# CME 307 / MS&E 311 / OIT 676: Optimization

LP geometry, modeling and solution techniques

Professor Udell

Management Science and Engineering
Stanford

September 25, 2024

#### **Course survey**

#### you're interested in:

- modeling real-world problems, from political science and economics to energy and desalination!
- robustness and modeling under uncertainty
- understanding core optimization concepts like duality and KKT conditions

#### questions:

- recommended resource for linear algebra?
- how to ask questions in class?

#### **Outline**

#### LP standard form

LP inequality form

What kinds of points can be optimal?

Modeling

Solving LPs

standard form linear program (LP)

minimize 
$$c^T x$$
  
subject to  $Ax = b$   
 $x \ge 0$ 

optimal value  $p^*$ , solution  $x^*$  (if it exists)

- ▶ any x with Ax = b and  $x \ge 0$  is called a **feasible point**
- ▶ if problem is infeasible, we say  $p^* = \infty$
- $ightharpoonup p^{\star}$  can be finite or  $\pm \infty$

standard form linear program (LP)

minimize 
$$c^T x$$
  
subject to  $Ax = b$   
 $x \ge 0$ 

optimal value  $p^*$ , solution  $x^*$  (if it exists)

- ▶ any x with Ax = b and  $x \ge 0$  is called a **feasible point**
- ▶ if problem is infeasible, we say  $p^* = \infty$
- $ightharpoonup p^*$  can be finite or  $\pm \infty$

**Q:** if  $p^* = -\infty$ , does a solution exist?

standard form linear program (LP)

minimize 
$$c^T x$$
  
subject to  $Ax = b$   
 $x \ge 0$ 

optimal value  $p^*$ , solution  $x^*$  (if it exists)

- ▶ any x with Ax = b and  $x \ge 0$  is called a **feasible point**
- ▶ if problem is infeasible, we say  $p^* = \infty$
- $ightharpoonup p^*$  can be finite or  $\pm \infty$

**Q:** if  $p^* = -\infty$ , does a solution exist?

**Q:** if  $p^* = \infty$ , does a solution exist?

standard form linear program (LP)

minimize 
$$c^T x$$
  
subject to  $Ax = b$   
 $x \ge 0$ 

optimal value  $p^*$ , solution  $x^*$  (if it exists)

- ▶ any x with Ax = b and  $x \ge 0$  is called a **feasible point**
- ▶ if problem is infeasible, we say  $p^* = \infty$
- $ightharpoonup p^*$  can be finite or  $\pm \infty$

**Q:** if  $p^* = -\infty$ , does a solution exist?

**Q:** if  $p^* = \infty$ , does a solution exist?

henceforth assume  $A \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times n}$  has full row rank m

**Q:** why? how to check?

standard form linear program (LP)

minimize 
$$c^T x$$
  
subject to  $Ax = b$   
 $x \ge 0$ 

optimal value  $p^*$ , solution  $x^*$  (if it exists)

- ▶ any x with Ax = b and  $x \ge 0$  is called a **feasible point**
- ▶ if problem is infeasible, we say  $p^* = \infty$
- $ightharpoonup p^*$  can be finite or  $\pm \infty$

**Q:** if  $p^* = -\infty$ , does a solution exist?

**Q:** if  $p^* = \infty$ , does a solution exist?

henceforth assume  $A \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times n}$  has full row rank m

**Q:** why? how to check?

**A:** otherwise infeasible or redundant rows; use gaussian elimination to check and remove

- $\triangleright$   $x_i$  servings of food j,  $j = 1, \ldots, n$
- $ightharpoonup c_j$  cost per serving
- $ightharpoonup a_{ii}$  amount of nutrient i in food j
- $ightharpoonup b_i$  required amount of nutrient  $i, i = 1, \dots, m$

minimize 
$$c^T x$$
  
subject to  $Ax = b$   
 $x \ge 0$ 

- $\triangleright$   $x_i$  servings of food  $j, j = 1, \ldots, n$
- $ightharpoonup c_j$  cost per serving
- $ightharpoonup a_{ii}$  amount of nutrient i in food j
- $ightharpoonup b_i$  required amount of nutrient i, i = 1, ..., m

minimize 
$$c^T x$$
  
subject to  $Ax = b$   
 $x \ge 0$ 

#### extensions:

ightharpoonup foods come from recipes? x = By

- $\triangleright$   $x_j$  servings of food j,  $j = 1, \ldots, n$
- $ightharpoonup c_j$  cost per serving
- $ightharpoonup a_{ij}$  amount of nutrient i in food j
- $ightharpoonup b_i$  required amount of nutrient i, i = 1, ..., m

minimize 
$$c^T x$$
  
subject to  $Ax = b$   
 $x \ge 0$ 

#### extensions:

- ightharpoonup foods come from recipes? x = By
- ightharpoonup ensure diversity in diet?  $y \leq u$

- $ightharpoonup x_j$  servings of food j,  $j = 1, \ldots, n$
- $ightharpoonup c_j$  cost per serving
- $\triangleright$   $a_{ii}$  amount of nutrient i in food j
- $ightharpoonup b_i$  required amount of nutrient  $i, i = 1, \dots, m$

minimize 
$$c^T x$$
  
subject to  $Ax = b$   
 $x \ge 0$ 

#### extensions:

- ightharpoonup foods come from recipes? x = By
- ightharpoonup ensure diversity in diet?  $y \leq u$
- ▶ ranges of nutrients? Ax + s = b,  $1 \le s \le u$

the **feasible set** is the set of points  $\{x \mid Ax = b, x \ge 0\}$  that satisfy all constraints.

the **feasible set** is the set of points  $\{x \mid Ax = b, x \ge 0\}$  that satisfy all constraints. **interpretation: conic hull** 

▶ define the **cone** generated by  $A = [a_1, ... a_n]$ :

$$\{Ax \mid x \geq 0\} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i \mid x \geq 0 \right\} = \mathbf{cone}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$$

the **feasible set** is the set of points  $\{x \mid Ax = b, x \ge 0\}$  that satisfy all constraints. **interpretation: conic hull** 

▶ define the **cone** generated by  $A = [a_1, ... a_n]$ :

$$\{Ax \mid x \geq 0\} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i \mid x \geq 0 \right\} = \mathbf{cone}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$$

▶ LP is feasible if  $b \in \mathbf{cone}(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ 

the **feasible set** is the set of points  $\{x \mid Ax = b, x \ge 0\}$  that satisfy all constraints. **interpretation: conic hull** 

▶ define the **cone** generated by  $A = [a_1, ... a_n]$ :

$${Ax \mid x \ge 0} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i \mid x \ge 0 \right\} = \mathbf{cone}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$$

▶ LP is feasible if  $b \in \mathbf{cone}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ 

interpretation: intersection of hyperplane and halfspaces

▶ define a **hyperplane**  $\{x \mid Ax = b\}$ 

the **feasible set** is the set of points  $\{x \mid Ax = b, x \ge 0\}$  that satisfy all constraints. **interpretation: conic hull** 

▶ define the **cone** generated by  $A = [a_1, ... a_n]$ :

$$\{Ax \mid x \geq 0\} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i \mid x \geq 0 \right\} = \mathbf{cone}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$$

▶ LP is feasible if  $b \in \mathbf{cone}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ 

interpretation: intersection of hyperplane and halfspaces

▶ define a **hyperplane**  $\{x \mid Ax = b\}$  (dimension?)

the **feasible set** is the set of points  $\{x \mid Ax = b, x \ge 0\}$  that satisfy all constraints. **interpretation: conic hull** 

▶ define the **cone** generated by  $A = [a_1, \dots a_n]$ :

$$\{Ax \mid x \geq 0\} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i \mid x \geq 0 \right\} = \mathbf{cone}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$$

▶ LP is feasible if  $b \in \mathbf{cone}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ 

#### interpretation: intersection of hyperplane and halfspaces

- ▶ define a **hyperplane**  $\{x \mid Ax = b\}$  (dimension?)
- ▶ define a **halfspace**  $\{x \mid a^T x \ge b\}$

the **feasible set** is the set of points  $\{x \mid Ax = b, x \ge 0\}$  that satisfy all constraints. **interpretation: conic hull** 

▶ define the **cone** generated by  $A = [a_1, ... a_n]$ :

$$\{Ax \mid x \geq 0\} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i \mid x \geq 0 \right\} = \mathbf{cone}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$$

▶ LP is feasible if  $b \in \mathbf{cone}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ 

#### interpretation: intersection of hyperplane and halfspaces

- ▶ define a **hyperplane**  $\{x \mid Ax = b\}$  (dimension?)
- ▶ define a **halfspace**  $\{x \mid a^T x \ge b\}$
- ightharpoonup the **positive orthant**  $x \ge 0$  is an intersection of halfspaces

the **feasible set** is the set of points  $\{x \mid Ax = b, x \ge 0\}$  that satisfy all constraints. **interpretation: conic hull** 

▶ define the **cone** generated by  $A = [a_1, ... a_n]$ :

$$\{Ax \mid x \geq 0\} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i \mid x \geq 0 \right\} = \mathbf{cone}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$$

▶ LP is feasible if  $b \in \mathbf{cone}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ 

#### interpretation: intersection of hyperplane and halfspaces

- ▶ define a **hyperplane**  $\{x \mid Ax = b\}$  (dimension?)
- ▶ define a **halfspace**  $\{x \mid a^T x \ge b\}$
- ▶ the **positive orthant**  $x \ge 0$  is an intersection of halfspaces
- ▶ LP is feasible if hyperplane  $\{x \mid Ax = b\}$  intersects the positive orthant

▶ define **convex combination** of x,  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ :  $\theta x + (1 - \theta)y$  for  $\theta \in [0, 1]$ 

- ▶ define **convex combination** of x,  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ :  $\theta x + (1 \theta)y$  for  $\theta \in [0, 1]$
- ▶ define **convex set**: C is convex if for any  $x, y \in C$ ,

$$\theta x + (1 - \theta)y \in C, \qquad \theta \in [0, 1]$$

- ▶ define **convex combination** of x,  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ :  $\theta x + (1 \theta)y$  for  $\theta \in [0, 1]$
- ▶ define **convex set**: C is convex if for any  $x, y \in C$ ,

$$\theta x + (1 - \theta)y \in C, \qquad \theta \in [0, 1]$$

$$\operatorname{\mathsf{conv}}(S) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k \theta_i \mathsf{x}_i \mid \mathsf{x}_i \in S, \; \theta_i \geq 0, \; \sum_{i=1}^k \theta_i = 1 \right\}$$

- ▶ define **convex combination** of x,  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ :  $\theta x + (1 \theta)y$  for  $\theta \in [0, 1]$
- ▶ define **convex set**: C is convex if for any  $x, y \in C$ ,

$$\theta x + (1 - \theta)y \in C, \qquad \theta \in [0, 1]$$

define the convex hull of a set S:

$$\operatorname{\mathsf{conv}}(S) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k \theta_i x_i \mid x_i \in S, \ \theta_i \geq 0, \ \sum_{i=1}^k \theta_i = 1 \right\}$$

▶ define **polytope**: the convex hull of a finite set:  $conv(\{x_1, ..., x_k\})$  some useful convex sets:

- ▶ define **convex combination** of x,  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ :  $\theta x + (1 \theta)y$  for  $\theta \in [0, 1]$
- ▶ define **convex set**: C is convex if for any  $x, y \in C$ ,

$$\theta x + (1 - \theta)y \in C, \qquad \theta \in [0, 1]$$

$$\operatorname{\mathsf{conv}}(S) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k \theta_i x_i \mid x_i \in S, \ \theta_i \geq 0, \ \sum_{i=1}^k \theta_i = 1 \right\}$$

- ▶ define **polytope**: the convex hull of a finite set: **conv**( $\{x_1, \ldots, x_k\}$ ) some useful convex sets:
  - ▶ a hyperplane is convex

- ▶ define **convex combination** of x,  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ :  $\theta x + (1 \theta)y$  for  $\theta \in [0, 1]$
- ▶ define **convex set**: C is convex if for any  $x, y \in C$ ,

$$\theta x + (1 - \theta)y \in C, \qquad \theta \in [0, 1]$$

$$\operatorname{\mathsf{conv}}(S) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k heta_i \mathsf{x}_i \mid \mathsf{x}_i \in S, \; heta_i \geq 0, \; \sum_{i=1}^k heta_i = 1 
ight\}$$

- ▶ define **polytope**: the convex hull of a finite set: **conv**( $\{x_1, \ldots, x_k\}$ ) some useful convex sets:
  - a hyperplane is convex
  - a halfspace is convex

- ▶ define **convex combination** of x,  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ :  $\theta x + (1 \theta)y$  for  $\theta \in [0, 1]$
- ▶ define **convex set**: C is convex if for any  $x, y \in C$ ,

$$\theta x + (1 - \theta)y \in C, \qquad \theta \in [0, 1]$$

$$\operatorname{\mathsf{conv}}(S) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k \theta_i x_i \mid x_i \in S, \ \theta_i \geq 0, \ \sum_{i=1}^k \theta_i = 1 \right\}$$

- ▶ define **polytope**: the convex hull of a finite set:  $conv(\{x_1, ..., x_k\})$  some useful convex sets:
  - ► a hyperplane is convex
  - a halfspace is convex
  - the intersection of convex sets is convex

- ▶ define **convex combination** of x,  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ :  $\theta x + (1 \theta)y$  for  $\theta \in [0, 1]$
- ▶ define **convex set**: C is convex if for any  $x, y \in C$ ,

$$\theta x + (1 - \theta)y \in C, \qquad \theta \in [0, 1]$$

$$\operatorname{\mathsf{conv}}(S) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k \theta_i x_i \mid x_i \in S, \ \theta_i \geq 0, \ \sum_{i=1}^k \theta_i = 1 \right\}$$

- ▶ define **polytope**: the convex hull of a finite set: **conv**( $\{x_1, \ldots, x_k\}$ ) some useful convex sets:
  - a hyperplane is convex
  - a halfspace is convex
  - the intersection of convex sets is convex
  - ▶ the feasible set  $\{x : Ax = b, x \ge 0\}$  is convex

#### **Outline**

LP standard form

LP inequality form

What kinds of points can be optimal?

Modeling

Solving LPs

# LP inequality form

another useful form for LP is inequality form

minimize  $c^T x$ subject to  $Ax \le b$ 

## LP inequality form

another useful form for LP is inequality form

minimize 
$$c^T x$$
  
subject to  $Ax \le b$ 

#### interpretation: halfspaces

- $ightharpoonup a_i^T x \le b_i$  defines a halfspace
- $ightharpoonup Ax \le b$  defines a **polyhedron**: intersection of halfspaces
- ▶ LP is feasible if polyhedron  $\{x \mid Ax \leq b\}$  is nonempty

## LP example: production planning

- $\triangleright$   $x_i$  units of product i
- $ightharpoonup c_i$  cost per unit
- $ightharpoonup a_{ii}$  amount of resource j used by product i
- $\triangleright$   $b_j$  amount of resource j available
- $ightharpoonup d_i$  demand for product i

```
minimize c^T x
subject to Ax \le b
0 \le x \le d
```

## LP example: production planning

- $\triangleright$   $x_i$  units of product i
- $ightharpoonup c_i$  cost per unit
- $ightharpoonup a_{ij}$  amount of resource j used by product i
- $\triangleright$   $b_i$  amount of resource j available
- $ightharpoonup d_i$  demand for product i

minimize	$c^T x$
subject to	$Ax \leq b$
	$0 \le x \le a$

#### extensions:

▶ fixed cost for producing product *i* at all?

## LP example: production planning

- $\triangleright$   $x_i$  units of product i
- c<sub>i</sub> cost per unit
- $ightharpoonup a_{ii}$  amount of resource j used by product i
- $\triangleright$   $b_i$  amount of resource j available
- $\triangleright$   $d_i$  demand for product i

minimize	$c^T x$
subject to	$Ax \leq b$
	$0 \le x \le a$

#### extensions:

• fixed cost for producing product i at all?  $c^Tx + f^Tz$ ,  $z_i \in \{0, 1\}$ ,  $x_i \leq Mz_i$  for M large

## LP inequality form to standard form

standard form to inequality form

minimize 
$$c^T x$$
  
subject to  $Ax = b$   $\rightarrow$   $x \ge 0$ 

## LP inequality form to standard form

standard form to inequality form

minimize 
$$c^T x$$
  
subject to  $Ax = b$   
 $x \ge 0$ 

minimize  $c^T x$   
subject to  $Ax \le b$   
 $Ax \ge b$   
 $-x < 0$ 

# LP inequality form to standard form

standard form to inequality form

minimize 
$$c^T x$$
  
subject to  $Ax = b$   
 $x \ge 0$ 

minimize  $c^T x$   
subject to  $Ax \le b$   
 $Ax \ge b$   
 $-x < 0$ 

inequality form to standard form

minimize 
$$c^T x$$
  
subject to  $Ax < b$ 

# LP inequality form to standard form

standard form to inequality form

minimize 
$$c^T x$$
  
subject to  $Ax = b$   
 $x \ge 0$ 

minimize  $c^T x$   
subject to  $Ax \le b$   
 $Ax \ge b$   
 $-x < 0$ 

inequality form to standard form

minimize 
$$c^T x$$
  
subject to  $Ax \le b$  minimize  $c^T (x_+ - x_-)$   
subject to  $A(x_+ - x_-) + s = b$   
 $s, x_+, x_- > 0$ 

so both forms have the same expressive power, and feasible sets are polyhedra

### **Active constraints**

for constraint set  $Ax \le b$ , an **active constraint** at x is one that holds with equality:

$$a_i^T x = b_i$$

#### **Active constraints**

for constraint set  $Ax \leq b$ , an **active constraint** at x is one that holds with equality:

$$a_i^T x = b_i$$

▶ the **active set** at x is the set of indices of active constraints  $\{i \mid a_i^T x = b_i\}$ 

#### **Active constraints**

for constraint set  $Ax \leq b$ , an **active constraint** at x is one that holds with equality:

$$a_i^T x = b_i$$

▶ the **active set** at x is the set of indices of active constraints  $\{i \mid a_i^T x = b_i\}$ 

for nonnegative variable  $x \ge 0$ ,  $x_i$  is **active** if  $x_i > 0$ 

example: active slack variables are dual to active constraints

$$\begin{array}{cccc} Ax \leq b & \Longleftrightarrow & Ax+s=b, \ s \geq 0 \\ a_i^Tx = b_i & \Longleftrightarrow & s_i = 0 \\ \text{constraint } i \text{ is active} & \Longleftrightarrow & \text{slack variable } s_i \text{ is inactive} \end{array}$$

### **Outline**

LP standard form

LP inequality form

What kinds of points can be optimal?

Modeling

Solving LPs

define **extreme point**:  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is extreme in  $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  if it cannot be written as a convex combination of other points in C: for  $\theta \in [0,1]$ ,

$$x \in C$$
 and  $x = \theta y + (1 - \theta)z \implies x = y = z$ 

define **extreme point**:  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is extreme in  $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  if it cannot be written as a convex combination of other points in C: for  $\theta \in [0,1]$ ,

$$x \in C$$
 and  $x = \theta y + (1 - \theta)z \implies x = y = z$ 

**fact:** if  $x^*$  is the unique optimal solution of minimize<sub> $x \in S$ </sub>  $c^T x$ , then  $x^*$  is extreme in the set S.

define **extreme point**:  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is extreme in  $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  if it cannot be written as a convex combination of other points in C: for  $\theta \in [0,1]$ ,

$$x \in C$$
 and  $x = \theta y + (1 - \theta)z \implies x = y = z$ 

**fact:** if  $x^*$  is the unique optimal solution of minimize<sub> $x \in S$ </sub>  $c^T x$ , then  $x^*$  is extreme in the set S.

**proof:** suppose by way of contradiction that  $x^*$  is not extreme in S:

$$x^* = \theta y + (1 - \theta)z \quad \text{for } y, z \in S, \theta \in (0, 1)$$
$$p^* := c^T x^* = \theta c^T y + (1 - \theta)c^T z > \theta p^* + (1 - \theta)p^* = p^*$$

where the inequality follows from the (unique) optimality of  $x^*$ . Contradiction!

define **extreme point**:  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is extreme in  $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  if it cannot be written as a convex combination of other points in C: for  $\theta \in [0,1]$ ,

$$x \in C$$
 and  $x = \theta y + (1 - \theta)z \implies x = y = z$ 

**fact:** if  $x^*$  is the unique optimal solution of minimize<sub> $x \in S$ </sub>  $c^T x$ , then  $x^*$  is extreme in the set S.

**proof:** suppose by way of contradiction that  $x^*$  is not extreme in S:

$$x^* = \theta y + (1 - \theta)z \quad \text{for } y, z \in S, \theta \in (0, 1)$$
$$p^* := c^T x^* = \theta c^T y + (1 - \theta)c^T z > \theta p^* + (1 - \theta)p^* = p^*$$

where the inequality follows from the (unique) optimality of  $x^*$ . Contradiction!

**Q:** Example of a problem with a non-extreme solution?

define **extreme point**:  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is extreme in  $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  if it cannot be written as a convex combination of other points in C: for  $\theta \in [0,1]$ ,

$$x \in C$$
 and  $x = \theta y + (1 - \theta)z \implies x = y = z$ 

**fact:** if  $x^*$  is the unique optimal solution of minimize<sub> $x \in S$ </sub>  $c^T x$ , then  $x^*$  is extreme in the set S.

**proof:** suppose by way of contradiction that  $x^*$  is not extreme in S:

$$x^{\star} = \theta y + (1 - \theta)z \quad \text{for } y, z \in S, \theta \in (0, 1)$$
$$p^{\star} := c^{T}x^{\star} = \theta c^{T}y + (1 - \theta)c^{T}z > \theta p^{\star} + (1 - \theta)p^{\star} = p^{\star}$$

where the inequality follows from the (unique) optimality of  $x^*$ . Contradiction!

Q: Example of a problem with a non-extreme solution?

Q: Does there always exist an extreme solution?

define **vertex**:  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is a vertex of set  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  if for some vector  $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$c^T x < c^T y \quad \forall y \in S \setminus \{x\}$$

define **vertex**:  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is a vertex of set  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  if for some vector  $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$c^T x < c^T y \quad \forall y \in S \setminus \{x\}$$

**interpretation:**  $\{z: c^Tz = c^Tx\}$  is a hyperplane that intersects S only at x. we say this hyperplane **supports** S at x

define **vertex**:  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is a vertex of set  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  if for some vector  $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$c^T x < c^T y \quad \forall y \in S \setminus \{x\}$$

**interpretation:**  $\{z: c^Tz = c^Tx\}$  is a hyperplane that intersects S only at x. we say this hyperplane **supports** S at x

**fact:** x is a vertex of  $S \implies x$  is an extreme point of S

define **vertex**:  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is a vertex of set  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  if for some vector  $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$c^T x < c^T y \quad \forall y \in S \setminus \{x\}$$

**interpretation:**  $\{z: c^Tz = c^Tx\}$  is a hyperplane that intersects S only at x. we say this hyperplane **supports** S at x

**fact:** x is a vertex of  $S \implies x$  is an extreme point of S

proof:

define **vertex**:  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is a vertex of set  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  if for some vector  $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$c^T x < c^T y \quad \forall y \in S \setminus \{x\}$$

**interpretation:**  $\{z: c^Tz = c^Tx\}$  is a hyperplane that intersects S only at x. we say this hyperplane **supports** S at x

**fact:** x is a vertex of  $S \implies x$  is an extreme point of S

**proof:** x is a vertex of S. suppose its defining vector is c and consider the

optimization problem

minimize 
$$c^T x$$
  
subject to  $x \in S$ 

define **vertex**:  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is a vertex of set  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  if for some vector  $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$c^T x < c^T y \quad \forall y \in S \setminus \{x\}$$

**interpretation:**  $\{z: c^Tz = c^Tx\}$  is a hyperplane that intersects S only at x. we say this hyperplane **supports** S at x

**fact:** x is a vertex of  $S \implies x$  is an extreme point of S **proof:** x is a vertex of S. suppose its defining vector is c and consider the optimization problem

minimize 
$$c^T x$$
 subject to  $x \in S$ 

x is the unique optimum of this problem, so the proof of this statement follows from the previous proof.

#### recall the standard form LP

minimize 
$$c^T x$$
  
subject to  $Ax = b$   
 $x \ge 0$  (LP)

recall the standard form LP

minimize 
$$c^T x$$
  
subject to  $Ax = b$   
 $x \ge 0$  (LP)

**define:**  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is a **basic feasible solution** (BFS) of (LP) if there is a set  $S \subset \{1, ..., n\}$  of m columns so that  $A_S \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$  is invertible and

$$x_{\mathcal{S}}=A_{\mathcal{S}}^{-1}b, \qquad x_{\bar{\mathcal{S}}}=0, \qquad x\geq 0.$$

▶  $A_S \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times m}$  is submatrix of A with columns in S

recall the standard form LP

minimize 
$$c^T x$$
  
subject to  $Ax = b$   
 $x \ge 0$  (LP)

**define:**  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is a **basic feasible solution** (BFS) of (LP) if there is a set  $S \subset \{1, ..., n\}$  of m columns so that  $A_S \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$  is invertible and

$$x_S = A_S^{-1}b, \qquad x_{\bar{S}} = 0, \qquad x \geq 0.$$

- ▶  $A_S \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times m}$  is submatrix of A with columns in S
- ▶ two BFS with S, S' are neighbors if they share all but one columns:  $|S \cap S'| = m 1$

recall the standard form LP

minimize 
$$c^T x$$
  
subject to  $Ax = b$   
 $x \ge 0$  (LP)

**define:**  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is a **basic feasible solution** (BFS) of (LP) if there is a set  $S \subset \{1, ..., n\}$  of m columns so that  $A_S \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$  is invertible and

$$x_S = A_S^{-1}b, \qquad x_{\bar{S}} = 0, \qquad x \geq 0.$$

- ▶  $A_S \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times m}$  is submatrix of A with columns in S
- ▶ two BFS with S, S' are neighbors if they share all but one columns:  $|S \cap S'| = m 1$

recall the standard form LP

minimize 
$$c^T x$$
  
subject to  $Ax = b$   
 $x \ge 0$  (LP)

**define:**  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is a **basic feasible solution** (BFS) of (LP) if there is a set  $S \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$  of m columns so that  $A_S \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$  is invertible and

$$x_S = A_S^{-1}b, \qquad x_{\bar{S}} = 0, \qquad x \geq 0.$$

- $ightharpoonup A_S \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times m}$  is submatrix of A with columns in S
- ▶ two BFS with S, S' are neighbors if they share all but one columns:  $|S \cap S'| = m-1$

**Q:** how to find a BFS?

recall the standard form LP

minimize 
$$c^T x$$
  
subject to  $Ax = b$   
 $x \ge 0$  (LP)

**define:**  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is a **basic feasible solution** (BFS) of (LP) if there is a set  $S \subset \{1, ..., n\}$  of m columns so that  $A_S \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$  is invertible and

$$x_S = A_S^{-1}b, \qquad x_{\bar{S}} = 0, \qquad x \ge 0.$$

- $ightharpoonup A_S \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times m}$  is submatrix of A with columns in S
- ▶ two BFS with S, S' are neighbors if they share all but one columns:  $|S \cap S'| = m 1$

Q: how to find a BFS?

**A:** choose *m* linearly independent columns of *A* and set  $x = A_S^{-1}b$ ; check  $x \ge 0$ .

## Extreme point $\iff$ vertex $\iff$ BFS

**fact.** consider the feasible set  $F = \{x \mid Ax = b, x \ge 0\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . the following are equivalent:

- $\triangleright$  x is an extreme point of F
- x is a vertex of F
- $\triangleright$  x is a BFS of F

## Extreme point $\iff$ vertex $\iff$ BFS

**fact.** consider the feasible set  $F = \{x \mid Ax = b, x \ge 0\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . the following are equivalent:

- $\triangleright$  x is an extreme point of F
- x is a vertex of F
- ➤ x is a BFS of F

implications: since any polyhedron  $Ax \le b$  can be written as Ax = b,  $x \ge 0$ ,

- ightharpoonup (BFS  $\Longrightarrow$  ) a polyhedron has a finite number of extreme points
- lackbox (extreme point  $\Longrightarrow$  ) BFS are independent of the representation of the feasible set

## Extreme point $\iff$ vertex $\iff$ BFS

**fact.** consider the feasible set  $F = \{x \mid Ax = b, x \ge 0\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . the following are equivalent:

- $\triangleright$  x is an extreme point of F
- x is a vertex of F
- ➤ x is a BFS of F

implications: since any polyhedron  $Ax \le b$  can be written as Ax = b,  $x \ge 0$ ,

- ightharpoonup (BFS  $\Longrightarrow$  ) a polyhedron has a finite number of extreme points
- lackbox (extreme point  $\Longrightarrow$  ) BFS are independent of the representation of the feasible set

we have already shown that vertex  $\implies$  extreme point. need to show

- ▶ extreme point ⇒ BFS
- ► BFS ⇒ vertex

we will show the contrapositive: x is not a BFS  $\implies x$  is not an extreme point

we will show the contrapositive: x is not a BFS  $\implies x$  is not an extreme point suppose that  $x^* \in F$  but is not a BFS: there is no  $S \subseteq [n]$  so that  $A_S$  is invertible,  $x_S^* = A_S^{-1}b$ , and  $x_{\overline{S}}^* = 0$ .

we will show the contrapositive: x is not a BFS  $\implies x$  is not an extreme point suppose that  $x^* \in F$  but is not a BFS: there is no  $S \subseteq [n]$  so that  $A_S$  is invertible,  $x_S^* = A_S^{-1}b$ , and  $x_{\overline{S}}^* = 0$ . consider  $I = \{i : x_i^* > 0\}$ , the active set of variables in  $x^*$ .

- $\blacktriangleright$  if  $A_I$  were full rank |I|, we could complete  $A_I$  to an invertible  $A_S$ ,
- ▶ so there is some  $d_I \in \mathbf{nullspace}(A_I)$ ,  $d_I \neq 0$ .

we will show the contrapositive: x is not a BFS  $\implies x$  is not an extreme point suppose that  $x^{\star} \in F$  but is not a BFS: there is no  $S \subseteq [n]$  so that  $A_S$  is invertible,  $x_S^{\star} = A_S^{-1}b$ , and  $x_{\overline{S}}^{\star} = 0$ . consider  $I = \{i : x_i^{\star} > 0\}$ , the active set of variables in  $x^{\star}$ .

- $\blacktriangleright$  if  $A_I$  were full rank |I|, we could complete  $A_I$  to an invertible  $A_S$ ,
- ▶ so there is some  $d_I \in \mathbf{nullspace}(A_I)$ ,  $d_I \neq 0$ .

extend this vector to  $d \in \mathbf{R}^n$  with  $d_{\bar{l}} = 0$ , so  $Ad = A_I d_I = 0$ . now for  $\epsilon \leq \min_i x_i^* / \max_i |d_i|$ , define  $x^+, x^- \in \mathbf{R}^n$  as

$$x^+ = x^* + \epsilon d, \qquad x^- = x^* - \epsilon d.$$

these are feasible:

- $ightharpoonup x^+, x^- \ge 0$  by our choice of  $\epsilon$ ,
- $Ax^{+} = Ax^{-} = b \text{ since } Ad = 0.$

we will show the contrapositive: x is not a BFS  $\implies x$  is not an extreme point suppose that  $x^* \in F$  but is not a BFS: there is no  $S \subseteq [n]$  so that  $A_S$  is invertible,  $x_S^* = A_S^{-1}b$ , and  $x_{\bar{S}}^* = 0$ . consider  $I = \{i : x_i^* > 0\}$ , the active set of variables in  $x^*$ .

- $\blacktriangleright$  if  $A_I$  were full rank |I|, we could complete  $A_I$  to an invertible  $A_S$ ,
- ▶ so there is some  $d_I \in \mathbf{nullspace}(A_I)$ ,  $d_I \neq 0$ .

extend this vector to  $d \in \mathbf{R}^n$  with  $d_{\bar{l}} = 0$ , so  $Ad = A_l d_l = 0$ . now for  $\epsilon \leq \min_i x_i^* / \max_i |d_i|$ , define  $x^+, x^- \in \mathbf{R}^n$  as

$$x^+ = x^* + \epsilon d, \qquad x^- = x^* - \epsilon d.$$

these are feasible:

- $ightharpoonup x^+, x^- \ge 0$  by our choice of  $\epsilon$ ,
- $Ax^{+} = Ax^{-} = b \text{ since } Ad = 0.$

so  $x^* = \frac{1}{2}x^+ + \frac{1}{2}x^-$  is not extreme in F.

#### $BFS \implies vertex$

suppose  $x^*$  is a BFS of F with active set S and  $A_S$  invertible. define  $c \in \mathbf{R}^n$  as

$$c_i = egin{cases} 0 & ext{if } i \in S \ 1 & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

so 
$$c^T x^* = 0$$
.

### $BFS \implies vertex$

suppose  $x^*$  is a BFS of F with active set S and  $A_S$  invertible. define  $c \in \mathbf{R}^n$  as

$$c_i = egin{cases} 0 & ext{if } i \in S \ 1 & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

so  $c^T x^* = 0$ .

- $ightharpoonup x^*$  is the only point in F supported on S, as **nullspace** $(A_S)=0$ ,
- **>** so any other feasible point  $x \in F$  has a positive objective value  $c^T x > 0$ .

hence  $x^*$  is a vertex of F with defining vector c.

### **Outline**

LP standard form

LP inequality form

What kinds of points can be optimal?

Modeling

Solving LPs

# Let's do some modeling!

## practical solvers for MILP:

- Gurobi and COPT (cardinal optimizer) are the state-of-the-art commercial solvers
- ► GLPK is a free solver that is not as fast
- gurobipy is a python interface to Gurobi
- CVX\* (including CVXPY in python) are modeling languages that call solvers like Gurobi with good support for convex problems
- OptiMUS is a LLM-based modeling tool for MILP that produces gurobipy code https://optimus-solver.com/dashboard
- ► JuliaOpt/JuMP is a modeling language in Julia that calls solvers like Gurobi and is super speedy for MILP applications

# Let's do some modeling!

## practical solvers for MILP:

- Gurobi and COPT (cardinal optimizer) are the state-of-the-art commercial solvers
- ► GLPK is a free solver that is not as fast
- gurobipy is a python interface to Gurobi
- CVX\* (including CVXPY in python) are modeling languages that call solvers like Gurobi with good support for convex problems
- ► OptiMUS is a LLM-based modeling tool for MILP that produces gurobipy code https://optimus-solver.com/dashboard
- ► JuliaOpt/JuMP is a modeling language in Julia that calls solvers like Gurobi and is super speedy for MILP applications

#### demos:

- power systems https://jump.dev/JuMP.jl/stable/tutorials/applications/power\_systems/
- multicast routing https://colab.research.google.com/drive/

# **Modeling challenges**

model the following as standard form LPs:

- 1. inequality constraints.  $Ax \leq b$
- 2. free variable.  $x \in \mathbb{R}$
- 3. **absolute value.** constraint  $|x| \le 10$
- 4. **piecewise linear.** objective  $max(x_1, x_2)$
- 5. assignment. e.g., every class is assigned exactly one classroom
- 6. **logic.** e.g., class enrollment  $\leq$  capacity of assigned room
- 7. **flow.** e.g., the least cost way to ship an item from s to t

# Modeling challenges

model the following as standard form LPs:

- 1. inequality constraints.  $Ax \leq b$
- 2. free variable.  $x \in \mathbb{R}$
- 3. **absolute value.** constraint  $|x| \le 10$
- 4. **piecewise linear.** objective  $max(x_1, x_2)$
- 5. assignment. e.g., every class is assigned exactly one classroom
- 6. **logic.** e.g., class enrollment  $\leq$  capacity of assigned room
- 7. **flow.** e.g., the least cost way to ship an item from s to t

(see chapter 1 of Bertsimas and Tsitsiklis for more details on 1–6. see https://colab.research.google.com/drive/1iOn1T1Muh51KaA7mf7UIQOdhSFZhZyry?usp=sharing for a detailed treatment of a flow problem.)

# Use slack variables to represent inequality constraints

minimize 
$$c^T x$$
  
subject to  $Ax \le b$   
 $x \ge 0$ 

# Use slack variables to represent inequality constraints

minimize 
$$c^T x$$
  
subject to  $Ax \le b$   
 $x \ge 0$ 

introduce slack variable 
$$s \in \mathbf{R}^m$$
:  $Ax + s = b$ ,  $s \ge 0 \iff Ax \le b$ 

minimize  $c^Tx + 0^Ts$ 

subject to  $Ax + s = b$ 
 $x, s > 0$ 

# Split variable into parts to represent free variables

minimize 
$$c^T x$$
  
subject to  $Ax = b$ 

# Split variable into parts to represent free variables

to represent the following problem in standard form,

minimize 
$$c^T x$$
  
subject to  $Ax = b$ 

introduce positive variables  $x_+, x_-$  so  $x = x_+ - x_-$ :

minimize 
$$c^T x_+ - c^T x_-$$
  
subject to  $Ax_+ - Ax_- = b$   
 $x_+, x_- \ge 0$ 

### Use epigraph variables to handle absolute value

minimize 
$$||x||_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|$$
  
subject to  $Ax = b$   
 $x \ge 0$ 

# Use epigraph variables to handle absolute value

to represent the following problem in standard form,

minimize 
$$||x||_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|$$
  
subject to  $Ax = b$   
 $x \ge 0$ 

introduce epigraph variable  $t \in \mathbb{R}^n$  so  $|x_i| \le t_i$ :

minimize 
$$1^T t$$
  
subject to  $Ax = b$   
 $-t \le x \le t$   
 $x, t > 0$ 

verify these constraints ensure  $|x_i| \le t_i$ .

# Use epigraph variables to handle absolute value

to represent the following problem in standard form,

minimize 
$$||x||_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|$$
  
subject to  $Ax = b$   
 $x \ge 0$ 

introduce epigraph variable  $t \in \mathbb{R}^n$  so  $|x_i| \le t_i$ :

minimize 
$$1^T t$$
  
subject to  $Ax = b$   
 $-t \le x \le t$   
 $x, t > 0$ 

verify these constraints ensure  $|x_i| \le t_i$ .

Q: Why does this work? For what kinds of functions can we use this trick?

# Use binary variables to handle assignment

every class is assigned exactly one classroom: define variable  $X_{ij} \in \{0,1\}$  for each class  $i=1,\ldots,n$  and room  $j=1,\ldots,m$ 

$$X_{ij} = egin{cases} 1 & ext{class } i ext{ is assigned to room } j \ 0 & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

# Use binary variables to handle assignment

every class is assigned exactly one classroom: define variable  $X_{ij} \in \{0,1\}$  for each class  $i=1,\ldots,n$  and room  $j=1,\ldots,m$ 

$$X_{ij} = egin{cases} 1 & ext{class } i ext{ is assigned to room } j \ 0 & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

now solve the problem

minimize 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} C_{ij} X_{ij}$$
 subject to  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{ij} = 1, \ \forall j$  (every class assigned one room)  $\sum_{j=1}^{m} X_{ij} \leq 1, \ \forall i \text{(no more than one class per room)}$   $X_{ij} \in \{0,1\}$  (binary variables)

where  $C_{ij}$  is the cost of assigning class i to room j.

# Use binary variables to handle logic

model class enrollment  $n_i \leq \text{capacity } c_j$  of assigned room: define variable  $X_{ij} \in \{0,1\}$  for each class  $i=1,\ldots,n$  and room  $j=1,\ldots,m$   $X_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{class } i \text{ is assigned to room } j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ 

# Use binary variables to handle logic

model class enrollment  $n_i \leq \text{capacity } c_j$  of assigned room: define variable  $X_{ij} \in \{0,1\}$  for each class  $i=1,\ldots,n$  and room  $j=1,\ldots,m$ 

$$X_{ij} = egin{cases} 1 & ext{class } i ext{ is assigned to room } j \ 0 & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

solve the problem

minimize 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} C_{ij} X_{ij}$$
 subject to  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{ij} = 1, \ \forall j$  (every class assigned one room)  $\sum_{j=1}^{m} X_{ij} \leq 1, \ \forall i \text{(no more than one class per room)}$   $\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i X_{ij} \leq c_j, \ \forall j$  (capacity constraint)  $X_{ij} \in \{0,1\}$  (binary variables)

where  $C_{ij}$  is the cost of assigning class i to room j.

# Use binary variables to handle logic

model class enrollment  $n_i \leq \text{capacity } c_j$  of assigned room: define variable  $X_{ij} \in \{0,1\}$  for each class  $i=1,\ldots,n$  and room  $j=1,\ldots,m$ 

$$X_{ij} = egin{cases} 1 & ext{class } i ext{ is assigned to room } j \ 0 & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

solve the problem

minimize 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} C_{ij} X_{ij}$$
 subject to 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{ij} = 1, \ \forall j \quad \text{(every class assigned one room)}$$
 
$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} X_{ij} \leq 1, \ \forall i \text{(no more than one class per room)}$$
 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i X_{ij} \leq c_j, \ \forall j \quad \text{(capacity constraint)}$$
 
$$X_{ij} \in \{0,1\} \quad \text{(binary variables)}$$

where  $C_{ij}$  is the cost of assigning class i to room j. what if we want p to be a variable, too?

### ...or use a big-M relaxation!

model class enrollment  $n_i \le \text{capacity } c_j$  of assigned room: define variable  $X_{ij} \in \{0,1\}$  for each class  $i=1,\ldots,n$  and room  $j=1,\ldots,m$ 

$$X_{ij} = egin{cases} 1 & ext{class } i ext{ is assigned to room } j \ 0 & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

suppose M is a very large number.

### ...or use a big-M relaxation!

model class enrollment  $n_i \le \text{capacity } c_j$  of assigned room: define variable  $X_{ij} \in \{0,1\}$  for each class  $i=1,\ldots,n$  and room  $j=1,\ldots,m$ 

$$X_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{class } i \text{ is assigned to room } j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

suppose M is a very large number. solve the problem

minimize 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} C_{ij} X_{ij}$$
 subject to 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{ij} = 1, \ \forall j \quad \text{(every class assigned one room)}$$
 
$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} X_{ij} = 1, \ \forall i \text{(no more than one class per room)}$$
 
$$p_i \leq c_j + (1 - X_{ij})M, \ \forall i,j \quad \text{(capacity constraint)}$$
 
$$X_{ij} \in \{0,1\} \quad \text{(binary variables)}$$

where  $C_{ij}$  is the cost of assigning class i to room j.

### **Outline**

LP standard form

LP inequality form

What kinds of points can be optimal?

Modeling

Solving LPs

# **Solving LPs**

### algorithms:

- enumerate all vertices and check
- ▶ fourier-motzkin elimination
- simplex method
- ellipsoid method
- ▶ interior point methods
- ► first-order methods
- **...**

# **Solving LPs**

### algorithms:

- enumerate all vertices and check
- fourier-motzkin elimination
- simplex method
- ellipsoid method
- interior point methods
- first-order methods
- **.**...

#### remarks:

- enumeration and elimination are simple but not practical
- simplex was the first practical algorithm; still used today
- ellipsoid method is the first polynomial-time algorithm; not practical
- ▶ interior point methods are polynomial-time and practical
- first-order methods are practical and scale to large problems

#### **Enumerate vertices of LP**

can generate all extreme points of LP: for each  $S \subseteq \{1, ..., n\}$  with |S| = m,

- $ightharpoonup A_S \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times m}$ , submatrix of A with columns in S, is invertible
- ▶ solve  $A_S x_S = b$  for  $x_S$  and set  $x_{\bar{S}} = 0$
- ightharpoonup if  $x_S \ge 0$ , then x is a BFS
- ightharpoonup evaluate objective  $c^T x$

the best BFS is optimal!

#### **Enumerate vertices of LP**

can generate all extreme points of LP: for each  $S \subseteq \{1, ..., n\}$  with |S| = m,

- ▶  $A_S \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ , submatrix of A with columns in S, is invertible
- ▶ solve  $A_S x_S = b$  for  $x_S$  and set  $x_{\bar{S}} = 0$
- ightharpoonup if  $x_S \ge 0$ , then x is a BFS
- ightharpoonup evaluate objective  $c^T x$

the best BFS is optimal!

problem: how many BFSs are there?

#### **Enumerate vertices of LP**

can generate all extreme points of LP: for each  $S \subseteq \{1, ..., n\}$  with |S| = m,

- ▶  $A_S \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times m}$ , submatrix of A with columns in S, is invertible
- ▶ solve  $A_S x_S = b$  for  $x_S$  and set  $x_{\bar{S}} = 0$
- ightharpoonup if  $x_S \ge 0$ , then x is a BFS
- ightharpoonup evaluate objective  $c^T x$

the best BFS is optimal!

**problem:** how many BFSs are there? n choose m is  $\binom{n}{m} = \frac{n!}{m!(n-m)!}$  ("exponentially many")

### Simplex algorithm

basic idea: local search on the vertices of the feasible set

- $\triangleright$  start at BFS x and evaluate objective  $c^Tx$
- ightharpoonup move to a neighboring BFS x' with better objective  $c^Tx'$
- repeat until no improvement possible

### Simplex algorithm

basic idea: local search on the vertices of the feasible set

- $\triangleright$  start at BFS x and evaluate objective  $c^T x$
- ightharpoonup move to a neighboring BFS x' with better objective  $c^Tx'$
- repeat until no improvement possible

### discuss in groups:

- how to find an initial BFS?
- how to find a neighboring BFS with better objective?
- how to prove optimality?

# Finding an initial BFS

solve an auxiliary problem for which a BFS is known:

minimize 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} z_i$$
 subject to 
$$Ax + Dz = b$$
$$x, z \ge 0$$

where  $D \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times m}$  is a diagonal matrix with  $D_{ii} = \mathbf{sign}(b_i)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ .

- ightharpoonup x = 0, z = |b| is a BFS of this problem
- $\blacktriangleright$  (x,z)=(x,0) is a BFS of this problem  $\iff x$  is a BFS of the original problem

start with BFS x with active set S and turn on variable  $j \notin S$ 

$$x^+ \leftarrow x + \theta d, \qquad \theta > 0$$

where  $d_i = 1$  and  $d_i = 0$  for  $i \notin S \cup \{j\}$ . need to solve for  $d_S$ .

start with BFS x with active set S and turn on variable  $j \notin S$ 

$$x^+ \leftarrow x + \theta d, \qquad \theta > 0$$

where  $d_i = 1$  and  $d_i = 0$  for  $i \notin S \cup \{j\}$ . need to solve for  $d_S$ .

need to stay feasible wrt equality constraints, so

$$Ax = b$$
,  $A(x + \theta d) = b$ ,  $\Longrightarrow Ad = 0$ 

start with BFS x with active set S and turn on variable  $j \notin S$ 

$$x^+ \leftarrow x + \theta d, \qquad \theta > 0$$

where  $d_i = 1$  and  $d_i = 0$  for  $i \notin S \cup \{j\}$ . need to solve for  $d_S$ .

need to stay feasible wrt equality constraints, so

$$Ax = b$$
,  $A(x + \theta d) = b$ ,  $\Longrightarrow Ad = 0$ 

construct the jth basic direction

$$Ad = A_S d_S + A_j = 0 \implies d_S = -A_S^{-1} A_j$$

start with BFS x with active set S and turn on variable  $j \notin S$ 

$$x^+ \leftarrow x + \theta d, \qquad \theta > 0$$

where  $d_i = 1$  and  $d_i = 0$  for  $i \notin S \cup \{j\}$ . need to solve for  $d_S$ .

need to stay feasible wrt equality constraints, so

$$Ax = b$$
,  $A(x + \theta d) = b$ ,  $\Longrightarrow Ad = 0$ 

construct the jth basic direction

$$Ad = A_S d_S + A_j = 0 \implies d_S = -A_S^{-1} A_j$$

▶ if  $x_S > 0$  is **non-degenerate**, then  $\exists \theta > 0$  st  $x^+ \ge 0$ 

start with BFS x with active set S and turn on variable  $j \notin S$ 

$$x^+ \leftarrow x + \theta d, \qquad \theta > 0$$

where  $d_j = 1$  and  $d_i = 0$  for  $i \notin S \cup \{j\}$ . need to solve for  $d_S$ .

need to stay feasible wrt equality constraints, so

$$Ax = b$$
,  $A(x + \theta d) = b$ ,  $\Longrightarrow Ad = 0$ 

construct the jth basic direction

$$Ad = A_S d_S + A_j = 0 \implies d_S = -A_S^{-1} A_j$$

- ▶ if  $x_S > 0$  is **non-degenerate**, then  $\exists \theta > 0$  st  $x^+ \geq 0$
- how does objective change?

$$c^T x^+ = c^T x + \theta c^T d = c^T x + \theta c_j - \theta c_s^T A_s^{-1} A_j$$

### Reduced cost

define **reduced cost**  $\bar{c}_j = c_j - c_S^T A_S^{-1} A_j$ ,  $j \notin S$ 

#### Reduced cost

define **reduced cost** 
$$\bar{c}_j = c_j - c_S^T A_S^{-1} A_j$$
,  $j \notin S$ 

#### fact:

- ightharpoonup if  $\bar{c} \geq 0$ , x is optimal
- if x is optimal and nondegenerate  $(x_S > 0)$ , then  $\bar{c} \ge 0$