

Lecture 39: Stein's paradox

Ciaran Evans

Some key parts of the course

- ▶ Maximum likelihood estimation
 - ▶ univariate and multivariate problems
 - ▶ maximum likelihood estimation for regression models
- ▶ Properties of MLEs (under regularity conditions)
 - ▶ consistency
 - ▶ asymptotic normality
 - ▶ asymptotic efficiency (asymptotic variance is CRLB)
- ▶ Hypothesis testing
 - ▶ Neyman-Pearson test (involves likelihoods)
 - ▶ Wald test (can be used for asymptotically normal estimators, like MLEs)
 - ▶ Likelihood ratio test

Today: Maximum likelihood estimation isn't *always* best

The problem

Suppose we observe a single observation $X \sim N(\mu, \mathbf{I})$ from a d -dimensional multivariate normal distribution. We wish to estimate

$$\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_d)^T$$

Question: What do you think is the MLE $\hat{\mu}_{MLE}$?

MSE

Suppose we observe a single observation $X \sim N(\mu, \mathbf{I})$ from a d -dimensional multivariate normal distribution. We wish to estimate

$$\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_d)^T$$

$$\text{MLE: } \hat{\mu}_{MLE} = X$$

$$MSE(\hat{\mu}_{MLE}) = \mathbb{E}[||\hat{\mu}_{MLE} - \mu||^2] =$$

Another estimator

Suppose we observe a single observation $X \sim N(\mu, \mathbf{I})$ from a d -dimensional multivariate normal distribution. The **James-Stein estimator** of μ is

$$\hat{\mu}_{JS} = \left(1 - \frac{d-2}{\|X\|^2}\right) X$$

Activity

$$\hat{\mu}_{MLE} = X \qquad \hat{\mu}_{JS} = \left(1 - \frac{d-2}{\|X\|^2}\right) X$$

Compare $MSE(\hat{\mu}_{MLE})$ to $MSE(\hat{\mu}_{JS})$

Activity

$$\hat{\mu}_{MLE} = X \qquad \hat{\mu}_{JS} = \left(1 - \frac{d-2}{\|X\|^2}\right) X$$

Question: How does $MSE(\hat{\mu}_{MLE})$ compare to $MSE(\hat{\mu}_{JS})$?

Comparing MSE

- ▶ We know that $MSE(\hat{\mu}_{MLE}) = d$
- ▶ It turns out that $MSE(\hat{\mu}_{JS}) = d - (d - 2)^2 \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1}{||X||^2} \right] < d$

Stein's paradox

Suppose we observe a single observation $X \sim N(\mu, \mathbf{I})$ from a d -dimensional multivariate normal distribution.

Regardless of the true value of μ , if $d \geq 3$ then

$$MSE(\hat{\mu}_{JS}) < MSE(\hat{\mu}_{MLE})$$

So: in this situation, if our goal is to minimize MSE we should *never* use the MLE. That is, the MLE is **inadmissible**

Shrinkage estimators

The James-Stein estimator beats the MLE by shrinking towards 0. Other examples of shrinkage estimators are important in regression.

Linear regression:

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\beta + \varepsilon$$

- ▶ Least squares estimates: $\hat{\beta} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\beta} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta\|^2$
- ▶ Ridge regression: $\hat{\beta} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\beta} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta\|^2 + \lambda \|\beta\|^2$
- ▶ Lasso: $\hat{\beta} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\beta} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta\|^2 + \lambda \|\beta\|_1$