Supplemental Materials for: Heat increment of feeding in the common bottlenose dolphin (*Tursiops truncatus*) is a minor quantity in estimating field metabolic rate

| I. Koliopoulou | S.L. DeRuiter | J. Altimiras |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Linkoping University | Department of Mathematics and | ū |
| 7 | Statistics, Calvin University | 1 0 |
| J. Larsson | J. Aranaz | D. Rosen |
| Kolmarden Wildlife Park | J. Aranaz Fundacion Oceanografic | Univeristy of British Columbia |
| Romarden whome rark | i unuacion occanograne | Oniversity of British Columbia |
| A. Fahlman | | |
| Linkoping University, Funda | a- | |
| cion Oceanografic, Global Div | V- | |
| Table of contents | | |
| | | 1 |
| | | |
| - | | |
| | | |
| • | | |
| · · | | |
| 2 | | |
| | | |
| Model Interpretation | | 7 |
| Hypothesis Testing | | 7 |
| Variance Term Estimati | on | 8 |
| Model prediction note | | 9 |
| Marginal Means of Mod | lel Variables | 9 |
| Predictions with uncert | ainty | 10 |
| References | | 17 |
| | | |
| R Setup | | |
| library(mgcv) | | |
| library(ggformula) | | |
| library(tidyverse) | | |
| <pre>library(readxl)</pre> | | |

Data Preparation

HIF Model

Model fitting

```
# to use ANOVA for hypothesis testing after model fitting
method = "ML",
# to avoid overfitting and allow penalization of smooths
# to flat lines if needed
select = TRUE)
```

Standard model summary output from gam():

```
summary(HIF_model)
```

```
Family: gaussian
Link function: identity
Formula:
oxygen cons \sim s(exact, k = 4, bs = "ts") + s(percentdailytotal,
    k = 4, bs = "ts") + ti(exact, percentdailytotal, <math>k = 4, bs = "ts") +
    s(body mass, k = 4, bs = "ts") + s(age, k = 4, bs = "ts") +
    is male + s(pool temp, k = 4, bs = "ts") + <math>s(animal, bs = "re") +
    s(day, bs = "re")
Parametric coefficients:
              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) 0.6920 0.1025
                                        6.751 7.21e-10 ***
                             0.1189 1.530
is male
                0.1819
                                                   0.129
- - -
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
Approximate significance of smooth terms:
                                        edf Ref.df F p-value
                                 2.000e+00 3 9.077 5.42e-05 ***
s(exact)
s(percentdailytotal)
                               2.463e-01
                                                 3 0.797 0.2530
                                                 9 0.186 0.1127
ti(exact,percentdailytotal) 6.440e-01

      6.446e-01
      9 0.100
      0.111

      2.199e-06
      3 0.000
      0.5413

      5.244e-07
      3 0.000
      0.6708

      1.572e-06
      3 0.000
      0.9907

      4.654e+00
      6 14.341
      4.10e-07
      ***

s(body_mass)
s(age)
s(pool_temp)
s(animal)
s(day)
                                 1.347e+01
                                                46 0.525 0.0223 *
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
R-sg.(adj) = 0.531 Deviance explained = 60.9\%
-ML = -4.0912 Scale est. = 0.040124 n = 133
```

A prettier model summary table:

tbl_regression(HIF_model)

| Characteristic | Beta | 95% CI | p-value |
|----------------------------------|------|-------------|---------|
| is_male | 0.18 | -0.05, 0.41 | 0.13 |
| s(exact) | | | <0.001 |
| s(percentdailyto- tal) | | | 0.3 |
| ti(exact,percent- dailytotal) | | | 0.11 |
| s(body_mass) | | | 0.5 |
| s(age) | | | 0.7 |
| s(pool_temp) | | | >0.9 |
| s(animal) | | | <0.001 |
| s(day) | | | 0.022 |

Abbreviation: CI = Confidence Interval

Model Checking

Below are mgcv package checks for GAMs, presented mainly to view model convergence and basis dimension checking results.

```
gam.check(HIF_model)
```


```
Method: ML
            Optimizer: outer newton
full convergence after 19 iterations.
Gradient range [-7.932546e-07,4.7294e-07]
(score -4.091216 & scale 0.04012398).
Hessian positive definite, eigenvalue range [4.039797e-07,67.38306].
Model rank = 82 / 82
Basis dimension (k) checking results. Low p-value (k-index<1) may
indicate that k is too low, especially if edf is close to k'.
                                        edf k-index p-value
                           3.00e+00 2.00e+00 1.02
                                                       0.59
s(exact)
s(percentdailytotal)
                           3.00e+00 2.46e-01
                                               0.97
                                                       0.32
ti(exact,percentdailytotal) 9.00e+00 6.44e-01 1.02
                                                       0.59
                           3.00e+00 2.20e-06 1.00
s(body_mass)
                                                       0.47
```

```
s(age)
                             3.00e+00 5.24e-07
                                                    1.00
                                                            0.53
                                                            0.39
s(pool_temp)
                             3.00e+00 1.57e-06
                                                    0.98
s(animal)
                             8.00e+00 4.65e+00
                                                      NA
                                                              NA
s(day)
                             4.80e+01 1.35e+01
                                                      NA
                                                              NA
```

Residual Histogram

This graph allows us to verify the residual normality condition.

```
gf_dhistogram(~resid(HIF_model), bins = 15) |>
  gf_fitdistr(dist = "dnorm")
```




Scaled Residual Plot

The scaled residual plot (Hartig, 2024) allows verification that the mean-variance relationship is as expected, particularly for mixed-effect models and generalized linear models. If all is well, scaled residuals will be trendless and will have uniform spread between 0-1 for all fitted values.

```
plotResiduals(simulateResiduals(HIF_model), quantreg = FALSE)
```

DHARMa residual vs. predicted




Residual ACF Plot

The ACF plot allows us to verify the residual independence condition.

acf(resid(HIF_model))

Series resid(HIF_model)



Model Interpretation

Hypothesis Testing

Type II ANOVA allows us to test the null hypothesis of no association with the response, for each predictor (and interaction) included in the model.

```
anova(HIF_model)
```

```
df
              F p-value
is male 1 2.342
                  0.129
Approximate significance of smooth terms:
                                       Ref.df
                                                  F p-value
                                 edf
s(exact)
                           2.000e+00 3.000e+00 9.077 5.42e-05
                       2.463e-01 3.000e+00 0.797 0.2530
s(percentdailytotal)
ti(exact,percentdailytotal) 6.440e-01 9.000e+00 0.186
                                                       0.1127
                           2.199e-06 3.000e+00 0.000
                                                       0.5413
s(body mass)
                                                       0.6708
s(age)
                           5.244e-07 3.000e+00 0.000
s(pool temp)
                           1.572e-06 3.000e+00 0.000
                                                       0.9907
                           4.654e+00 6.000e+00 14.341 4.10e-07
s(animal)
s(day)
                           1.347e+01 4.600e+01 0.525 0.0223
```

Variance Term Estimation

For random intercept terms, by analogy with the way that mixed-effect model results are usually reported and light of the fact that we wish to control for any individual or day-to-day effects rather than performing hypothesis testing for them, it is possible to report the standard variance components associated with each term. They are shown below (in units of standard deviation). Note that since we used maximum-likelihood estimation, these estimates may be biased for finite sample size, but since variance term estimation is not the focus of inference (and smooth term hypothesis testing is) this seems like the right choice in our case.

```
vars <- invisible(gam.vcomp(HIF model))</pre>
vars <- vars |>
 data.frame() |>
 mutate(
    Term = rownames(vars),
    Term = ifelse(Term == "scale", "scale (residuals)", Term)
  ) |>
rename(`Standard Deviation` = std.dev,
       CI (lower) = lower,
       `CI (upper)` = upper
       ) |>
  select(Term,
         `Standard Deviation`,
         `CI (lower)`,
         `CI (upper)`) |>
 mutate(across(where(is.numeric), function(x) signif(x, 3))) |>
  gt()
```

```
vars
```

| Term | Standard Deviation | CI (lower) | CI (upper) |
|------------------------------|--------------------|------------|------------|
| s(exact) | 4.79e-04 | 1.48e-04 | 0.00154 |
| s(percentdailytotal) | 2.42e-01 | 8.11e-04 | 72.20000 |
| ti(exact,percentdailytotal)1 | 8.24e-05 | 7.27e-07 | 0.00934 |
| ti(exact,percentdailytotal)2 | 1.38e-03 | 1.98e-06 | 0.96400 |
| s(body_mass) | 1.11e-07 | 0.00e+00 | Inf |
| s(age) | 2.69e-07 | 0.00e+00 | Inf |
| s(pool_temp) | 4.97e-06 | 0.00e+00 | Inf |
| s(animal) | 1.31e-01 | 7.24e-02 | 0.23600 |
| s(day) | 8.68e-02 | 4.50e-02 | 0.16700 |
| scale (residuals) | 2.00e-01 | 1.72e-01 | 0.23200 |

Model prediction note

A way of visualizing the results of a GAM (or other regression model) is a prediction plot, where you select specific values at which to fix all the predictors other than exact time (age, proportion total calories, etc.) and then show predicted oxygen consumption according to the model – given those fixed values, and varying values of exact. Such a plot might be preferable to a partial plot because the y-axis is in terms of expected response variable values (in total, not a contribution to the whole from one predictor).

We used marginal means of "other" predictors; in this approach quantitative predictors are set to the mean value observed in the data, and for categorical predictors a weighted average across the observed values is used.

Random effects terms are not included, so that predictions are population-level (or, equivalently here, predictions for the typical dolphin on the typical day).

The idea is to try to show the expected response value for "an average observation" (in some sense) in the population, given the actual sample data and assuming the data is a reasonable sample of the population of interest. So, it may make the some sense as a set of predictions that can be overlaid on a plot of the data.

Marginal Means of Model Variables

We'd like to make model predictions with all predictors except for the time since feeding held constant at their mean values. What are those mean values?

| Characteristic | $N = 133^{1}$ |
|-------------------|---------------|
| exact | 52 (49) |
| percentdailytotal | 0.23 (0.06) |
| body_mass | 179 (22) |
| pool_temp | 22.80 (1.91) |
| age | 21 (14) |
| sex | |
| F | 32 (24%) |
| M | 101 (76%) |

Mean (SD); n (%)

Predictions with uncertainty

To compute model-predicted oxygen consumption and total oxygen consumption (area under the curve), all with uncertainty, we can employ a resampling-based approach.

We use mgcv::gam.mh() to draw samples of model parameter values via Metropolis-Hastings sampling from the posterior.


We obtain a linear-predictor matrix from the fitted model, which when post-multiplied by a vector of candidate parameter values, yields predictions from the fitted model for the chosen mean values of predictor variables (and varying values of exact time).


By generating these predictions for many parameter samples, we can obtain model predictions and derived quantities with uncertainty (here, percentile-based confidence intervals and standard errors are computed).

```
nsim <- 10000
set.seed(14)
# draws of parameter estimates from the posterior
actual params <- matrix(coef(HIF model), nrow = 1)</pre>
post_params <- gam.mh(HIF_model, ns = nsim*2, burn = 2000, thin = 2)$bs
# yields matrix with cols = model params and rows = sim #s
# get linear predictor matrix for "mm data"
mm const <- HIF data |>
 select(percentdailytotal,
         body_mass,
         age,
         pool temp,
         is male) |>
 summarise(across(everything(), function(x) mean(x)))
mm df <- expand grid(mm const,
                     exact = seq(from = 0, by = 1, to = 133))
mm_lpmat <- predict(HIF_model,</pre>
        newdata = mm df,
        type="lpmatrix",
        exclude = c("s(animal)", "s(day)"),
        newdata.guaranteed = TRUE)
# get predicted vo2 values (each col is one sim)
vo2_curve <- mm_lpmat %*% t(post_params) ## posterior curve samples</pre>
vo2_curve_actual <- mm_lpmat %*% t(actual_params)</pre>
# mean and 95% CI
vo2_predictions <- mm_df |>
 mutate(pred = apply(vo2 curve,
                    MARGIN = 1,
                    FUN = mean),
         ci_low = apply(vo2_curve,
                    MARGIN = 1,
                    FUN = function(x) quantile(x, 0.025)),
         ci_hi = apply(vo2_curve,
                    MARGIN = 1,
                    FUN = function(x) quantile(x, 0.975)))
```

```
return(total_AUC)
}
```

Histogram of simulation results:





Calculations of specific values of interest:

oxygen consumption over the 133 minutes:

```
vo2_mean <- round(mean(vo2_totals), digits = 2)
vo2_se <- round(sd(vo2_totals), digits = 2)
vo2_mean</pre>
```

```
[1] 116.7
```

```
vo2_se
```

```
[1] 7.08
```

daily HIF total:

```
HIF_daily <- round(mean(daily_HIF), digits = 2)</pre>
 HIF_daily_se <- round(sd(daily_HIF), digits = 2)</pre>
 HIF_daily
 [1] 85.73
 HIF_daily_se
 [1] 19.24
daily HIF as percent:
 vo2_percent_mean <- round(mean(daily_HIF_percent), digits = 2)</pre>
 vo2_percent_se <- round(sd(daily_HIF_percent), digits = 2)</pre>
 vo2_percent_mean
 [1] 8.26
 vo2_percent_se
 [1] 2.2
BMR over 24h:
 BMR_daily_mean <- round(mean(BMR_daily), digits = 2)</pre>
 BMR_daily_se <- round(sd(BMR_daily), digits = 2)</pre>
 BMR_daily_mean
 [1] 1051.27
 BMR_daily_se
```

over 133 minutes, using the BMR at time 0:

[1] 81.81

```
# at time 0
mean(vo2_curve[mm_df$exact == 0,] * 133)
```

```
[1] 97.0969
```

```
sd(vo2_curve[mm_df$exact == 0,] * 133)
```

```
[1] 7.556422
```

The mean (standard deviation) of the simulated values is: 116.7 (7.08) liters.

The mean (standard deviation) of the simulated percentages is: 8.26 (2.2).

For additional reference if needed, the initial value of oxygen consumption at time 0 is: 0.73 (se 0.057), and so over the whole 133 minutes, 97.1 (se 7.56)

Data Plot with Model Fit


Define colors and shapes to use for plotting

```
kcal_colors <- c("#E41A1C", "#377EB8", "#4DAF4A", "#984EA3")
shape_vector <- c("Tt1" = 1, "Tt2" = 2, "Tt3" = 3, "Tt4" = 4,

"Tt5" = 5, "Tt6" = 6, "Tt7" = 7, "Tt8" = 8)
```

```
oxygen time plot <- ggplot(HIF data,
                           aes(x = exact, y = oxygen_cons)) +
 geom point(aes(color = factor(kcal), shape = animal),
             size = 1,
             stroke = 1.2) +
 geom_line(data = vo2_predictions,
           aes(x = exact, y = pred),
            color = 'black',
           linewidth = 1) +
 geom_ribbon(data = vo2_predictions,
              aes(ymin = ci_low, ymax = ci_hi, x = exact),
              inherit.aes = FALSE,
              fill = 'grey44', alpha = 0.2) +
 labs(x = "Time (min)",
      y = "Oxygen Consumption (L / min)",
      color = "Energy Intake (kcal)",
      shape = "Animal ID") +
 scale color manual(values = kcal colors) +
 scale_shape_manual(values = shape_vector) +
 theme minimal() +
 theme(axis.text = element_text(size = 12),
```

```
axis.title = element_text(size = 14),
    axis.line = element_line(linewidth = 1)) +
scale_x_continuous(breaks = seq(0, 120, by = 20)) +
scale_y_continuous(breaks = seq(0, 2, by = 0.2))
print(oxygen_time_plot)
```



References

Hartig F (2024). *DHARMa: Residual Diagnostics for Hierarchical (Multi-Level / Mixed) Regression Models.* R package version 0.4.7, https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=DHARMa.