distributed"

I stated "Each of the y_i have the *same* distribution" also said what the distribution actually was.

This is a "theorem"—the proof would be based on symmetry, from the fact that the sample $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ could have arrived in any order with equal probability

the details—"mean and variance"

The following "theorems" then actually follow immediately from the previous slide:

$$E(y_i) = \sum_{j=1}^N y_j \frac{1}{N} = \mu$$

$$V(y_i) = \sum_{j=1}^N (y_j - \mu)^2 \frac{1}{N} = \sigma^2$$

These results look suspiciously like these *definitions* from last week, but are completely different in character:

- **population mean:** $\mu = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N y_i$
- **population variance:** $\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - \mu)^2$

the details—"Cov(y_i, y_j)"

But y_i and y_j are obviously not independent.

For example, $P(y_1 = y) = \frac{1}{N}$. But $P(y_1 = y | y_2 = y') = \frac{1}{N-1}$ for $y \neq y'$.

The derivation of $\text{Cov}(y_i, y_j) = -\frac{1}{N-1}\sigma^2$ is tricky, dull, and contained the textbook appendix.

For those who are interested: The derivation starts with the always true fact that $\text{Cov}(y_i, y_j) = E(y_i y_j) - E(y_i)E(y_j)$. The next line uses the fact (in this case) that the joint distribution of y_i and y_j is also a discrete uniform distribution on the $N(N-1)$ pairs of elements that can be chosen from the population. Then it's a matter of calculation tricks.

how to estimate the population parameters

So we have our unknown τ and μ (and σ^2) and we have a sample $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ some of whose properties we have established.

How shall we use the sample to estimate the unknown parameters? We'll go with obvious choices for μ and τ which are:

$$\hat{\mu} = \bar{y} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\tau} = N\hat{\mu} = N\bar{y} = \frac{N}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i$$

We'll get to σ^2 .

Note that this estimator was not derived from any principle of estimation. It is handed to you from on high. A little more on this later.

properties of \bar{y}

\bar{y} is a function of random variables, so it is also a random variable (so it has a distribution with a mean and a variance etc.)

The *distribution* \bar{y} is also discrete uniform, but this isn't so helpful this time to find its mean and variance.

Instead we can use properties of $E(\cdot)$ and $V(\cdot)$ (reviewed last week) to obtain first:

$$E(\bar{y}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E(y_i) = \frac{1}{n} n\mu = \mu$$

So we say \bar{y} is *unbiased* for μ . (In fact: \bar{y} is the *only* unbiased estimator under SRS.)

properties of \bar{y}

The variance of \bar{y} is a little more involved due to the lack of independence:

$$\begin{aligned} V(\bar{y}) &= V\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} V\left(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n V(y_i) + \sum_{i \neq j} \text{Cov}(y_i, y_j) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} \left[n\sigma^2 + n(n-1) \frac{-\sigma^2}{N-1} \right] \end{aligned}$$

properties of \bar{y}

$$\begin{aligned} V(\bar{y}) &= \frac{1}{n^2} \left[n\sigma^2 + n(n-1) \frac{-\sigma^2}{N-1} \right] \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \left(1 - \frac{n-1}{N-1} \right) \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \left(\frac{N-n}{N-1} \right) \end{aligned}$$