EDMs and goodness of fit

Recap: EDMs and GLMs

Why is the canonical link function nice?

Data

A concerned parent asks us to investigate crime rates on college campuses. We have access to data on 81 different colleges and universities in the US, including the following variables:

- type: college (C) or university (U)
- nv: the number of violent crimes for that institution in the given year
- enroll1000: the number of enrolled students, in thousands
- region: region of the US C = Central, MW = Midwest, NE = Northeast, SE = Southeast, SW = Southwest, and W = West)

Model

$$Crimes_i \sim Poisson(\lambda_i)$$

$$\log(\lambda_i) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 M W_i + \beta_2 N E_i + \beta_3 S E_i + \beta_4 S W_i + \beta_5 W_i$$

Fitted model:

$$\log(\widehat{\lambda}_i) = 1.34 + 0.48~MW_i + 0.44~NE_i + 0.77~SE_i + 0.33~SW_i + 0.53~W_i$$

How would I interpret the intercept 1.34?


Model

$$Crimes_i \sim Poisson(\lambda_i)$$

$$\log(\lambda_i) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 M W_i + \beta_2 N E_i + \beta_3 S E_i + \beta_4 S W_i + \beta_5 W_i$$

What assumptions is this model making?

Exploratory data analysis



Exploratory data analysis

Mean and variance for number of crimes by region:

region	mean	variance
С	3.82	24.28
MW	6.20	37.07
NE	5.95	59.05
SE	8.27	84.35
SW	5.30	75.34
W	6.50	65.71

Goodness of fit

Goodness of fit test: If the model is a good fit for the data, then the residual deviance follows a χ^2 distribution with the same degrees of freedom as the residual deviance

```
## Null deviance: 649.34 on 80 degrees of freedom ## Residual deviance: 621.24 on 75 degrees of freedom ...
```

Residual deviance = 621.24, df = 75

How likely is a residual deviance of 621.24 if our model is correct?

Goodness of fit

Goodness of fit test: If the model is a good fit for the data, then the residual deviance follows a χ^2 distribution with the same degrees of freedom as the residual deviance

Residual deviance = 621.24, df = 75

```
pchisq(621.24, df=75, lower.tail=F)
```

```
## [1] 5.844298e-87
```

So our model might not be a very good fit to the data.

EDMs and deviance

Saddlepoint approximation

Goodness of fit

Goodness of fit test: If the model is a good fit for the data, then the residual deviance follows a χ^2 distribution with the same degrees of freedom as the residual deviance

Residual deviance = 621.24, df = 75

```
pchisq(621.24, df=75, lower.tail=F)
```

```
## [1] 5.844298e-87
```

So our model might not be a very good fit to the data.

Why might our model not be a good fit?

Offsets

We will account for school size by including an **offset** in the model:

$$egin{aligned} \log(\lambda_i) &= eta_0 + eta_1 M W_i + eta_2 N E_i + eta_3 S E_i + eta_4 S W_i + eta_5 W_i \ &+ \log(Enrollment_i) \end{aligned}$$

Motivation for offsets

We can rewrite our regression model with the offset:

$$egin{aligned} \log(\lambda_i) &= eta_0 + eta_1 M W_i + eta_2 N E_i + eta_3 S E_i + eta_4 S W_i + eta_5 W_i \ &+ \log(Enrollment_i) \end{aligned}$$

Fitting a model with an offset

The offset doesn't show up in the output (because we're not estimating a coefficient for it)

Fitting a model with an offset

$$egin{split} \log(\widehat{\lambda}_i) &= -1.30 + 0.10 MW_i + 0.76 NE_i + \ 0.87 SE_i + 0.51 SW_i + 0.21 W_i \ &+ \log(Enrollment_i) \end{split}$$

How would I interpret the intercept -1.30?

When to use offsets

Offsets are useful in Poisson regression when our counts come from groups of very different sizes (e.g., different numbers of students on a college campus). The offset lets us interpret model coefficients in terms of rates instead of raw counts.

With your neighbor, brainstorm some other data scenarios where our response is a count variable, and an offset would be useful. What would our offset be?