

[Your Paper Title: Should Be Specific and Descriptive]

[Author 1]^{*, a, b}, [Author 2]^a, [Author 3]^c, [Author 4]^d

^a[Institution 1, Country]

^b[Institution 2, Country]

^c[Institution 3, Country]

*Corresponding Email: [email@example.com]

Index Terms—[Keyword 1], [Keyword 2], [Keyword 3], [Keyword 4], [Keyword 5]

Abstract—[Your abstract should be a single paragraph (150-250 words) that summarizes your work. It should clearly state: (1) the problem addressed, (2) your approach/methodology, (3) key results, and (4) main conclusions or implications. Be specific and quantitative about your findings. Avoid citations in the abstract.]

I. INTRODUCTION

[The introduction should: - Establish the context and importance of your work - Clearly state the problem or research question - Briefly review relevant prior work (with citations using `\cite{key}`) - Outline your approach and contributions - Provide a roadmap for the rest of the paper]

Example citation: Previous work [?] has shown...

II. BACKGROUND AND PRELIMINARIES

[This section should: - Define core terms and problem settings (with citations) - Provide historical context (timeline is often useful) - Clarify what is in-scope vs out-of-scope for this review - Introduce the main families of approaches at a high level - Use citations for all key claims [?]]

III. BUILDING BLOCKS

[This section should: - Break down the topic into reusable components (data, architectures, objectives, inference) - Present a taxonomy and explain why it is useful - Provide representative papers for each component/family - Define all symbols if using equations - Example mathematical equation placeholder (replace with a topic-relevant one):]

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{(x,y) \sim \mathcal{D}}[L(f_{\theta}(x), y)] \quad (1)$$

A. Core Definitions

[Define the key terms and assumptions used throughout the review]

B. Taxonomy and Design Choices

[Describe the major model families and design tradeoffs; include citations for each category]

C. Implementation Notes (Optional)

[If helpful, summarize common implementation patterns from the literature (e.g., training tricks, scaling behavior)]




Fig. 1. [Caption describing your figure. Be specific and ensure the figure can be understood independently of the main text.]

TABLE I
[COMPARISON TABLE CAPTION (MODELS / DATASETS / METRICS / CONSTRAINTS).]

Method	Metric 1	Metric 2	Metric 3
Model A [?]	0.XX	0.XX	0.XX
Model B [?]	0.XX	0.XX	0.XX
Model C [?]	0.XX	0.XX	0.XX

IV. FRONTIER MODELS AND SYSTEMS

[This section should: - Summarize what qualifies as “frontier” for this topic (define “latest” and cutoffs) - Compare leading systems by capability, data/compute disclosures, and reproducibility - Include a timeline or table of major releases (with citations)]

V. EVALUATION AND BENCHMARKS

[This section should: - Describe common benchmarks, protocols, and metrics (with citations) - Discuss strengths/weaknesses of evaluation setups - Summarize reproducible comparisons when available]

A. Benchmarks and Metrics

[List the main benchmarks and what they measure]

B. Failure Modes and Robustness

[Discuss typical failure modes, bias, and robustness concerns supported by evidence]

VI. SAFETY AND PROVENANCE

[This section should: - Cover safety, misuse, privacy, and governance considerations (with citations) - Discuss provenance approaches (watermarking, metadata, detection) when relevant - Distinguish evidence-backed claims from open questions]

VII. OPEN CHALLENGES AND CONCLUSION

A. *Open Challenges*

[List concrete open problems and why they matter; cite relevant evidence or position papers]

B. *Conclusion*

[Summarize the review's takeaways. Do not introduce new claims without citations.]