

# CRLB and Rao-Blackwell

## Cramer-Rao lower bound

Thm: Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be a sample from a distribution with probability function  $f(x|\theta)$ , and  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ . And let  $\hat{\theta}$  be an unbiased estimator of  $\theta$ . Under regularity conditions,

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\theta}) \geq \frac{1}{I(\theta)}$$

Proof will use C-S inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbb{E}[(X-\mu_x)(Y-\mu_y)])^2 &\leq \mathbb{E}[(X-\mu_x)^2] \mathbb{E}[(Y-\mu_y)^2] \\ (\text{cov}(X, Y))^2 &\leq \text{var}(X) \text{var}(Y) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{cov}(\hat{\theta}, u(\theta)))^2 &\leq \text{var}(\hat{\theta}) \text{var}(u(\theta)) \\ &= \text{var}(\hat{\theta}) I(\theta) \end{aligned}$$

$$\underline{\text{Pf:}} \quad (\text{Cov}(\hat{\theta}, u(\theta)))^2 \leq \text{Var}(\hat{\theta}) I(\theta)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(\hat{\theta}, u(\theta)) &= \mathbb{E}[\hat{\theta} u(\theta)] - \mathbb{E}[\hat{\theta}] \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[u(\theta)]}_{=0} \quad \text{under regularity conditions} \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\hat{\theta} u(\theta)] \end{aligned}$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\hat{\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \theta)\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\hat{\theta} \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \theta)}{f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \theta)}\right]$$

$$= \int \hat{\theta}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \right] \cdot \frac{1}{f(x_1, \dots, x_n)} dx_1 \dots dx_n$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int \hat{\theta}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \right] dx_1 \dots dx_n \\ &\stackrel{\text{regularity conditions}}{=} \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}}{\partial \theta} \int \hat{\theta}(x_1, \dots, x_n) f(x_1, \dots, x_n) dx_1 \dots dx_n = \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}}{\partial \theta} \mathbb{E}[\hat{\theta}] \\ &\quad \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[\hat{\theta}]}_{\text{unbiased}} = \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}}{\partial \theta} \theta = 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 \leq \text{Var}(\hat{\theta}) I(\theta) \Rightarrow \text{Var}(\hat{\theta}) \geq \frac{1}{I(\theta)} //$$

More generally:

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\theta}) \geq \frac{\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \mathbb{E}[\hat{\theta}] \right)^2}{I(\theta)}$$

(requires regularity conditions, true for biased and unbiased estimators)

Recall: under certain conditions, the MLE  $\hat{\theta}$  of  $\theta$  has the following properties:

①  $\hat{\theta} \xrightarrow{P} \theta$  (consistent)

②  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, I_i^{-1}(\theta))$

- $\hat{\theta}$  is asymptotically normal
- asymptotically unbiased
- asymptotically achieves CRLB

## Rao-Blackwell

Let  $\theta$  be a parameter of interest, and  $\gamma(\theta)$  be some function of  $\theta$ .

Let  $\hat{\gamma}$  be some unbiased estimator of  $\gamma(\theta)$ , and  $T$  be a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ .

Let  $\gamma^* = \mathbb{E}[\hat{\gamma} | T]$ . Then:

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \mathbb{E}[\gamma^*] = \gamma$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \text{var}(\gamma^*) \leq \text{var}(\hat{\gamma})$$

Reminder: If the conditional distribution of  $x_1, \dots, x_n | T$  does not depend on  $\theta$ , then  $T$  is a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ .

Law of iterated expectation:

$$\mathbb{E}_Y[\mathbb{E}_X[X|Y]] = \mathbb{E}_X[X]$$

Law of iterated variance:

$$\text{Var}(X) = \text{Var}_Y(\mathbb{E}_X[X|Y]) + \mathbb{E}_Y[\text{Var}_X(X|Y)]$$

Pf:

①  $\mathbb{E}[\hat{\gamma}^*] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\hat{\gamma}|T]] = \mathbb{E}[\hat{\gamma}] = \gamma$

(unbiased)

✓

②  $\text{Var}(\hat{\gamma}^*) = \text{Var}(\mathbb{E}[\hat{\gamma}|T])$   
 $\leq \text{Var}(\mathbb{E}[\hat{\gamma}|T]) + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[\text{Var}(\hat{\gamma}|T)]}_{\geq 0}$   
 $= \text{Var}(\hat{\gamma})$

✓

Why do we need sufficiency?

$$\hat{\gamma}^* = \mathbb{E}[\hat{\gamma}|T]$$

If  $T$  is sufficient,  $(X_1, \dots, X_n) | T$  does not depend on  $\theta \Rightarrow \hat{\gamma}|T$  does not depend on  $\theta$  (or on  $\gamma(\theta)$ )

We need  $\hat{\gamma}^*$  to not be a function of  $\theta$  and  $\gamma(\theta)$

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$  sufficient statistic:

$$T = \sum_i X_i$$

consider  $\hat{\lambda} = X_1$   $E[\hat{\lambda}] = \lambda$   $\text{var}(\hat{\lambda}) = \lambda$

$$\lambda^* = E[\hat{\lambda} | T]$$

$$E[X_1 | T] = E[X_2 | T] = \dots$$

$$E[\sum_i X_i | T] = T$$

$$\underbrace{\sum_i E[X_i | T]}_{E[\sum_i X_i | T]} = n E[X_1 | T]$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_i E[X_i | T] = T$$

$$= T$$

$$\Rightarrow n E[X_1 | T] = T$$

$$\Rightarrow E[X_1 | T] = \frac{T}{n}$$

$$\lambda^* = \frac{T}{n} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i X_i$$

(achieves CRLB)