# Residual Analysis + Generalized Linear Models

Lecture 04

Dr. Colin Rundel


# Lecture 3 wrap up

# Where we left it - Empirical Coverage $(\hat{y})$

```
( epred_draws_fix(b, newdata=d) %>%
       group by (x, y) %>%
       tidybayes::mean hdi(
          .epred, .width = c(0.5, 0.9, 0.95)
 4
 5
       ) %>%
 6
       mutate(contains = y >= .lower & y <= .upper) %>%
       group by(prob = .width) %>%
 8
       summarize(
          emp cov = sum(contains)/n()
 9
10
11
```

## What went wrong?

```
1 epred_draws_fix(b, newdata=d) %>%
2 ggplot(aes(x=x)) +
3 tidybayes::stat_interval(alpha=0.3, aes(y=.epred, group=x)) +
4 geom_point(data=d, aes(y=y))
```




# The right predictions

```
predicted_draws_fix(b, newdata=d) %>%

ggplot(aes(x=x)) +

tidybayes::stat_interval(alpha=0.3, aes(y=.prediction, group=x)) +

geom_point(data=d, aes(y=y))
```



# **Empirical Coverage (y)**

1 0.5

2 0.8

3 0.9

0.44

0.81

0.97


4 0.95 0.97


```
predicted draws fix(b, newdata=d) %>%
      group by(x, y) \%
      tidybayes::mean hdi(
        .prediction, .width = c(0.5, 0.8, 0.9, 0.95)
  4
 5
      ) %>%
 6
      mutate(contains = y >= .lower & y <= .upper) %>%
      group by(prob = .width) %>%
 8
      summarize(
 9
        emp cov = sum(contains)/n()
10
# A tibble: 4 \times 2
   prob emp cov
  <dbl>
          <dbl>
```

# RMSE - y vs yî

```
1 epred_draws_fix(b, newdata=d) %>%
2  group_by(.iteration, .chain) %>%
3  yardstick::rmse(truth = y, estimate = .epre
4  ggplot(aes(x=.estimate, fill=as.factor(.cha
5  geom_density(alpha=0.2) +
6  guides(fill="none")
```


```
predicted_draws_fix(b, newdata=d) %>%
group_by(.iteration, .chain) %>%
yardstick::rmse(truth = y, estimate = .pred
ggplot(aes(x=.estimate, fill=as.factor(.cha
geom_density(alpha=0.2)+
guides(fill="none")
```





# Residual Analysis


# Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> (ppm) from Mauna Loa



#### Where to start?

Well, it looks like stuff is going up on average ...


```
1 l = lm(co2~date, data=mauna_loa)
```



#### and then?

Well there is some periodicity lets add the month ...


```
1 ls = lm(.resid~month, data=mauna_loa_l)
```



#### and then and then?

There is still some long term trend in the data, maybe a fancy polynomial can help ...


```
1 lsy = lm(.resid~poly(date,5), data=mauna_loa_ls)
```



# Putting it all together ...

```
1 \text{ comb} = \text{lm}(\text{co2} \sim \text{date} + \text{month} + \text{poly}(\text{date}, 5), \text{ data} = \text{mauna loa})
  2 summary(1 comb)
Call:
lm(formula = co2 \sim date + month + poly(date, 5), data = mauna loa)
Residuals:
                   Median
     Min
                10
                                    30
                                             Max
-0.72022 -0.19169 -0.00638 0.17565 1.06026
Coefficients: (1 not defined because of singularities)
                  Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept)
                -2.587e+03 1.460e+01 -177.174 < 2e-16 ***
                 1.479e+00 7.334e-03 201.649 < 2e-16 ***
date
                -4.155e+00 1.346e-01 -30.880 < 2e-16 ***
monthAug
monthDec
                -3.566e+00 1.350e-01 -26.404 < 2e-16 ***
                -2.022e+00 1.345e-01 -15.041 < 2e-16 ***
monthFeb
monthJan
                -2.729e+00 1.345e-01 -20.286 < 2e-16 ***
```

## Combined fit + Residuals



# Model performance

Model	rmse	
co2 ~ date	2.248	
co2 ~ month	5.566	
co2 ~ date+month	0.594	
co2 ~ poly(date,5)	2.171	
<pre>co2 ~ month+poly(date,5)</pre>	0.323	
<pre>co2 ~ date+month+poly(date,5)</pre>	0.323	

# Generalized Linear Models

# Background

A generalized linear model has three key components:

- 1. a probability distribution (from the exponential family) that describes your response variable
- 2. a linear predictor  $\eta = X\beta$ ,
- 3. and a link function g such that  $g(E(Y|X)) = \eta$  (or  $E(Y|X) = g^{-1}(\eta)$ ).

# **Poisson Regression**


This is a special case of a generalized linear model for count data where we assume the outcome variable follows a poisson distribution (mean = variance).


$$Y_{i} \sim Poisson(\lambda_{i})$$

$$\log E(Y_{i}|X_{i\cdot}) = \log \lambda_{i} = X_{i\cdot} \beta_{1 \times pp \times 1}$$

# Example - AIDS in Belgium

These data represent the total number of new AIDS cases reported in Belgium during the early stages of the epidemic.






# Frequentist glm fit

```
1 ( g = glm(cases~year, data=aids, family=poisson) )
Call: glm(formula = cases ~ year, family = poisson, data = aids)
Coefficients:
(Intercept)
             year
 -397.0594 0.2021
Degrees of Freedom: 12 Total (i.e. Null); 11 Residual
Null Deviance: 872.2
Residual Deviance: 80.69 AIC: 166.4
```

#### **Model Fit**

```
1 g_pred = broom::augment(
2    g, type.predict = "response",
3    newdata = tibble(year=seq(1981,1993,by=0.1))
4 )
5
6 aids_base +
7    geom_line(data=g_pred, aes(y=.fitted), size=1.2, alpha=0.3)
```




#### Residuals?

The naive approach is to use standard residuals,

$$r_i = Y_i - E(Y_i|X) = Y_i - \hat{\lambda_i}$$

```
1 g_pred_std = broom::augment(
2 g, type.predict = "response"
3 ) %>%
4 mutate(.resid = cases - .fitted)
```




# Accounting for variability

#### Pearson residuals:

$$r_{i} = \frac{Y_{i} - E(Y_{i}|X)}{\sqrt{Var(Y_{i}|X)}} = \frac{Y_{i} - \hat{\lambda_{i}}}{\sqrt{\hat{\lambda_{i}}}}$$

```
1 g_pred_pearson = broom::augment(
2 g, type.predict = "response", type.residuals = "pearson"
3 )
```



#### Deviance

Deviance is a way of measuring the difference between a GLM's fit and the fit of the perfect model (i.e. where  $\theta_b est = E(Y_i | X) = Y_i$ ).

It is defined as twice the log of the ratio between the likelihood of the perfect model and the likelihood of the given model,

$$D = 2 \log \left( \frac{(\theta_{best}|Y)}{(\theta|Y)} \right)$$
$$= 2 \left( l(\theta_{best}|Y) - l(\theta|Y) \right)$$

# **Derivation - Normal**

Sta 344 - Fall 2022 <sub>28</sub>

# **Derivation - Poisson**

## glm output

vear

```
1 summary(q)
Call:
glm(formula = cases ~ year, family = poisson, data = aids)
Deviance Residuals:
   Min 10 Median 30
                                 Max
-4.6784 -1.5013 -0.2636 2.1760 2.7306
Coefficients:
            Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
(Intercept) -3.971e+02 1.546e+01 -25.68 <2e-16 ***
       2.021e-01 7.771e-03 26.01 <2e-16 ***
```


#### **Deviance residuals**

We can therefore think of deviance as  $D = \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i^2$  where  $d_i$  is a generalized residual.


In the Poisson case we have,

$$d_i = sign(y_i - \lambda_i) \sqrt{2(y_i \log(y_i/\hat{\lambda_i}) - (y_i - \hat{\lambda_i}))}$$

```
1 g_pred_dev = broom::augment(
2 g, type.predict = "response", type.residuals = "deviance"
3 )
```



# **Comparing Residuals**




# Updating the model

#### Quadratic fit


```
g2 = glm(cases~year+I(year^2), data=aids, family=poisson)

g2_pred = broom::augment(
    g2, type.predict = "response",
    newdata=tibble(year=seq(1981,1993,by=0.1))

6 )
```



## Quadratic fit - residuals



# Bayesian Model


## **Bayesian Poisson Regression Model**

```
( g bayes = brms::brm(
        cases~year, data=aids, family=poisson,
        silent=2, refresh=0
  4 ) )
 Family: poisson
  Links: mu = log
Formula: cases ~ year
  Data: aids (Number of observations: 13)
  Draws: 4 chains, each with iter = 2000; warmup = 1000; thin = 1;
         total post-warmup draws = 4000
Population-Level Effects:
         Estimate Est.Error 1-95% CI u-95% CI Rhat Bulk ESS Tail ESS
Intercept -397.04 15.92 -428.85 -365.05 1.00
                                                       1381
                                                                1618
              0.20
                                         0.22 1.00
year
                        0.01
                                 0.19
                                                       1382
                                                                1605
Draws were sampled using sampling(NUTS). For each parameter, Bulk ESS
and Tail ESS are effective sample size measures, and Rhat is the potential
scale reduction factor on split chains (at convergence, Rhat = 1).
```

## Model priors


# **MCMC** Diagnostics

1 plot(g\_bayes)




#### **Posterior Predictive Check**

1 brms::pp\_check(g\_bayes)



# Model fit – $\lambda$ CI



#### Model fit - Y CI

