

Overdispersion

• Reminders:

- No class on Friday
 - I have posted a Poisson regression activity on the course website
- Exam 1 re-submission due Monday 10/24

Last time : unit deviance for Normal

$$f(y; \mu, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{y^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\} \exp\left\{\frac{y\mu - \mu^2/2}{\sigma^2}\right\}$$

$$t(y, \mu) = y\mu - \frac{\mu^2}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow t(y, y) = \frac{y^2}{2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2(t(y, y) - t(y, \mu)) &= 2\left(\frac{y^2}{2} - y\mu + \frac{\mu^2}{2}\right) \\ &= 2\left(\frac{1}{2}(y - \mu)^2\right) \\ &= (y - \mu)^2 \end{aligned}$$

Data

A concerned parent asks us to investigate crime rates on college campuses. We have access to data on 81 different colleges and universities in the US, including the following variables:

- + type: college (C) or university (U)
- + nv: the number of violent crimes for that institution in the given year
- + enroll1000: the number of enrolled students, in thousands
- + region: region of the US C = Central, MW = Midwest, NE = Northeast, SE = Southeast, SW = Southwest, and W = West)

$$\mu_i \sim \text{EDM}(\mu_i, \emptyset)$$

$$g(\mu_i) = \beta^T X_i + \sigma_i$$

Offsets

We will account for school size by including an **offset** in the model:

$$\text{Crimes}_i \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda_i)$$

$$\log(\lambda_i) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 MW_i + \beta_2 NE_i + \beta_3 SE_i + \beta_4 SW_i + \beta_5 W_i \\ + \underbrace{\log(\text{Enrollment}_i)}_{\text{offset}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \log\left(\frac{\lambda_i}{\text{Enrollment}_i}\right) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 MW_i + \dots$$

• let's use β s in terms of rates $\left(\frac{\lambda_i}{\text{Enrollment}_i}\right)$

• response is still Crimes

• still assume Poisson distribution for Crimes

Fitting a model with an offset

```
m2 <- glm(nv ~ region, offset = log(enroll1000),  
          data = crimes, family = poisson)  
summary(m2)
```

```
...  
##              Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)  
## (Intercept) -1.30445    0.12403  -10.517  < 2e-16 ***  
## regionMW     0.09754    0.17752   0.549   0.58270  
## regionNE     0.76268    0.15292   4.987   6.12e-07 ***  
## regionSE     0.87237    0.15313   5.697   1.22e-08 ***  
## regionSW     0.50708    0.18507   2.740   0.00615 **  
## regionW      0.20934    0.18605   1.125   0.26053  
...
```

- ✚ The offset doesn't show up in the output (because we're not estimating a coefficient for it)

Fitting a model with an offset

$$\begin{aligned}\log(\hat{\lambda}_i) = & -1.30 + 0.10MW_i + 0.76NE_i + \\ & 0.87SE_i + 0.51SW_i + 0.21W_i \\ & + \log(Enrollment_i)\end{aligned}$$

How would I interpret the intercept -1.30?

The estimated ^{average} crime rate for central colleges
is $e^{-1.3} = 0.273$ crimes per 1000 students

When to use offsets

Offsets are useful in Poisson regression when our counts come from groups of very different sizes (e.g., different numbers of students on a college campus). The offset lets us interpret model coefficients in terms of rates instead of raw counts.

With your neighbor, brainstorm some other data scenarios where our response is a count variable, and an offset would be useful. What would our offset be?

Goodness of fit

```
m2 <- glm(nv ~ region, offset = log(enroll1000),  
          data = crimes, family = poisson)  
summary(m2)
```

...

Poisson: $\phi = 1$

```
## (Dispersion parameter for poisson family taken to be 1)  
##  
## Null deviance: 491.00 on 80 degrees of freedom  
## Residual deviance: 433.14 on 75 degrees of freedom  
...
```

```
pchisq(433.14, df=75, lower.tail=F)
```

```
## [1] 8.33082e-52  $\approx 0$ 
```

perhaps Poisson is wrong...

Overdispersion

Overdispersion occurs when the response Y has higher variance than we would expect from the specified EDM

Why is it a problem if Y has more variance than we account for in our model?

Overdispersion

$$y_i \sim \text{EDM}(\mu_i, \phi)$$

$$g(\mu_i) = \beta^T X_i + \alpha_i$$

$$\text{var}(y_i) = \phi v(\mu_i) \quad v = \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \theta}$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{L}}(\beta) = \frac{X^T V X}{\phi} \quad v = \text{diag}(v(\mu_i))$$

$$\text{if } \phi = 1 : \quad \mathcal{L}(\beta) = X^T V X$$

$$\phi > 1, \quad \mathcal{L}(\beta) = \frac{X^T V X}{\phi}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{var}(\hat{\beta} | \phi > 1) = \phi \cdot \text{var}(\hat{\beta} | \phi = 1)$$

\Rightarrow CIs are too narrow

$g = \text{canonical link}$

$$u(\beta) = \frac{X^T (Y - \mu)}{\phi}$$

$$\hat{\beta} \text{ solves } u(\beta) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\beta} \text{ solves } X^T (Y - \mu) = 0$$

Analogy to $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$

$$\hat{\mu} = \bar{X}$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2$$

Estimating ϕ

Using $\hat{\phi}$

```
pearson_resids <- residuals(m2, type="pearson")  
sum(pearson_resids^2)/df.residual(m2)
```

```
## [1] 7.58542
```

```
...
```

##		Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z)	
##	(Intercept)	-1.30445	0.12403	-10.517	< 2e-16	***
##	regionMW	0.09754	0.17752	0.549	0.58270	
##	regionNE	0.76268	0.15292	4.987	6.12e-07	***
##	regionSE	0.87237	0.15313	5.697	1.22e-08	***
##	regionSW	0.50708	0.18507	2.740	0.00615	**
##	regionW	0.20934	0.18605	1.125	0.26053	

```
...
```