# CME 307 / MS&E 311 / OIT 676: Optimization

# Optimality conditions and convexity

Professor Udell

Management Science and Engineering Stanford

October 13, 2025

### **Outline**

Constrained and unconstrained optimization

Optimality conditions

Convex analysis

Convex optimization

### Constrained vs unconstrained optimization

#### constrained optimization

- examples: scheduling, routing, packing, logistics, scheduling, control
- what's hard: finding a feasible point

#### unconstrained optimization

- examples: data fitting, statistical/machine learning
- what's hard: reducing the objective

both are necessary for real-world problems!

### **Unconstrained smooth optimization**

for  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  ctsly differentiable,

```
minimize f(x) variable x \in \mathbb{R}^n
```

#### examples:

- least squares
- ► logistic regression
- ▶ neural network training (with smooth activation like tanh, ELU, GeLU, ...)

#### **Oracles**

an optimization **oracle** is your interface for accessing the problem data: e.g., an oracle for  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  can evaluate for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ :

- **>** zero-order:  $f_0(x)$
- ▶ **first-order**:  $f_0(x)$  and  $\nabla f_0(x)$
- **second-order:**  $f_0(x)$ ,  $\nabla f_0(x)$ , and  $\nabla^2 f_0(x)$

why oracles?

- can optimize real systems based on observed output (not just models)
- can use and extend old or complex but trusted code (e.g., NASA, PDE simulations, . . . )
- can prove lower bounds on the oracle complexity of a problem class

source: Nesterov 2004 "Introductory Lectures on Convex Optimization"

### **Outline**

Constrained and unconstrained optimization

Optimality conditions

Convex analysis

Convex optimization

# Solution of an optimization problem

minimize 
$$f(x)$$

for  $f: \mathcal{D} \to \mathbb{R}$ .  $x^*$  is a

- **p** global minimizer if  $f(x) \ge f(x^*)$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{D}$ .
- ▶ **local minimizer** if there is a neighborhood  $\mathcal{N}$  around  $x^*$  so that  $f(x) \geq f(x^*)$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{N}$ .
- **isolated local minimizer** if the neighborhood  $\mathcal N$  contains no other local minimizers.
- unique minimizer if it is the only global minimizer.

# Solution of an optimization problem

minimize 
$$f(x)$$

for  $f: \mathcal{D} \to \mathbb{R}$ .  $x^*$  is a

- **proof** global minimizer if  $f(x) \ge f(x^*)$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{D}$ .
- ▶ **local minimizer** if there is a neighborhood  $\mathcal{N}$  around  $x^*$  so that  $f(x) \geq f(x^*)$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{N}$ .
- **isolated local minimizer** if the neighborhood  $\mathcal N$  contains no other local minimizers.
- unique minimizer if it is the only global minimizer.

### pictures!

### Theorem

If  $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is a local minimizer of a differentiable function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ , then  $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$ .

#### Theorem

If  $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is a local minimizer of a differentiable function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ , then  $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$ .

**proof:** suppose by contradiction that  $\nabla f(x^*) \neq 0$ . consider points of the form  $x_{\alpha} = x^* - \alpha \nabla f(x^*)$  for  $\alpha > 0$ . by definition of the gradient,

$$\lim_{\alpha \to 0} \frac{f(x_{\alpha}) - f(x^{\star})}{\alpha} = -\nabla f(x^{\star})^{\top} \nabla f(x^{\star}) = -\|\nabla f(x^{\star})\|^{2} < 0$$

so for any sufficiently small  $\alpha > 0$ , we have  $f(x_{\alpha}) < f(x^{*})$ , which contradicts the fact that  $x^{*}$  is a local minimizer.

#### Theorem

If  $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is a local minimizer of a differentiable function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ , then  $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$ .

**proof:** suppose by contradiction that  $\nabla f(x^*) \neq 0$ . consider points of the form  $x_{\alpha} = x^* - \alpha \nabla f(x^*)$  for  $\alpha > 0$ . by definition of the gradient,

$$\lim_{\alpha \to 0} \frac{f(x_{\alpha}) - f(x^{\star})}{\alpha} = -\nabla f(x^{\star})^{\top} \nabla f(x^{\star}) = -\|\nabla f(x^{\star})\|^{2} < 0$$

so for any sufficiently small  $\alpha > 0$ , we have  $f(x_{\alpha}) < f(x^{*})$ , which contradicts the fact that  $x^{*}$  is a local minimizer.

### Definition

 $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is a **stationary point** of a differentiable function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  if  $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$ .

is a stationary point always a local minimizer?

#### Theorem

If  $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is a local minimizer of a differentiable function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ , then  $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$ .

**proof:** suppose by contradiction that  $\nabla f(x^*) \neq 0$ . consider points of the form  $x_{\alpha} = x^* - \alpha \nabla f(x^*)$  for  $\alpha > 0$ . by definition of the gradient,

$$\lim_{\alpha \to 0} \frac{f(x_{\alpha}) - f(x^{\star})}{\alpha} = -\nabla f(x^{\star})^{\top} \nabla f(x^{\star}) = -\|\nabla f(x^{\star})\|^{2} < 0$$

so for any sufficiently small  $\alpha > 0$ , we have  $f(x_{\alpha}) < f(x^{*})$ , which contradicts the fact that  $x^{*}$  is a local minimizer.

### Definition

 $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is a **stationary point** of a differentiable function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  if  $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$ .

is a stationary point always a local minimizer? no! saddle points, local maximizers.

# **Second order optimality condition**

### Theorem

If  $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is a local minimizer of a twice differentiable function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ , then  $\nabla^2 f(x^*) \succeq 0$ .

# Second order optimality condition

#### Theorem

If  $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is a local minimizer of a twice differentiable function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ , then  $\nabla^2 f(x^*) \succeq 0$ .

**proof:** similar to the previous proof. use the fact that the second order approximation

$$f(x_{lpha}) pprox f(x^{\star}) + 
abla f(x^{\star})^{ op} (x_{lpha} - x^{\star}) + rac{1}{2} (x_{lpha} - x^{\star})^{ op} 
abla^2 f(x^{\star}) (x_{lpha} - x^{\star})$$

is accurate locally to show a contradiction unless  $\nabla^2 f(x^*) \succeq 0$ : if not, there is a direction v such that  $v^T \nabla^2 f(x^*) v < 0$ . then  $f(x + \alpha v) < f(x^*)$  for  $\alpha$  arbitrarily small, which contradicts the fact that  $x^*$  is a local minimizer.

# Symmetric positive semidefinite matrices

#### Definition

a symmetric matrix  $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is **positive semidefinite** (psd) if  $x^T Qx \ge 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

these matrices are so important that there are many ways to write them! for  $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ .

$$Q \in \mathbf{S}_{+}^{n} \iff Q \succeq 0 \iff Q = Q^{T}, \ \lambda_{\min}(Q) \geq 0 \iff v^{T}Qv \geq 0 \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$$

# Symmetric positive semidefinite matrices

#### Definition

a symmetric matrix  $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is **positive semidefinite** (psd) if  $x^T Qx \ge 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

these matrices are so important that there are many ways to write them! for  $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ,

$$Q \in \mathbf{S}_{+}^{n} \iff Q \succeq 0 \iff Q = Q^{T}, \ \lambda_{\min}(Q) \geq 0 \iff v^{T}Qv \geq 0 \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$$

$$Q \in \mathbf{S}_{++}^n$$
 is symmetric positive definite (spd)  $(Q \succ 0)$  if  $x^T Q x > 0$  for all  $x \neq 0$ .

# Symmetric positive semidefinite matrices

#### Definition

a symmetric matrix  $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is **positive semidefinite** (psd) if  $x^T Qx \ge 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

these matrices are so important that there are many ways to write them! for  $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ,

$$Q \in \mathbf{S}^n_+ \iff Q \succeq 0 \iff Q = Q^T, \ \lambda_{\min}(Q) \geq 0 \iff v^T Q v \geq 0 \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

 $Q \in \mathbf{S}_{++}^n$  is symmetric positive definite (spd)  $(Q \succ 0)$  if  $x^T Q x > 0$  for all  $x \neq 0$ . why care about psd matrices Q?

- least-squares objective is quadratic with psd Hessian  $A^TA$
- level sets of  $x^T Q x$  are (bounded) ellipsoids if Q > 0
- ▶ the quadratic form  $x^T Qx$  is a metric iff Q > 0
- eigenvalue decomp and svd coincide for psd matrices

### **Outline**

Constrained and unconstrained optimization

Optimality conditions

Convex analysis

Convex optimization

#### Convex sets

### Definition

A set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is convex if it contains every chord: for all  $\theta \in [0,1]$ , w,  $v \in S$ ,

$$\theta w + (1 - \theta)v \in S$$

#### Convex sets

### Definition

A set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is convex if it contains every chord: for all  $\theta \in [0,1]$ , w,  $v \in S$ ,

$$\theta w + (1 - \theta)v \in S$$

**Q:** Which of these are convex? ellipsoid, crescent moon, . . .

if  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $T \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  are convex, then so are:

- ▶ intersection:  $S \cap T$
- ▶ sum:  $S + T = \{s + t \mid s \in S, t \in T\}$
- ▶ projection:  $\{x:(x,y)\in S\}$

a function  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is convex iff

a function  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is convex iff

▶ **Chords.** it never lies above its chord:  $\forall \theta \in [0,1], w, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ 

$$f(\theta w + (1-\theta)v) \le \theta f(w) + (1-\theta)f(v)$$

a function  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is convex iff

▶ **Chords.** it never lies above its chord:  $\forall \theta \in [0,1], w, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ 

$$f(\theta w + (1-\theta)v) \le \theta f(w) + (1-\theta)f(v)$$

**Epigraph.** epi $(f) = \{(x, t) : t \ge f(x)\}$  is convex

a function  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is convex iff

▶ **Chords.** it never lies above its chord:  $\forall \theta \in [0,1], w, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ 

$$f(\theta w + (1-\theta)v) \le \theta f(w) + (1-\theta)f(v)$$

- **Epigraph. epi**(f) = {(x, t) :  $t \ge f(x)$ } is convex
- **First order condition.** if *f* is differentiable,

$$f(v) \ge f(w) + \nabla f(w)^{\top} (v - w), \qquad \forall w, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

a function  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is convex iff

▶ **Chords.** it never lies above its chord:  $\forall \theta \in [0,1], w, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ 

$$f(\theta w + (1-\theta)v) \le \theta f(w) + (1-\theta)f(v)$$

- **Epigraph.** epi $(f) = \{(x, t) : t \ge f(x)\}$  is convex
- **First order condition.** if *f* is differentiable,

$$f(v) \ge f(w) + \nabla f(w)^{\top} (v - w), \qquad \forall w, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

**Second order condition.** If *f* is twice differentiable, its Hessian is always psd:

$$\lambda_{\min}(\nabla^2 f(x)) \ge 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

### **Convexity examples**

Q: Which of these functions are convex?

- ▶ quadratic function  $f(x) = x^2$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$
- ▶ absolute value function f(x) = |x| for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$
- quadratic function  $f(x) = x^T A x$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $A \succeq 0$
- quadratic function  $f(x) = x^T A x$ , A indefinite
- ▶ rollercoaster function (cubic) f(x) = (x-1)(x-3)(x-5)
- ▶ hyperbolic function f(x) = 1/x for x > 0
- ▶ jump function f(x) = 1 if  $x \ge 0$ , f(x) = 0 otherwise
- ▶ jump to infinity function f(x) = 1 if  $x \in [-1, 1]$ ,  $f(x) = \infty$  otherwise

if  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $g: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  are convex, then so are:

- ightharpoonup cf for  $c \ge 0$
- ightharpoonup f(Ax+b) for  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$
- ightharpoonup f + g
- $ightharpoonup \max\{f,g\}$

if  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $g: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  are convex, then so are:

- ightharpoonup cf for  $c \geq 0$
- ightharpoonup f(Ax+b) for  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$
- ► f + g
- $ightharpoonup \max\{f,g\}$

**Q:** Pick one and assume f and g are twice-differentiable. What is the easiest way to prove convexity?

if  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $g: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  are convex, then so are:

- ightharpoonup cf for c > 0
- ightharpoonup f(Ax+b) for  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$
- ► f + g
- $ightharpoonup \max\{f,g\}$

**Q:** Pick one and assume f and g are twice-differentiable. What is the easiest way to prove convexity? most general rule:

$$f \circ g(x) = f(g(x))$$
 is convex if g is convex and f is convex and nondecreasing

since

$$(f \circ g)''(x) = f''(g(x))(g'(x))^2 + f'(g(x))g''(x)$$

# Jensen's inequality

Jensen's inequality generalizes the chord condition to a distribution of points:

#### Theorem

If  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is convex and X is a random variable, then

$$f(\mathbb{E}[X]) \leq \mathbb{E}[f(X)]$$

#### Sublevel set

### Definition

The **sublevel set** of a function  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  at level t is

$$S_t = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid f(x) \le t\}$$

#### Sublevel set

### Definition

The **sublevel set** of a function  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  at level t is

$$S_t = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid f(x) \le t\}$$

### Theorem

A convex function  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  has convex sublevel sets.

#### Sublevel set

#### Definition

The **sublevel set** of a function  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  at level t is

$$S_t = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid f(x) \le t\}$$

#### Theorem

A convex function  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  has convex sublevel sets.

**proof:** Jensen's inequality. If  $x, y \in S_t$ , then for  $\theta \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$f(\theta x + (1 - \theta)y) \le \theta f(x) + (1 - \theta)f(y) \le \theta t + (1 - \theta)t = t$$

so 
$$\theta x + (1 - \theta)y \in S_t$$
.

### Quasiconvexity

converse is not true: a function can have all sublevel sets convex, and still be non-convex.

#### Definition

A function  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is **quasiconvex** if its sublevel sets are convex.

examples of functions that are quasiconvex but not convex?

### **Supporting hyperplane**

#### Definition

A supporting hyperplane to a set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  at a point  $x \in S$  is a hyperplane that touches S at x and lies entirely on one side of S:

$$H = \{ y \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid a^\top y = b \}$$
 supports  $S$  at  $x$  if  $\begin{array}{cc} a^\top x &= b \\ a^\top y &\geq b \end{array} \ \forall y \in S$ 

# **Supporting hyperplane**

### Definition

A supporting hyperplane to a set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  at a point  $x \in S$  is a hyperplane that touches S at x and lies entirely on one side of S:

$$H = \{ y \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid a^\top y = b \}$$
 supports  $S$  at  $x$  if  $\begin{aligned} a^\top x &= b \\ a^\top y &\geq b \quad \forall y \in S \end{aligned}$ 

# Theorem (Supporting hyperplane)

Any nonempty convex set has a supporting hyperplane at every boundary point.

## Theorem (Partial converse)

If a closed set with nonempty interior has a supporting hyperplane at every boundary point, then it is convex.

## Theorem (Partial converse)

If a closed set with nonempty interior has a supporting hyperplane at every boundary point, then it is convex.

#### Theorem

A function  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is convex  $\iff$  for all  $x \in \mathbf{relint\ dom}\ f$ , the epigraph of f has a supporting hyperplane at (x, f(x)): for some  $g \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$f(y) \ge f(x) + g^{\top}(y - x) \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

## Theorem (Partial converse)

If a closed set with nonempty interior has a supporting hyperplane at every boundary point, then it is convex.

#### Theorem

A function  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is convex  $\iff$  for all  $x \in \mathbf{relint\ dom}\ f$ , the epigraph of f has a supporting hyperplane at (x, f(x)): for some  $g \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$f(y) \ge f(x) + g^{\top}(y - x) \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

generalizes first-order condition for convexity to non-differentiable functions!

## Theorem (Partial converse)

If a closed set with nonempty interior has a supporting hyperplane at every boundary point, then it is convex.

### Theorem

A function  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is convex  $\iff$  for all  $x \in \text{relint dom } f$ , the epigraph of f has a supporting hyperplane at (x, f(x)): for some  $g \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$f(y) \ge f(x) + g^{\top}(y - x) \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

generalizes first-order condition for convexity to non-differentiable functions!

### **Definition**

A vector  $g \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is a **subgradient** of  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  at  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  if  $f(y) \ge f(x) + g^\top(y - x)$  for all  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

# **Example: subgradients**

 $f = \max\{f_1, f_2\}$ , with  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$  convex and differentiable

**Q:** Where is the function f differentiable? Where is the subgradient unique?

### **Subdifferential**

set of all subgradients of f at x is called the **subdifferential**  $\partial f(x)$ 

$$\partial f(x) = \{g : f(y) \ge f(x) + g^T(y - x) \quad \forall y\}$$

### **Subdifferential**

set of all subgradients of f at x is called the **subdifferential**  $\partial f(x)$ 

$$\partial f(x) = \{g : f(y) \ge f(x) + g^T(y - x) \quad \forall y\}$$

for any f,

- $ightharpoonup \partial f(x)$  is a closed convex set (can be empty)
- $ightharpoonup \partial f(x) = \emptyset \text{ if } f(x) = \infty$

proof: use the definition

### Subdifferential

set of all subgradients of f at x is called the **subdifferential**  $\partial f(x)$ 

$$\partial f(x) = \{g : f(y) \ge f(x) + g^T(y - x) \quad \forall y\}$$

for any f,

- $ightharpoonup \partial f(x)$  is a closed convex set (can be empty)
- $ightharpoonup \partial f(x) = \emptyset \text{ if } f(x) = \infty$

proof: use the definition

if f is convex,

- ▶  $\partial f(x)$  is nonempty, for  $x \in \mathbf{relint} \, \mathbf{dom} \, f$
- $ightharpoonup \partial f(x) = \{\nabla f(x)\}, \text{ if } f \text{ is differentiable at } x$
- ▶ if  $\partial f(x) = \{g\}$ , then f is differentiable at x and  $g = \nabla f(x)$

### **Outline**

Constrained and unconstrained optimization

Optimality conditions

Convex analysis

Convex optimization

## **Convex optimization**

an optimization problem is convex if:

► **Geometrically:** the feasible set and the epigraph of the objective are convex

for example, a nonlinear minimization is convex if the objective and inequality constraints are convex functions, and the equality constraints are affine

minimize 
$$f_0(x)$$
  
subject to  $f_i(x) \leq b_i, \quad i=1,\ldots,m_1$   
 $Ax=b_2$   
variable  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ 

## **Convex optimization**

an optimization problem is convex if:

▶ **Geometrically:** the feasible set and the epigraph of the objective are convex

for example, a nonlinear minimization is convex if the objective and inequality constraints are convex functions, and the equality constraints are affine

minimize 
$$f_0(x)$$
  
subject to  $f_i(x) \leq b_i, \quad i=1,\ldots,m_1$   
 $Ax = b_2$   
variable  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ 

#### concave functions:

- ightharpoonup a function f is concave if -f is convex
- ▶ concave maximization ⇒ a convex optimization problem

# Why care about convex optimization?

- ▶ local optimality ⇒ global optimality
- efficient solvers
- conceptual tools that generalize linear programming: duality, stopping conditions, ...

# Local minima are global for convex functions

### Theorem

If  $x^*$  is a local minimizer of a convex function f, then  $x^*$  is a global minimizer.

# Local minima are global for convex functions

### Theorem

If  $x^*$  is a local minimizer of a convex function f, then  $x^*$  is a global minimizer.

proof?

## Local minima are global for convex functions

#### Theorem

If  $x^*$  is a local minimizer of a convex function f, then  $x^*$  is a global minimizer.

**proof?** suppose by contradiction that another point x' is a global minimizer, with  $f(x') < f(x^*)$ . draw the chord between x' and  $x^*$ . since the chord lies above f, every convex combination  $x = \theta x^* + (1 - \theta)x'$  of x' and  $x^*$  for  $\theta \in (0,1)$  has a value  $f(x) < f(x^*)$ . this is true even for  $x \to x^*$ , contradicting our assumption that  $x^*$  is a local minimizer.

## **Corollary**

# Corollary

If f is convex and differentiable and  $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$ , then  $x^*$  is a global minimizer.

## **Corollary**

# Corollary

If f is convex and differentiable and  $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$ , then  $x^*$  is a global minimizer.

Q: Is a global minimizer of a convex function always unique?

## **Corollary**

# Corollary

If f is convex and differentiable and  $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$ , then  $x^*$  is a global minimizer.

Q: Is a global minimizer of a convex function always unique?

A: No. Picture.