

Ex: Sparrows

①

$Y$ : # fledglings (offspring)

$X$ : ages

idea: The # of fledglings a sparrow has <sup>in a mating season</sup> depends on the age of the sparrow.

We could model this count data  $Y$  with:

$$Y|X \sim \text{Poisson}(\theta_x)$$

since support Poisson r.v.  $Y$  is  $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$

Here,  $EY|X = \theta_x$  is age-specific.

Possible ways to model  $\theta_x$ :

(1) discrete:  $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k$

a specific  $\theta_i$  for each age  $i$  of sparrow.

\* Note: if we don't collect much data on certain age sparrows then our estimates for some  $\theta_i$  will be poor.

$$(2) \theta_x = f(x) = \beta_1 + \beta_2 x + \beta_3 x^2$$

\* Note  $\theta_x$  should not be negative yet it can be equation of a hyperplane under model (2).

(3) sol'n: log-transform.

$$\log EY|X = \log \theta_x: \beta_1 + \beta_2 x + \beta_3 x^2$$

$$\text{i.e. } EY|X = \exp\{\beta_1 + \beta_2 x + \beta_3 x^2\} > 0$$

Terminology

$$Y|X \sim \text{Poisson}(\exp\{\beta^T \vec{x}\})$$

$\beta^T x$

"Poisson regression"

"linear predictor"

$EY|X$  is linked to the linear predictor w/ the log function <sup>(2)</sup>  
So we say this model has a log-link.

In general  $f(EY|X) = \beta^T X$  is a generalized linear model (GLM) w/ link function  $f$ .

A note on  $\beta^T \vec{x}$

$$\beta = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \beta_3 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{Dimension } 3 \times 1$$

$$\vec{x}_i = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ x_i^1 \\ x_i^2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{Dimension } 3 \times 1 \quad \text{i.e. corresponds to 1 sparrow.}$$

So for any individual sparrow,

$$E y_i | x_i = \exp \left\{ \underset{1 \times 3 \times 1}{\beta^T x_i} \right\} = \exp \{ \beta_1 \cdot 1 + \beta_2 x_i^1 + \beta_3 x_i^2 \}$$

Recap:

We have a data generative model:

$$Y|X \sim \text{Poisson}(\exp\{\beta^T X\})$$

and if we know a bird's age and <sup>if</sup> we know  $\beta$ ,  
we could predict  $Y$ .

The trouble is, we don't know  $\beta$ .

$\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3$  are unknown.

We want to estimate them from some data.

We want  $p(\beta | Y, X)$ .

We need to specify priors on  $\beta$ .

Common prior:

(3)

$$\beta \sim \text{MVN}(0, \Sigma)$$

Ex What does  $p(\beta | y, X)$  look like?

So

$$p(\beta | y, X) \propto p(\beta) \prod_{i=1}^n p(y_i | \beta, x_i)$$
$$\propto \underbrace{\exp\{-\frac{1}{2} \beta^T \Sigma \beta\}}_{\text{normal}} \underbrace{\prod_{i=1}^n \exp\{(\beta^T x_i) \cdot y_i\} \cdot \exp\{-\exp\{\beta^T x_i\}\}}_{\text{exp}\{\sum_{i=1}^n [\beta^T x_i y_i - \exp\{\beta^T x_i\}]\}}$$

Does not look like a known kernel.

Rec NOT conjugate

NOT semi-conjugate

NOT easy to sample from using known methods.

But still, we want to sample from it because

$$p(\beta | y, X) = \frac{p(\beta) p(y | X, \beta)}{\iiint p(\beta) p(y | X, \beta) d\beta_1 d\beta_2 d\beta_3 \dots \rightarrow "p(y)"}$$

is a nasty integral to numerically approx in higher dimensions.

---

Goal: construct a Markov chain that approximates the posterior. we need to avoid computing  $p(y)$ .

## Metropolis algo.

(4)

Intuition: weighted die ex.

Let  $p(\theta=i) = i/w$  for  $i \in \{1, \dots, 6\}$   
so the pmf of  $\theta$  looks like:



We want to sample from  $p(\theta)$ .

Start @  $\theta=3$

propose  $\theta^*=2$

We need more  $\theta=3$  than  $\theta=2$  in our sample, if  
our sample is to approximate  $p(\theta)$  well.  
How many more?

$$\frac{p(\theta^*)}{p(\theta)} = \frac{2/w}{3/w} = 2/3. \quad \text{I need } 2/3 \text{ as many samples of } \theta=2 \text{ in my Markov chain.}$$

So accept new state  $\theta^*=2$  w/ probability  $2/3$ .

Say, I propose  $\theta^*=4$ . Current state is  $\theta=3$

$$\frac{p(\theta^*)}{p(\theta)} = \frac{4/w}{3/w} > 1.$$

So the state  $\theta=4$  is more probable. We should accept it as the next state in our Markov chain.

Eventually we will have:

$\theta$   
3  
2  
3  
4  
4  
5  
4  
5  
5  
6  
...

that will approximate the target distr. well.

\* Note we do not need to know  $w$  to implement this.



## Metropolis Algorithm

1. Sample  $\theta^* | \theta^{(s)} \sim J(\theta | \theta^{(s)})$
2. Compute acceptance ratio

$$r = \frac{p(\theta^* | y)}{p(\theta^{(s)} | y)}$$

3. Let  $\theta^{(s+1)} = \begin{cases} \theta^* & \text{w/ prob } \min(r, 1) \\ \theta^{(s)} & \text{w/ prob } 1 - \min(r, 1) \end{cases}$

$J(\theta | \theta^{(s)})$  is the proposal distribution.  
It proposes a new value  $\theta$  given our current  $\theta^{(s)}$ .

For this to be the "Metropolis algo.",  $J$  is symmetric.  
i.e.  $J(\theta_a | \theta_b) = J(\theta_b | \theta_a)$ .

### Practice

- (1) Implement die example, w/  
 $J(\theta=j | \theta^{(s)}=i) = 1/6$  for all  $j$ .  
i.e. propose a new state  $j$  uniformly.