

Lecture 30: Multiple testing considerations

Motivation: differential gene expression

Suppose a biologist is interested in identifying genes which are *differentially expressed* under different biological treatments. The biologist observes 10 subjects under treatment A, and 10 subjects under treatment B. Gene expression measurements $X_{i,j}$ (treatment A) and $Y_{i,j}$ (treatment B) are recorded for 1000 different genes ($i = 1, \dots, 1000, j = 1, \dots, 10$).

For each gene i , the biologist tests $H_0 : \mu_{i,A} = \mu_{i,B}$, rejecting when the p-value is below a threshold α .

If H_0 is actually true for all 1000 genes, how many false positives do we expect?

$$\alpha \cdot 1000$$

Motivation: multiple testing

In what other settings might we test many hypotheses?

Outcomes for multiple hypothesis tests

Suppose we test m null hypotheses $H_{0,1}, \dots, H_{0,m}$

of which m_0 are truly null (H_0 is true)

Ideally, we reject V for the $m - m_0$ true alternatives, and fail to reject the m_0 true nulls. Possible outcomes:

	H_0 true	H_0 false
Reject	✓	
Fail to reject		
	m_0	$m - m_0$
	m	

we want to control V , the # of false positives
(# of type I errors).

$$\text{FWER} = P(V > 0) \quad (\text{probability of at least one type I error})$$

Family-wise error rate

Definition: Suppose we test m null hypotheses $H_{0,1}, \dots, H_{0,m}$. The *family-wise error rate* is the probability of making *at least one* type I error:

$$FWER = P \left(\bigcup_{i: H_{0,i} \text{ is true}} \{\text{reject } H_{0,i}\} \right)$$

Suppose all m tests are independent, and $H_{0,i}$ is true for all tests. For each test, we reject if the corresponding p-value $p_i < \alpha$. What is the FWER?

$$\begin{aligned} FWER &= P \left(\bigcup_{i: H_{0,i} \text{ true}} \{\text{reject } H_{0,i}\} \right) = P \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^m \text{reject } H_{0,i} \right) \\ &= 1 - P(\text{fail to reject all } H_{0,i}) \\ &= 1 - (1 - \alpha)^m \quad (\text{independence}) \end{aligned}$$

The Sidak correction

$$FWER = P \left(\bigcup_{i: H_{0,i} \text{ is true}} \{\text{reject } H_{0,i}\} \right)$$

If all m hypotheses are independent, at what threshold α^* should we reject each test, such $FWER \leq \alpha$?

$$FWER \leq 1 - (1 - \alpha^*)^m \quad (= \text{exactly when all } m \text{ are truly null})$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 - (1 - \alpha^*)^m = \alpha \quad \Rightarrow \quad \alpha^* = 1 - (1 - \alpha)^{\frac{1}{m}}$$

$$\text{Example: } m=100, \quad \alpha = 0.05 \quad \alpha^* \approx 0.00051$$

$$\underline{\text{Sidak correction:}} \quad \text{reject } H_{0,i} \text{ if } p_i < 1 - (1 - \alpha)^{\frac{1}{m}}$$

The Bonferroni correction

$$P(\bigcup_i A_i) \stackrel{\text{union bound}}{\leq} \sum_i P(A_i)$$

$$FWER = P\left(\bigcup_{i: H_{0,i} \text{ is true}} \{\text{reject } H_{0,i}\}\right)$$

Suppose we reject each $H_{0,i}$ if $p_i < \alpha^*$

$$P\left(\bigcup_{i: H_{0,i} \text{ is true}} \text{reject } H_{0,i}\right) \leq \sum_{i: H_{0,i} \text{ true}} P(\text{reject } H_{0,i}) \leq \sum_{i: H_{0,i} \text{ is true}} \alpha^* \leq m \alpha^*$$

Bonferroni correction: Reject when $p_i < \frac{\alpha}{m}$

$$\Rightarrow FWER \leq m \left(\frac{\alpha}{m}\right) = \alpha$$

(in fact, $FWER \leq m_0 \left(\frac{\alpha}{m}\right)$ but don't usually know m_0)

$$\frac{\alpha}{m} < 1 - (1 - \alpha)^{\frac{1}{m}}$$

so Bonferroni is more conservative
than Sidak

Holm's procedure

Suppose we test 5 hypotheses, and observe p-values 0.4, 0.01, 0, 0, 0. Does it still seem reasonable to use the Bonferroni cutoff $\alpha/5$ for each test?

No - want to use other p-values to make a decision for each hypothesis

Idea: order p-values $P_{(1)} \leq P_{(2)} \leq \dots \leq P_{(m)}$

First test: if $P_{(1)} < \frac{\alpha}{m}$ (Bonferroni threshold), reject $H_{0(1)}$

if we reject $H_{0(1)}$, consider $P_{(2)}$. There are now $m-1$ tests left, so reject $H_{0(2)}$ if

$$P_{(2)} < \frac{\alpha}{m-1}$$

continue procedure: reject $H_{0(i)}$ if $P_{(i)} < \frac{\alpha}{m-i+1}$

As soon as $P_{(i)} > \frac{\alpha}{m-i+1}$ for some i , stop the procedure (fail to reject $H_{0(1)}, \dots$)

Holm's procedure

$$\begin{aligned} \text{FWER} &\leq P\left(\min_{i \in I_0} p_{(i)} < \frac{\alpha}{m_0}\right) \\ &\stackrel{\text{union bound}}{\leq} m_0 \left(\frac{\alpha}{m_0}\right) = \alpha \quad // \end{aligned}$$

Suppose we test m null hypotheses $H_{0,1}, \dots, H_{0,m}$. Let p_i be the corresponding p-value for test i .

- Order the p-values $p_{(1)} \leq p_{(2)} \leq \dots \leq p_{(m)}$
- Let $i^* = \min \left\{ i : p_{(i)} > \frac{\alpha}{m-i+1} \right\}$
- Reject $H_{0,(i)}$ for all $i < i^*$

Claim: Holm's procedure controls FWER at level α

Proof: Let $I_0 = \{i : H_{0,(i)} \text{ is true}\}$. Let $m_0 = |I_0|$ (# of the nulls)

Let $j = \min(I_0)$ ($\forall i < j$, $H_{0,(i)}$ is false)

Holm's procedure compares $p_{(j)}$ to $\frac{\alpha}{m-j+1}$. If $p_{(j)} > \frac{\alpha}{m-j+1}$,

fail to reject all the nulls. And since $j = \min(I_0)$ and there are m_0 elements in I_0 , $m-j+1 \geq m_0$.
 \Rightarrow fail to reject all the nulls if $p_{(j)} > \frac{\alpha}{m_0}$

