

Lecture 25: Likelihood ratio tests

Ciaran Evans

Asymptotics of the LRT

Suppose we observe iid data X_1, \dots, X_n from a distribution with parameter $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, and we wish to test $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$ vs. $H_A : \theta \neq \theta_0$.

Theorem: Under H_0 (and assuming required regularity conditions),

$$2 \log \left(\frac{L(\hat{\theta}_{MLE} | \mathbf{X})}{L(\theta_0 | \mathbf{X})} \right) \xrightarrow{d} \chi_1^2$$

Proof: 2nd order Taylor expansion of $\ell(\theta_0)$ around $\hat{\theta}$ (where $\hat{\theta}$ is MLE)

$$\ell(\theta_0) \approx \ell(\hat{\theta}) + \ell'(\hat{\theta})(\theta_0 - \hat{\theta}) + \frac{1}{2} \ell''(\hat{\theta})(\theta_0 - \hat{\theta})^2$$

(This works b/c under H_0 , $\hat{\theta} \xrightarrow{P} \theta_0$ so $\theta_0 - \hat{\theta}$ is small)

$$\Rightarrow 2(\ell(\hat{\theta}) - \ell(\theta_0)) \approx -\ell''(\hat{\theta})(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0)^2$$
$$= -\frac{1}{n} \ell''(\hat{\theta}) (\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0))^2$$

$$-\frac{1}{n} l''(\hat{\theta}) (\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0))^2$$

$$-\frac{1}{n} l''(\hat{\theta}) \xrightarrow{P} \mathcal{I}_1(\theta_0) \quad (\text{under } H_0)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) &\xrightarrow{d} N(0, \mathcal{I}_1^{-1}(\theta_0)) \quad (\text{under } H_0) \\ &= \mathcal{I}_1^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\theta_0) \cdot N(0, 1) \end{aligned}$$

$$(\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0))^2 \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{I}_1^{-1} \chi_1^2 \quad (\text{CMT})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow -\frac{1}{n} l''(\hat{\theta}) (\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0))^2 &\xrightarrow{d} \cancel{\mathcal{I}_1(\theta_0)} \cdot \cancel{\mathcal{I}_1^{-1}(\theta_0)} \cdot \chi_1^2 \\ &= \chi_1^2 \end{aligned}$$

(Slutsky's theorem)

//

Generalization to higher dimensions

Suppose we observe iid data X_1, \dots, X_n with parameter $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d$.
Partition $\theta = (\theta_{(1)}, \theta_{(2)})^T$, with $\theta_{(2)} \in \mathbb{R}^q$. We wish to test

$$H_0 : \theta_{(2)} = \mathbf{0} \qquad H_A : \theta_{(2)} \neq \mathbf{0}$$

Theorem: Under H_0 (and assuming required regularity conditions),

$$2 \log \left(\frac{\sup_{\theta} L(\theta | \mathbf{X})}{\sup_{\theta: \theta_{(2)} = 0} L(\theta | \mathbf{X})} \right) \xrightarrow{d} \chi_q^2$$

↑
parameters tested
(length of $\theta_{(2)}$)

Earthquake data

Data from the 2015 Gorkha earthquake on 211774 buildings, with variables including:

- ▶ Damage: whether the building sustained any damage (1) or not (0)
- ▶ Age: the age of the building (in years)
- ▶ Surface: a categorical variable recording the surface condition of the land around the building. There are three different levels: n, o, and t

Likelihood ratio tests

```
m1 <- glm(Damage ~ Age*Surface, data = earthquake,  
           family = binomial)  
summary(m1)$coefficients
```

##		Estimate	Std. Error	z value	P
##	(Intercept)	1.411099267	0.032512137	43.4022302	0.000
##	Age	0.059786157	0.002099615	28.4748245	2.4019
##	Surfaceo	0.061461279	0.072860676	0.8435453	3.989
##	Surfacet	-0.474024473	0.034382357	-13.7868520	3.058
##	Age:Surfaceo	0.002807968	0.005087768	0.5519056	5.810
##	Age:Surfacet	0.008163407	0.002230082	3.6605868	2.516

We want to test whether the relationship between Age and Damage is the same for all three surface conditions. What hypotheses do we test?

$$H_0: \beta_u = \beta_s = 0$$

$$H_A: \text{at least one of } \beta_u, \beta_s \neq 0$$

Likelihood ratio tests

Full model:

```
m1 <- glm(Damage ~ Age*Surface, data = earthquake,  
           family = binomial)
```

Reduced model:

```
m2 <- glm(Damage ~ Age + Surface, data = earthquake,  
           family = binomial)
```

reject when $2 \log \left(\frac{L(\hat{\beta}_{full})}{L(\hat{\beta}_{red})} \right)$ is large

$\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{\approx \chi^2_2}$ (testing $\beta_4 = \beta_5 = 0$)

Comparing deviances

deviance : $-2 \log L$ (for logistic regression model)

```
m1 <- glm(Damage ~ Age*Surface, data = earthquake,  
           family = binomial)  
m1$deviance
```

```
## [1] 139150.5
```

```
m2 <- glm(Damage ~ Age + Surface, data = earthquake,  
           family = binomial)  
m2$deviance
```

```
## [1] 139164.4
```

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \log \left(\frac{L_{full}}{L_{reduced}} \right) &= 2 \log L_{full} - 2 \log L_{red} \\ &= deviance_{red} - deviance_{full} \end{aligned}$$

Comparing deviances

```
m1 <- glm(Damage ~ Age*Surface, data = earthquake,  
          family = binomial)  
  
m2 <- glm(Damage ~ Age + Surface, data = earthquake,  
          family = binomial)  
  
pchisq(m2$deviance - m1$deviance,  
       m2$df.residual - m1$df.residual,  
       lower.tail = F)  
  
## [1] 0.0009433955
```