

Lecture 14

Diagnostics and checking assumptions

1. Empirical log means / empirical logit / scatterplots.
Apply any transformations needed
2. Fit the model
3. (Quantile) residual plots. Apply any transformations needed
4. VIFs and Cook's distance. Consider removing/modifying variables or observations
5. GOF tests (if applicable)

What if assumptions are violated?

- Fix any shape violations first
- Then address distributional violations
 - Robust variance estimates
 - Use a different distribution/model for the response

↑
NB regression
Quasi-Poisson models
 hurdle models + zero-inflated models
time permitting: methods for correlated data

Recap: maximum likelihood asymptotics

Suppose $Y_1, \dots, Y_n \sim F_\theta$ w/ probability function $f(y; \theta)$

log likelihood: $l(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log f(Y_i; \theta)$

MLE: $\hat{\theta}$ maximizes $l(\theta)$

Let score $u(\theta) = \frac{\partial l}{\partial \theta} = l'(\theta)$

$\hat{\theta}$ solves $u(\hat{\theta}) = 0$

Properties: If model is correct: (w/ regularity conditions)

$\hat{\theta} \xrightarrow{P} \theta$ (true parameter)

$$E_\theta[u(\theta)] = 0$$

$$\text{var}_\theta(u(\theta)) = \hat{I}(\theta) = -E_\theta[l''(\theta)]$$

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \hat{I}_1^{-1}(\theta))$$

$\hat{I}_1(\theta) = \text{Fisher info. for } n=1$

Proof relies on:

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{n} l''(\theta) &\xrightarrow{P} \hat{I}_1(\theta) \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} l'(\theta) &\xrightarrow{d} N(0, \hat{I}_1(\theta)) \end{aligned}$$

Maximum likelihood with mis-specified models

$y_1, \dots, y_n \sim G \quad \Leftarrow \quad \text{no } \theta, \text{ b/c we have the wrong model}$
w/ probability function g

Assume (incorrectly!) that $\gamma_i \sim F_\theta$, and we estimate θ

Still write down $l(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log f(y_i; \theta)$

Estimate: $\hat{\theta}$ solves $u(\theta) = 0$
 $\wedge l'(\theta)$

Q: what is $\hat{\theta}$ actually estimating?? $\propto l'(\theta)$ expectation wrt true distribution

Let θ^* be value of θ that solves $\mathbb{E}_g[u(\theta)] = 0$

Now: θ^* is not true parameter; rather, θ^* is the parameter which best approximates true model (g) in space of models considered $(f(\cdot; \theta))$

$$As \rightarrow \infty \quad \hat{\theta} \xrightarrow{P} \theta^*$$

$$\hat{\theta} \xrightarrow{P} \theta^*$$

Let $v_n(\theta) = \text{Var}_g(l'(\theta))$ $\swarrow u(\theta)$ no longer equal (unless model is correctly specified)

$$J_n(\theta) = -E_g[l''(\theta)]$$

Asymptotics:

$$-\frac{1}{n} l''(\theta) \xrightarrow{P} J_1(\theta^*)$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} l'(\theta) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, V_1(\theta^*))$$

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta^*) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \underbrace{J_1(\theta^*)^{-1} V_1(\theta^*) J_1(\theta^*)^{-1}}_{\text{sandwich variance}})$$

$$\hat{\theta} \approx N(\theta^*, \hat{J}_n(\hat{\theta})^{-1} \hat{V}_n(\hat{\theta}) \hat{J}_n(\hat{\theta})^{-1})$$

Poisson regression: $u(\beta) = X^T (y - \mu) = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \mu_i) X_i$

$$\hat{J}_n(\hat{\beta}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\mu}_i X_i X_i^T = X^T \text{diag}(\hat{\mu}) X \quad \uparrow \exp\{\beta^T X_i\}$$

$$\hat{V}_n(\hat{\beta}) = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{\mu}_i)^2 X_i X_i^T = X^T \text{diag}((y_i - \hat{\mu}_i)^2) X$$

Class activity

https://sta712-f23.github.io/class_activities/ca_lecture_14.html

