

## STA 711 Homework 7

**Due:** Friday, March 29, 11:00am on Canvas.

**Instructions:** Submit your work as a single PDF. For this assignment, you may include written work by scanning it and incorporating it into the PDF. Include all R code needed to reproduce your results in your submission.

### Tests for variances

1. Suppose that  $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2)$ . We wish to test the hypotheses  $H_0 : \sigma^2 = \sigma_0^2$  vs.  $H_A : \sigma^2 = \sigma_1^2$ , where  $\sigma_0^2 < \sigma_1^2$ .
  - (a) Show that the most powerful test of these hypotheses rejects when  $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 > c$ , for some value  $c$ .
  - (b) Find  $c$  such that the test in part (a) has size  $\alpha$ .
2. Suppose that  $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , with both  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$  unknown. Our hypotheses are  $H_0 : \sigma^2 = \sigma_0^2$  vs.  $H_A : \sigma^2 \neq \sigma_0^2$ . Propose a test statistic and rejection region for testing these hypotheses, such that the resulting test is size  $\alpha$ .

### Paired t-test

Many studies involve the analysis of *paired* data, in which two observations are taken on the same individual. For example, researchers studying whether a teaching intervention improves student learning may assess each student's knowledge before and after the intervention, and examine how much the scores changed.

Suppose that we observe pairs  $(Y_{11}, Y_{12}), (Y_{21}, Y_{22}), \dots, (Y_{n1}, Y_{n2})$ . The pairs are independent, that is  $(Y_{i1}, Y_{i2}) \perp\!\!\!\perp (Y_{j1}, Y_{j2})$  for  $i \neq j$ . Within each pair, we assume that

$$Y_{i2} = Y_{i1} + \varepsilon_i$$

where  $\varepsilon_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , and both  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$  are unknown. We wish to test  $H_0 : \mu = 0$  vs.  $H_A : \mu \neq 0$ .

3. Construct a test statistic for these hypotheses which follows a  $t_{n-1}$  distribution. Your answer should demonstrate that the statistic does indeed follow a  $t_{n-1}$  distribution.

### Chi-squared goodness-of-fit test

A random variable  $X$  follows a *categorical* distribution with  $k$  categories if  $X \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  and the probability that  $X$  is in category  $j$  is  $P(X = j) = p_j$ , with each  $p_j \in [0, 1]$  and  $\sum_{j=1}^k p_j = 1$ . We write  $X \sim \text{Categorical}(p_1, \dots, p_k)$ . (This is just a generalization of the Bernoulli to more than two categories).

Suppose that we observe  $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Categorical}(p_1, \dots, p_k)$ . Let  $n_j = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}\{X_i = j\}$  (the number of observations in category  $j$ ), and note that  $\sum_j n_j = n$ . We are interested in testing the hypotheses

$$H_0 : (p_1, \dots, p_k) = (p_{01}, \dots, p_{0k}) \quad H_A : (p_1, \dots, p_k) \neq (p_{01}, \dots, p_{0k})$$

(in other words, are the true probabilities for each category equal to hypothesized probabilities).

4. (a) Find the maximum likelihood estimators  $\hat{p}_j$  of each probability  $p_j$ . (*Hint:* You will need to add a constraint that  $\sum_j \hat{p}_j = 1$ . Lagrange multipliers may be helpful.)
- (b) Let  $\Lambda$  denote the likelihood ratio test statistic for the hypotheses above. Show that  $2 \log(\Lambda)$  can be written in the form

$$2 \log(\Lambda) = 2 \sum_{j=1}^k n_j \log \left( \frac{n_j}{e_j} \right),$$

where you will need to define  $e_j$ .

- (c) Show that if each  $|n_j - e_j|$  is small, then

$$2 \log(\Lambda) \approx \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{(n_j - e_j)^2}{e_j}.$$

(*Hint:* Use a second-order Taylor approximation...)