# Quasi-Poisson models

## Recap: Quasi-Poisson regression

A model for overdispersed Poisson-like counts, using an estimated dispersion parameter  $\widehat{\phi}$ , is called a *quasi-Poisson* model.

### Recap: Poisson vs. quasi-Poisson

#### Poisson:

#### **Quasi-Poisson:**

# Quasi-likelihood models

### Pros and cons of quasi-Poisson

#### Pros:

- Estimated coefficients are the same as the Poisson model
- lacktriangle Just need to get  $\mu$  and  $V(\mu)$  correct
- lacktriangle Easy to use and interpret estimated dispersion  $\widehat{\phi}$

Cons: Uses a quasi-likelihood, not a full likelihood. So we don't get

- AIC or BIC (these require log-likelihood)
- Quantile residuals (these require a defined CDF)

## Inference with quasi-Poisson models

How can we test whether there is a difference between crime rates for Western and Central schools?

# t-tests for single coefficients

## Inference with quasi-Poisson models

How can we test whether there is any relationship between Region and crime rates?

# F-tests for multiple coefficients

# F-test example

# F-test example

```
m1 <- glm(nv ~ region, offset = log(enroll1000),
           data = crimes, family = quasipoisson)
m0 \leftarrow glm(nv \sim 1, offset = log(enroll1000),
           data = crimes, family = quasipoisson)
deviance_change <- m0$deviance - m1$deviance</pre>
df numerator <- m0$df.residual - m1$df.residual</pre>
numerator <- deviance_change/df_numerator</pre>
denominator <- m1$deviance/m1$df.residual</pre>
numerator/denominator
```

## [1] 2.003533

```
pf(numerator/denominator, df_numerator,
    m1$df.residual, lower.tail=F)
```

# An alternative to quasi-Poisson

#### Poisson:

- + Mean =  $\lambda_i$
- + Variance =  $\lambda_i$

### quasi-Poisson:

- $\bullet$  Mean =  $\lambda_i$
- + Variance =  $\phi \lambda_i$
- Variance is a linear function of the mean

What if we want variance to depend on the mean in a different way?

# The negative binomial distribution

If  $Y_i \sim NB(r,p)$ , then  $Y_i$  takes values  $y=0,1,2,3,\ldots$  with probabilities

$$P(Y_i=y)=rac{\Gamma(y+r)}{\Gamma(y+1)\Gamma(r)}(1-p)^rp^y$$

- $+ r > 0, p \in [0,1]$
- $lacksquare \mathbb{E}[Y_i] = rac{pr}{1-p} = \mu$
- $extbf{Var}(Y_i) = rac{pr}{(1-p)^2} = \mu + rac{\mu^2}{r}$
- Variance is a quadratic function of the mean

# Mean and variance for a negative binomial variable

If  $Y_i \sim NB(r,p)$ , then

$$lacksquare \mathbb{E}[Y_i] = rac{pr}{1-p} = \mu$$

$$lacksquar Var(Y_i) = rac{pr}{(1-p)^2} = \mu + rac{\mu^2}{r}$$

How is r related to overdispersion?

# **Negative binomial regression**

$$Y_i \sim NB(r,~p_i)$$

$$\log(\mu_i) = eta^T X_i$$

$$m{+} \;\; \mu_i = rac{p_i r}{1-p_i}$$

- lacktriangle Note that r is the same for all i
- Note that just like in Poisson regression, we model the average count
  - lacktriangle Interpretation of etas is the same as in Poisson regression

### In R

 $\hat{r} = 1.066$ 

```
library (MASS)
m3 <- glm.nb(nv ~ region + offset(log(enroll1000)),
         data = crimes)
             Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
##
                        0.28137 -4.741 2.12e-06 ***
##
  (Intercept) -1.33404
  regionMW 0.14230 0.44824 0.317 0.75089
## regionNE 0.94567 0.36641 2.581 0.00985 **
## regionSE 1.18534 0.39736 2.983 0.00285 **
## regionSW 0.33449 0.45666 0.732 0.46387
## regionW
          0.06466 0.47628 0.136 0.89201
##
## (Dispersion parameter for Negative Binomial(1.0662) fami
```