Automatic Discovery and Exploitation of Promising Subproblems for Tabulation – Additional Results

Özgür Akgün, Ian P. Gent, Christopher Jefferson, Ian Miguel, Peter Nightingale, András Z. Salamon

School of Computer Science, University of St Andrews, St Andrews, UK {ozgur.akgun, ian.gent, caj21, ijm, pwn1, Andras.Salamon}@st-andrews.ac.uk

Abstract. A short technical report presenting the experimental results of the paper *Automatic Discovery and Exploitation of Promising Subproblems for Tabulation* (published in the proceedings of CP 2018) as tables rather than plots, showing the detail of timeouts and the extra time taken by Savile Row to perform tabulation.

1 Introduction

The experiments in the paper Automatic Discovery and Exploitation of Promising Subproblems for Tabulation compare two configurations of Saville Row using three different solvers. One configuration does tabulation (conversion to a table) of constraints automatically when they trigger one of a set of heuristics, and the other configuration is Saville Row's default settings. The three solvers are as follows:

Minion-Static Minion 1.8, ascending value and static variable orderings. Minion-Conflict Same as the above with Conflict variable ordering. Chuffed Current version of the learning CP solver Chuffed with free search.

Here we present the same set of experimental results in more detail. In particular Savile Row time and solver time are reported separately, and the additional time taken by Savile Row is reported as well. The speed-up includes both Savile Row time and the solver time, and is the same quantity that is plotted in the paper. Each configuration and solver pair is executed five times and the median times are reported for Savile Row and the solver.

The speed-up is simply total time without tabulation divided by total time with tabulation. When the time limit of 6 hours is reached, the speed-up is calculated using the time limit instead of the true time. Therefore the speed-up is an underestimate in the case where the baseline configuration times out and tabulation does not.

Table 1 shows the results for the Minion-Static solver. The additional time taken to tabulate is always under one second for the Knights Tour, Langford and Sports Scheduling Completion problems. For Coprime Sets, the time for

tabulation is much larger, tens or hundreds of seconds. On this problem class there are a large number of constraints and all constraints are replaced with tables, resulting in an entirely different formulation of the problem that performs much better.

Table 2 presents results for the Minion solver with Conflict variable ordering. Minion-Conflict has fewer timeouts than Minion-Static and generally performs better. In particular Minion-Conflict performs much better on the Coprime Sets problem and this negates the speed-up of tabulation that was seen with Minion-Static.

Finally the results with Chuffed are presented in Table 3. In this case most time-outs are for instances of Langfords Problem. Time to perform tabulation is very similar as for the Minion solvers. With Chuffed the most dramatic improvement is on the Knights Tour problem, where very little time is spent on tabulation and the model is improved substantially. Langfords shows some improvement and Sports Scheduling is ambiguous.

Acknowledgements We thank EPSRC for grants EP/P015638/1 and EP/P-026842/1. Dr Jefferson holds a Royal Society University Research Fellowship.

T .	D.CD	D 1	TI CID	TD 1		G I D !!	. m .:
Instance		B-solver				Speed-up B-timeo	ut T-timeout
. 10	. ,	. ,	. ,		SR time (s)	1001 04 TDHE	
coprime-10		21558.30	10.44	1.15	2.51	1861.04 TRUE	
coprime-11		21554.10	23.67	2.32	7.58	830.03 TRUE	
coprime-12		21546.10	41.55	$4.09 \\ 21526.40$	11.99	472.66 TRUE	WDITE:
coprime-13		21533.06			6.66	1.00 TRUE	TRUE
coprime-14		21529.83	120.63	166.08	50.46	75.34 TRUE	WDITE:
coprime-15		21531.34		21457.40	73.95	1.00 TRUE	TRUE
coprime-16		21530.04	$\frac{183.43}{2.42}$	21416.57	113.48	1.00 TRUE	TRUE
coprime-8	2.01	122.51		0.27	0.41	46.33	
coprime-9	3.83		5.43	0.58	1.59	649.72 1.00 TRUE	(IDIII)
knights_10		21584.60		21585.90	0.34		TRUE
knights_6	0.20		0.21	0.26	0.00	31.40	
knights_7	0.28		0.35	0.15	0.06	21.62	
knights_8	0.37		0.49	3.26	0.12	515.44	
knights_9		15064.30	0.68	9.73	0.08	1446.88	
langford_02_13			0.07	264.03	0.02	1.19	
langford_02_14			0.09		0.03	1.19	WDITE:
langford_02_17		21585.20		21583.60	0.02	1.00 TRUE	TRUE
langford_03_11			0.10	1.25	0.04	1.29	
langford_03_12			0.10	7.43	0.03	1.34	
langford_03_13			0.10		0.02	1.46	
langford_03_14			0.12	291.31	0.04	1.50	
langford_03_15			0.17	1947.43	0.09	1.69	
langford_03_16		21585.50		14070.30	0.10	1.53 TRUE	
langford_03_17			0.20	3.40	0.11	1.50	
langford_04_12			0.15	2.05	0.06	1.58	
langford_04_13			0.17	8.53	0.05	1.99	
langford_04_14 langford_04_15			$0.18 \\ 0.19$	49.64 272.13	0.04	2.33 2.32	
langford 04 16			0.19 0.22	1646.27	$0.05 \\ 0.07$	$\frac{2.32}{2.35}$	
langford 04 17		21584.50	0.22 0.23	8692.01	0.07	2.48 TRUE	
langford 05 12			0.23	1.06	0.03	1.22	
langford 05 13			0.20 0.21	2.91	0.07	1.59	
langford 05 14			0.21 0.23		0.07	$\frac{1.59}{2.31}$	
langford 05 15			0.23 0.27		0.08	3.36	
langford 05 16			0.27	256.00	0.09	3.41	
langford 05 17		4554.85	0.29 0.34	1154.41	0.10	3.94	
langford 06 12			0.34 0.26	0.75	0.13	1.20	
langford 06 13		3.75	0.20	$\frac{0.73}{2.32}$	0.00	1.50	
langford 06 14			0.35	7.15	0.11	1.72	
langford 06 15			0.38	25.86	0.13	2.78	
langford 06 16			0.36	106.31	0.14	3.11	
langford_06_17			0.40 0.54	307.13	0.20	5.53	
sports-12-10-10		21584.40		13641.20	0.25	1.58 TRUE	
sports-12-10-10	0.43		0.41	11.88	0.08	12.65	
sports-12-10-1 sports-12-10-2		21583.80	0.41 0.49	293.07	0.03	73.52 TRUE	
sports-12-10-2 sports-12-10-3		21585.60		12896.80	0.09	1.67 TRUE	
sports-12-10-3 sports-12-10-4	0.40		0.33	32.39	0.03	10.36	
sports-12-10-4 sports-12-10-5	0.29		0.55	49.98	0.05	72.83	
sports-12-10-6		21587.20		21586.50	0.03	1.00 TRUE	TRUE
sports-12-10-7		21586.90		21582.30	0.04	1.00 TRUE	TRUE
sports-12-10-8		10749.50	0.48	503.32	0.05	21.34	11001
sports-12-10-9	0.44		0.45	22.80	0.01	29.64	
SP0100 12 10 0	0.11	000.00	0.10		0.01	20.01	

 Table 1. Results for Minion-Static solver. B for baseline, T for tabulation. SR refers to Savile Row.

Instance		B-solver				Speed-up B-timeou	t T-timeout
	. ,	. ,			SR time (s)		
coprime-10	7.51	6.34			2.46	1.23	
coprime-11	16.93				6.37	1.38	
coprime-12	29.47				13.53	1.19	
coprime-13	66.98		73.43		6.45	0.95	
coprime-14	70.35		73.29		2.94	1.05	
coprime-15	68.10		146.41		78.31	0.84	
coprime-16	71.30	4985.78	173.17		101.88	1.00	
coprime-8	1.89		2.64		0.76	0.85	
coprime-9	3.89		5.16		1.27	1.16	
knights_10		21584.20		21586.10	0.32	1.00 TRUE	TRUE
knights_6	0.20		0.21	0.34	0.01	45.84	
knights_7	0.27	9.07	0.31		0.04	19.44	
knights_8	0.37	3265.91	0.50	7.19	0.13	424.84	
knights_9		21584.80	0.73	11.92	0.11	1706.56 TRUE	
$langford_02_13$	0.05	246.78	0.07	221.33	0.02	1.11	
$langford_02_14$	0.05	2249.01	0.09	1967.59	0.03	1.14	
$langford_02_17$		21584.90	0.09	21585.60	0.03	$1.00 \; \mathrm{TRUE}$	TRUE
$langford_03_11$	0.06	1.44	0.10	1.43	0.04	0.98	
$langford_03_12$	0.07	8.66	0.09	8.06	0.03	1.07	
$langford_03_13$		56.66	0.11	49.45	0.03	1.14	
$langford_03_14$	0.08	386.29	0.12	317.69	0.04	1.22	
$langford_03_15$	0.09	2826.17	0.16	2159.69	0.07	1.31	
langford_ 03 _ 16	0.09	21580.70	0.19	15090.70	0.10	$1.43 \; \mathrm{TRUE}$	
langford_ 03_17	0.10	17.46	0.22	4.75	0.13	3.53	
$langford_04_12$	0.09	3.26	0.15	2.56	0.06	1.24	
$langford_04_13$	0.09	15.57	0.18	10.97	0.08	1.41	
$langford_04_14$	0.13	98.20	0.18	61.94	0.05	1.58	
$langford_04_15$	0.14	600.24	0.19	322.49	0.04	1.86	
langford $_04_16$	0.15	3672.04	0.22	1989.64	0.06	1.85	
$langford_04_17$	0.17	21584.50	0.23	10740.00	0.06	2.01 TRUE	
$langford_05_12$	0.13	1.22	0.20	1.03	0.07	1.10	
$langford_05_13$	0.14	4.28	0.21	2.62	0.07	1.56	
$langford_05_14$	0.15	25.43	0.26	12.43	0.11	2.02	
$langford_05_15$	0.18	126.39	0.28	55.95	0.10	2.25	
$langford_05_16$	0.18	652.39	0.30	285.29	0.12	2.28	
langford_ 05_17	0.21	3623.64	0.36	1160.45	0.15	3.12	
$langford_06_12$	0.17	0.84	0.26	0.73	0.09	1.03	
$langford_06_13$	0.20	2.43	0.29	1.68	0.09	1.33	
$langford_06_14$	0.21	10.87	0.32	6.38	0.11	1.65	
$langford_06_15$	0.23	55.87	0.41	23.42	0.18	2.35	
$langford_06_16$	0.28	238.18	0.45	93.09	0.18	2.55	
langford_ 06_17	0.32	1068.59	0.52	324.61	0.21	3.29	
sports-12-10-10	0.45	21584.30	0.50	9398.82	0.05	2.30 TRUE	
sports-12-10-1	0.34	21.12	0.39	0.43	0.05	26.27	
sports-12-10-2	0.46	21584.00	0.50	155.12	0.05	138.70 TRUE	
sports-12-10-3	0.48	21583.30	0.51	5682.02	0.03	3.80 TRUE	
sports-12-10-4	0.29	74.56	0.34	50.29	0.04	1.48	
sports-12-10-5	0.47	530.28	0.52	169.03	0.05	3.13	
sports-12-10-6	0.42	21585.40	0.47	14308.60	0.05	1.51 TRUE	
sports-12-10-7	0.49	21584.00	0.51	21583.10	0.02	$1.00 \; \mathrm{TRUE}$	TRUE
sports-12-10-8	0.44	9283.35	0.49	699.60	0.05	13.26	
sports-12-10-9	0.44	103.31	0.49	23.80	0.05	4.27	

sports-12-10-9 0.44 103.31 0.49 23.80 0.05 4.27 $\hline \textbf{Table 2.} \ \text{Results for Minion-Conflict solver. B for baseline, T for tabulation. SR refers to Savile Row.}$

Instance	B-SR	B-solver	T-SR	T-solver	Increase in	Speed-up B-time	Pout T-timeout
mstance	time (s)	time (s)			SR time (s)	Speed-up D-time	sout 1-timeout
coprime-10	9.63	. ,	10.42	0.31	0.79	1.06	
coprime-10	21.51	3.47	22.61		1.11	1.08	
coprime-11 coprime-12	40.38		40.24		-0.14	1.10	
coprime-13	91.92		151.41	13.61	59.49	0.64	
coprime-13	89.36		163.50	13.47	74.14	0.58	
coprime-14 coprime-15	115.99		187.49	31.57	71.49	0.67	
coprime-16	140.56		255.06	130.87	114.50	0.74	
coprime-16 coprime-8							
	2.46		2.61	0.09	0.16	1.09	
coprime-9	5.20		5.43		0.23	1.12	1
knights_10		21599.05	1.05		0.10	4598.68 TRUE	1
knights_6	0.28		0.25		-0.03	146.85	
knights_7	0.34		0.37		0.03	79.67	
knights_8	0.50		0.49		-0.01	352.03	
knights_9	0.66		0.75	2.84	0.09	1700.22	mpilin.
langford_02_13		21599.95		21599.93	0.01	1.00 TRUE	
langford_02_14		21599.94		21599.92	0.03	1.00 TRUE	
langford_02_17		21599.94		21599.92	0.02	1.00 TRUE	TRUE
langford_03_11			0.08	3.41	0.01	1.86	
langford_03_12			0.09		0.03	1.73	
langford_03_13			0.09	260.79	0.02	1.99	
langford_03_14			0.11		0.03	1.40	
langford_03_15		16621.62		14201.08	0.06	1.17	
langford_03_16		21599.92		21599.81	0.10	1.00 TRUE	TRUE
langford_03_17		7.08	0.21	3.99	0.11	1.71	
langford_04_12			0.14		0.04	4.44	
langford_04_13			0.16		0.03	1.89	
$langford_04_14$			0.17		0.03	1.95	
langford_04_15			0.19	80.50	0.04	2.53	
langford_04_16			0.22	518.44	0.07	1.76	
langford_04_17			0.23		0.06	1.57	
$langford_05_12$			0.20	0.48	0.06	3.89	
langford_05_13			0.20	0.90	0.06	3.37	
$langford_05_14$			0.23		0.06	2.91	
langford_05_15			0.28		0.09	3.08	
langford_05_16			0.30	17.85	0.12	2.24	
langford_05_17		135.14	0.34	70.84	0.14	1.90	
langford_06_12			0.24		0.06	2.48	
langford_06_13		1.89	0.28	0.52	0.08	2.61	
$langford_06_14$		5.09	0.32	1.35	0.10	3.18	
$langford_06_15$			0.42	2.97	0.18	3.13	
$langford_06_16$			0.42	5.47	0.14	3.50	
langford_06_17			0.54	13.49	0.21	3.14	
sports-12-10-10	0.69	350.58	0.69	330.68	0.00	1.06	
sports-12-10-1	0.54	257.94	0.64	28.89	0.10	8.76	
sports-12-10-2	0.65		0.69	194.35	0.03	1.08	
sports-12-10-3	0.70		0.68	12.55	-0.02	4.63	
sports-12-10-4	0.49		0.52	153.93	0.03	0.96	
sports-12-10-5	0.68	129.72	0.76	112.35	0.09	1.15	
sports-12-10-6	0.65	7.24	0.72	626.52	0.07	0.01	
sports-12-10-7	0.67	90.53	0.74	483.28	0.06	0.19	
sports-12-10-8	0.63	588.03	0.67	221.22	0.03	2.65	
sports-12-10-9	0.61	68.97	0.65	112.08	0.03	0.62	

 Table 3. Results for Chuffed solver with free search. B for baseline, T for tabulation.

 SR refers to Savile Row.