

# Unifying theory of GLMs

02.14.22

[Click here for PDF of slides](#)

# Announcements

- Fill out [mini-project 01 team evaluation](#) by **Thu, Feb 17 at 11:59pm**
- Quiz 02: Wed, Feb 16 (after class) - Fri, Feb 18 at 11:59pm

# Learning goals

- Identify the components common to all generalized linear models
- Find the canonical link based on the distribution of the response variable
- Explain how coefficients are estimated using iteratively reweighted least squares (IWLS)

# Unifying theory of GLMs

# Many models; one family

We have studied models for a variety of response variables

- Least squares (Normal)
- Logistic (Bernoulli, Binomial, Multinomial)
- Log-linear (Poisson, Negative Binomial)

These models are all examples of **generalized linear models**.

GLMs have a similar structure for their likelihoods, MLEs, variances, so we can use a generalized approach to find the model estimates and associated uncertainty.

# Components of a GLM

Nelder and Wedderburn (1972) defines a broad class of models called **generalized linear models** that generalizes multiple linear regression. GLMs are characterized by three components:

- 1 Response variable with parameter  $\theta$  whose probability function can be written in exponential family form
- 2 A linear combination of predictors,  $\beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \cdots + \beta_p x_p$
- 3 A function  $f(\theta)$  that connects the parameter of the distribution  $\theta$  to the linear combination of predictors

Nelder, J. A., & Wedderburn, R. W. (1972). Generalized linear models. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series A (General)*, 135(3), 370-384.

# Exponential family form

Suppose a probability (mass or density) function has a parameter  $\theta$ . It is said to have a **one-parameter exponential family form** if

- ✓ The support (set of possible values) does not depend on  $\theta$ , and
- ✓ The probability function can be written in the following form

$$f(y; \theta) = e^{[a(y)b(\theta) + c(\theta) + d(y)]}$$

Using this form:

$$E(Y) = -\frac{c'(\theta)}{b'(\theta)} \quad \text{Var}(Y) = \frac{b''(\theta)c'(\theta) - c''(\theta)b'(\theta)}{[b'(\theta)]^3}$$



# Poisson in exponential family form

$$P(Y = y) = \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^y}{y!} \quad y = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \infty$$

$$\begin{aligned} P(Y = y) &= e^{-\lambda} e^{y \log(\lambda)} e^{-\log(y!)} \\ &= e^{y \log(\lambda) - \lambda - \log(y!)} \end{aligned}$$

Recall the form:  $f(y; \theta) = e^{[a(y)b(\theta) + c(\theta) + d(y)]}$ , where the parameter  $\theta = \lambda$  for the Poisson distribution

- $a(y) = y$
- $b(\lambda) = \log(\lambda)$
- $c(\lambda) = -\lambda$
- $d(y) = \log(y!)$

# Poisson in exponential family form

- The support for the Poisson distribution is  $y = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \infty$ . This does not depend on the parameter  $\lambda$ .
- The probability mass function can be written in the form
$$f(y; \theta) = e^{[a(y)b(\theta) + c(\theta) + d(y)]}$$

The Poisson distribution can be written in one-parameter exponential family form.

# Canonical link

Suppose there is a response variable  $Y$  and a set of predictors that can be written as a linear combination  $\sum_{j=1}^p \beta_j x_j = \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \cdots + \beta_p x_p$

A **link function** connects the mean of  $Y$  to the linear combination of predictors

The **canonical link** is  $g(\mu) = \theta$ , connects the mean to the natural parameter

When working with a member of the one-parameter exponential family, the canonical link is  $b(\theta)$

# Canonical link for Poisson

Recall

$$P(Y = y) = e^{y \log(\lambda) - \lambda - \log(y!)}$$

then the canonical link is  $b(\lambda) = \log(\lambda)$

# GLM framework: Poisson response variable

1 Response variable with parameter  $\theta$  whose probability function can be written in exponential family form

$$P(Y = y) = e^{y \log(\lambda) - \lambda - \log(y!)}$$

2 A linear combination of predictors,  $\beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \cdots + \beta_p x_p$

3 A function  $f(\theta)$  that connects the parameter of the distribution  $\theta$  to the linear combination of predictors

$$\log(\lambda) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \cdots + \beta_p x_p$$

# Activity: Identifying canonical link

For the distribution

- Describe an example of a setting where this random variable may be used
- Write the pmf or pdf in one-parameter exponential form.
- Identify the canonical link function
- One person from each group will write the response on the board and explain how you got to the answer

# Activity

## Distributions

1. Binary
  2. Exponential
  3. Gamma
  4. Geometric
  5. Normal (with fixed  $\sigma = 1$ )
  6. Binomial
- If your group finishes early, you can try identifying the canonical link for other distributions.
  - See [BMLR - Section 3.6](#) and [Section 5.4](#) for more information a

**10:00**

# Iteratively reweighted least squares (IWLS)



# Data: Noisy Miners

The dataset **nminer** contains information about the number of noisy miners (small Australian bird) detected in two woodland patches within the Wimmera Plains of Victoria, Australia. It was obtained from the **GLMsddata** R package. We will use the following variables:

- **Minerab**: The number of noisy miners (abundance) observed in three 20 minute surveys
- **Eucs**: The number of eucalyptus trees in each 2 hectare area (about 4.94 acres)

# Noisy Miner Model

Eucs	Minerab
2	0
10	0
16	3
20	2
19	8

Our goal is to use a Poisson regression model the number of noisy miners observed in three 20 minute surveys based on the number of eucalyptus trees.

$$\log(\text{Minerab}) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{Euc}$$

What are the best estimates of  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$ ?

# Iteratively reweighted least squares (IWLS)

- We find estimates of the coefficients  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$  using maximum likelihood estimation.
- In order to find the maximum likelihood estimates, we will use an **iteratively reweighted least-squares (IWLS)** procedure.
  - Nelder and Wedderburn (1972) show that under certain specifications of the weights and a modified response variable, the estimates found using IWLS are equivalent to MLEs.

# IWLS Set up

**Working response:** Modified response variable at each step of the iteration.

$$z_i = g(\theta) + g'(\theta)(y_i - \theta_i)$$

For Poisson regression, this is

$$z_i = \log(\lambda) + \frac{(y_i - \lambda_i)}{\lambda_i}$$

**Working Weights:** Weights applied to each observation at each step of the iteration

$$W_i = \frac{\theta^2}{Var(Y)} \Rightarrow W_i = \lambda \text{ for Poisson regression}$$

# IWLS procedure

1. Find initial starting values  $\hat{\theta}_i$  ( $\hat{\lambda}_i$  for Poisson)
2. Calculate the working response values  $z_i$ .
3. Calculate the working weights  $W_i$
4. Find the coefficient estimates of the weighted least squares model

$$z_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x$$

with weights  $W_i$

The estimates  $\hat{\beta}_0$  and  $\hat{\beta}_1$  are the estimates for the model coefficients.

Use the updated coefficients to update the estimates of  $\theta_i$  and repeat steps 2 - 5 until convergence.

# Demo in `lecture-11` repo

# Acknowledgements

These slides are based on content in

- [BMLR: Chapter 5 - Generalized Linear Models: A Unifying Theory.](#)
- Nelder, J. A., & Wedderburn, R. W. (1972). Generalized linear models. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series A (General), 135(3), 370-384.
- Generalized Linear Models with Examples in R: Chapter 6 - Generalized Linear Models: Estimation