

1.

- (i) One can graphically determine S by looking at the excursion graph (E_n as a function of n) and identifying the highest point. Let l be the value of n associated with the highest point, and let k be the largest value of n less than l such that $E_k = 0$. S then is $S_k S_{k+1} \dots S_{l-1} S_l$.
- (ii) If we set p to a particular value, we can find x_c by solving the following equation.

$$\begin{aligned}
 e^{-ke^{-\lambda x_c}} &= 1 - p \\
 -ke^{-\lambda x_c} &= \ln(1 - p) \\
 e^{-\lambda x_c} &= -\frac{\ln(1 - p)}{k} \\
 -\lambda x_c &= \ln\left(-\frac{\ln(1 - p)}{k}\right) \\
 x_c &= -\frac{\ln\left(-\frac{\ln(1 - p)}{k}\right)}{\lambda}
 \end{aligned}$$

- (iii) We can obtain x_c^* simply by multiplying x_c by ρ . Recall that we defined λ as follows.

$$\lambda : \sum_{j=1}^r p_j e^{\lambda s_j} = 1$$

If we now define $t_i = \rho s_i$ then we have the following.

$$\lambda' : \sum_{j=1}^r p_j e^{\lambda' t_j} = 1$$

These equations give us the following relationship between λ and λ' .

$$\lambda' = \frac{\lambda}{\rho}$$

We can then identify x_c^* using the same method as in (ii).

$$\begin{aligned}
 e^{-ke^{-\lambda' x_c^*}} &= 1 - p \\
 -ke^{-\lambda' x_c^*} &= \ln(1 - p) \\
 e^{-\lambda' x_c^*} &= -\frac{\ln(1 - p)}{k} \\
 -\lambda' x_c^* &= \ln\left(-\frac{\ln(1 - p)}{k}\right) \\
 x_c^* &= -\frac{\ln\left(-\frac{\ln(1 - p)}{k}\right)}{\lambda'} \\
 x_c^* &= -\frac{\ln\left(-\frac{\ln(1 - p)}{k}\right)}{\frac{\lambda}{\rho}} = \rho \left(-\frac{\ln\left(-\frac{\ln(1 - p)}{k}\right)}{\lambda} \right) \\
 x_c^* &= \rho x_c
 \end{aligned}$$

- (iv) The λ term can be interpreted as a scale factor since it provides a direct, linear relationship between scoring schemes that are scalar multiples of each other. If the relationship between 2 scoring schemes can be found, then scores and significance levels can be easily transformed from one scheme to the other using the λ term.

- (v) By the derivation below, doubling the size of the sequence increases the p -level threshold by 2.

$$\begin{aligned}
 S'_p &= \frac{\ln 2N}{\frac{\ln 2}{2}} + x_c \\
 &= \frac{\ln N}{\frac{\ln 2}{2}} + \frac{\ln 2}{\frac{\ln 2}{2}} + x_c \\
 &= \frac{\ln N}{\frac{\ln 2}{2}} + 2 + x_c \\
 &= \left(\frac{\ln N}{\frac{\ln 2}{2}} + x_c \right) + 2 \\
 &= S_p + 2
 \end{aligned}$$

- (vi) Consider the following transformation of the originally given inequality.

$$\begin{aligned}
 S &> \frac{\ln N}{\lambda} + x \\
 \lambda S &> \ln N + \lambda x \\
 \lambda S - \ln K &> \ln N + \lambda x - \ln K \\
 \frac{\lambda S - \ln K}{\ln 2} &> \frac{\ln N + \lambda x - \ln K}{\ln 2} \\
 S' &> y
 \end{aligned}$$

The left hand side of the inequality is the normalized score, and the right hand side represents y . We can solve the equation for y in terms of x .

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\ln N + \lambda x - \ln K}{\ln 2} &= y \\
 \ln N + \lambda x - \ln K &= y \ln 2 = \ln 2^y \\
 \lambda x &= \ln \left(\frac{2^y K}{N} \right) \\
 x &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \ln \left(\frac{2^y K}{N} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, if we place x in the formula for the Poisson parameter we get the following result.

$$\begin{aligned}
 K e^{-\lambda \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} \ln \frac{2^y K}{N} \right)} &= K e^{-\ln \frac{2^y K}{N}} \\
 &= K \left(\frac{N}{2^y K} \right) \\
 &= \frac{N}{2^y}
 \end{aligned}$$