

Lehmann-Scheffe

Lehmann-Scheffé theorem

Recall: Let T be complete, and suppose $\varphi(T)$ and $\psi(T)$ are two unbiased estimators of θ . Then

$$\mathbb{E}_\theta[\varphi(T)] = \mathbb{E}_\theta[\psi(T)] = \theta \quad \forall \theta, \text{ so}$$
$$\mathbb{E}_\theta[\varphi(T) - \psi(T)] = 0 \quad \forall \theta. \text{ But } T \text{ is complete, so}$$

$\varphi(T) = \psi(T)$, and so $\varphi(T)$ is unique (there is only one unbiased estimator of θ which is a function of T).

Theorem: (Lehmann-Scheffé) Suppose $T \in \mathcal{T}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is a complete, sufficient statistic, and $\varphi(T)$ is an unbiased estimator of θ . Then $\varphi(T)$ is a best unbiased estimator of θ .

Pf: Let w be some other unbiased estimator of θ
wTS $\text{Var}(\varphi(T)) \leq \text{Var}(w)$

$$\text{Let } \psi(T) = \mathbb{E}[w | T]$$

T is sufficient, so by Rao-Blackwell
 $\text{Var}(\psi(T)) \leq \text{Var}(w)$

And $\psi(T)$ is also an unbiased estimator.

But T is complete, $\psi(T) = \varphi(T)$

$\Rightarrow \text{Var}(\varphi(T)) \leq \text{Var}(w)$. So $\varphi(T)$ is the best unbiased estimator. //

Example

Suppose $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Uniform}[0, \theta]$.

Recall: $X_{(n)}$ is a biased estimator of θ ($E[X_{(n)}] = \frac{n\theta}{n+1}$)

$(\frac{n+1}{n})X_{(n)}$ is an unbiased estimator

Is $(\frac{n+1}{n})X_{(n)}$ the best unbiased estimator of θ ?

• Can't use CRLB (regularity conditions don't hold)

• Lehmann-Scheffé: $(\frac{n+1}{n})X_{(n)}$ is the best unbiased estimator if $X_{(n)}$ is sufficient & complete

Factorization $\Rightarrow X_{(n)}$ is sufficient

complete: WTS if $E_\theta[g(X_{(n)})] = 0 \quad \forall \theta$, then
 $P_\theta(g(X_{(n)}) = 0) = 1 \quad \forall \theta$

$$\text{Suppose } E_\theta[g(X_m)] = 0 \quad f_{X_m}(t|\theta) = \frac{\theta t^{m-1}}{\theta^n} \quad t \in (0, \theta)$$

$$E_\theta[g(X_m)] = \int_0^\theta g(t) \frac{\theta t^{n-1}}{\theta^n} dt = \theta^{-n} \int_0^\theta g(t) n t^{n-1} dt$$

$$\text{if } E_\theta[g(X_m)] = 0 \quad \text{then} \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} E_\theta[g(X_m)] = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} E_\theta[g(X_m)] = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left[\theta^{-n} \int_0^\theta g(t) n t^{n-1} dt \right]$$

$$= \theta^{-n} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \int_0^\theta g(t) n t^{n-1} dt \right] + \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \theta^{-n} \right] \underbrace{\int_0^\theta g(t) n t^{n-1} dt}_{0 \text{ if } E_\theta[g(X_m)] = 0}$$

$$= \theta^{-n} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \int_0^\theta g(t) n t^{n-1} dt \right]$$

$$= \theta^{-n} [n g(\theta) \theta^{n-1}] \quad (\text{FTC})$$

$$= \theta^{-n} n g(\theta) = 0 \quad \text{only when } g(\theta) = 0$$

we assumed that
 $E_\theta[g(X_m)] = 0 \quad \forall \theta$
 $\Rightarrow g(\theta) = 0 \quad \forall \theta$
 $\Rightarrow g(X_m) = 0$
 $\Rightarrow X_m \text{ is complete!}$

Examples: normal, gamma, beta,

binomial, Poisson, negative binomial

Exponential families

A distribution with probability function $f(x|\theta)$ is an exponential family distribution if we can write

$$f(x|\theta) = h(x) c(\theta) \exp \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k w_i(\theta) t_i(x) \right\}$$

where $h(x) \geq 0$, $c(\theta) \geq 0$, and $w_i(\theta), t_i(x) \in \mathbb{R}$

$h(x), t_i(x)$ only depend on x (no θ)

$c(\theta), w_i(\theta)$ only depend on θ (no x)

Ex: Poisson (λ) $f(x|\lambda) = \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^x}{x!}$

$$= \frac{1}{x!} e^{-\lambda} \exp \{ x \log(\lambda) \}$$

$$= h(x) c(\lambda) \exp \{ w_1(\lambda) t_1(x) \}$$

$$h(x) = \frac{1}{x!}, c(\lambda) = e^{-\lambda}, w_1(\lambda) = \log(\lambda), t_1(x) = x$$

Exponential families and completeness

Suppose x_1, \dots, x_n are iid from an exponential family

with

$$f(x|\theta) = h(x) c(\theta) \exp \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n w_i(\theta) t_i(x) \right\}$$

$g(x|\theta)$

Sufficiency: By factorization theorem, $T(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n t_1(x_j), \dots, \sum_{j=1}^n t_K(x_j) \right)$
is sufficient for θ

Completeness: If, in addition, $\{w_1(\theta), \dots, w_K(\theta)\}$ contains
an open set in \mathbb{R}^K , then

$$T(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n t_1(x_j), \dots, \sum_{j=1}^n t_K(x_j) \right)$$

is complete

Ex: $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$

↑ exponential family with $\psi(\lambda) = \log(\lambda)$

$$t_1(x) = x$$

$$T(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n t_1(X_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$

① $T = \sum_i X_i$ is sufficient ✓

② $\{\log(\lambda) : \lambda > 0\}$ contains an open set in \mathbb{R}

so $T = \sum_i X_i$ is complete ✓

By Lehmann-Scheffé, $T = \sum_i X_i$ is complete & sufficient,

and $\hat{\lambda} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i X_i$ is unbiased for λ .

Thus $\hat{\lambda}$ is the best unbiased estimator of λ

Example

Suppose that $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Bernoulli}(p)$.

- + Show the Bernoulli is an exponential family distribution
- + Find a complete, sufficient statistic
- + Use the complete, sufficient statistic to find a best unbiased estimator of p