## **STA304**

Neil Montgomery 2016-03-03

# stratified sampling: poststratification

## Weights known, strata unavailable

Stratification can be practically difficult. The frame may not contain the required information for partition into strata.

But the weights  $W_i$  might be known. (This is key.) (Note: the book finally gets around to adopting the notion of weight and calls the weights  $A_i$ .))

For example, in Canada the male and female proportions are 0.496 and 0.504 respectively. (Many other population-level proportions are known as well.) But it may not be possible to stratify by sex.

It can be suitable to perform a simple random sample and divide the sample up into groups, adjusting the population parameter estimate accordingly.

#### Poststratification illustration

For example, a Statistics Canada regularly compiles salary data and publishes results by sex. Suppose in one particular survey the SRS results are as follows (in 000's of dollars)

Sex	n	mean	var	sd
Female	550	26.56	290.62	17.05
Male	450	42.12	1112.31	33.35

The SRS population mean income would be 33.56.

But we know the SRS sub-sample sizes are off. Here is the *poststratified* estimate of the mean income, reweighted for the known true weights:

$$\overline{y}_{post} = W_1 \overline{y}_1 + W_2 \overline{y}_2 = 0.504 \cdot 26.56 + 0.496 \cdot 42.12 = 34.28$$

The question is...what is  $V(\overline{y}_{post})$ ?

## poststratified variance - I

When the  $n_i$  are fixed we have from before (CORRECTED - 1/N was missing):

$$\hat{V}(\bar{y}_{st}) = \sum_{i=1}^{L} W_i^2 \frac{s^2}{n_i} \frac{N_i - n_i}{N_i}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{L} W_i^2 \frac{s^2}{n_i} \left( 1 - \frac{n_i}{N_i} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{L} W_i^2 \frac{s^2}{n_i} - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{L} W_i s_i^2$$

What is fundamentally different this time?

### poststratified variance - I

The procedure is to replace  $1/n_i$  with  $E(1/n_i)$ . This is difficult to evaluate but can be approximated by:

$$E\left(\frac{1}{n_i}\right) = \frac{1}{nW_i} + \frac{1 - W_i}{n^2 W_i^2}$$

Essentially "almost what we expect" plus "something that might be small". The approximation is good as long as n is large and the weights are not too small. The resulting formula (see book for the three line derivation) is:

$$\hat{V}(\bar{y}_{post}) = \frac{1}{n} \left( 1 - \frac{n}{N} \right) \sum_{i=1}^{L} W_i s_i^2 + \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^{L} (1 - W_i) s_i^2$$

## example completed

The variance of the poststratified mean income estimate is comes from this summary of the situation:

Sex	n	mean	var	sd	W_i	W_i*s^2_i	(1-W_i)*s^2_i
Female	550	26.561	290.620	17.048	0.504	146.473	144.148
Male	450	42.118	1112.308	33.351	0.496	551.705	560.603