

CME 307 / MS&E 311 / OIT 676: Optimization

## Quadratic optimization

Professor Udell

Management Science and Engineering, Stanford

November 18, 2024

# Outline

Quadratic optimization

Quadratic approximations

## Quadratic optimization

a **quadratic optimization** problem is written as

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & \frac{1}{2}x^T Qx + c^T x := f_0(x) \\ \text{variable} & x \in \mathbf{R}^n \end{array}$$

where

- ▶  $Q \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$ : symmetric positive semidefinite matrix
- ▶  $c \in \mathbf{R}^n$ : vector

example: minimize least-squares objective

$$\frac{1}{2}\|Ax - b\|^2 = \frac{1}{2}x^T A^T A x - b^T A x + \frac{1}{2}\|b\|^2$$

- ▶  $Q = A^T A$  is symmetric positive semidefinite

## Quadratic optimization

a **quadratic optimization** problem is written as

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & \frac{1}{2}x^T Qx + c^T x := f_0(x) \\ \text{variable} & x \in \mathbf{R}^n \end{array}$$

where

- ▶  $Q \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$ : symmetric positive semidefinite matrix
- ▶  $c \in \mathbf{R}^n$ : vector

example: minimize least-squares objective

$$\frac{1}{2}\|Ax - b\|^2 = \frac{1}{2}x^T A^T A x - b^T A x + \frac{1}{2}\|b\|^2$$

- ▶  $Q = A^T A$  is symmetric positive semidefinite

how to solve?

## Quadratic optimization

a **quadratic optimization** problem is written as

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & \frac{1}{2}x^T Qx + c^T x := f_0(x) \\ \text{variable} & x \in \mathbf{R}^n \end{array}$$

where

- ▶  $Q \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$ : symmetric positive semidefinite matrix
- ▶  $c \in \mathbf{R}^n$ : vector

example: minimize least-squares objective

$$\frac{1}{2}\|Ax - b\|^2 = \frac{1}{2}x^T A^T A x - b^T A x + \frac{1}{2}\|b\|^2$$

- ▶  $Q = A^T A$  is symmetric positive semidefinite

how to solve? take gradient and set to 0:

$$\nabla f_0(x) = Qx + c = 0$$

$\implies$  linear system solvers also solve quadratic problems

## Symmetric positive semidefinite matrices

### Definition

a symmetric matrix  $Q \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$  is **positive semidefinite** (psd) if  $x^T Q x \geq 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ .

these matrices are so important that there are many ways to write them! for  $Q \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$ ,

$$Q \in \mathbf{S}_+^n \iff Q \succeq 0 \iff Q = Q^T, \lambda_{\min}(Q) \geq 0$$

## Symmetric positive semidefinite matrices

### Definition

a symmetric matrix  $Q \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$  is **positive semidefinite** (psd) if  $x^T Q x \geq 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ .

these matrices are so important that there are many ways to write them! for  $Q \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$ ,

$$Q \in \mathbf{S}_+^n \iff Q \succeq 0 \iff Q = Q^T, \lambda_{\min}(Q) \geq 0$$

$Q \in \mathbf{S}_+^n$  is **symmetric positive definite** (spd) ( $Q \succ 0$ ) if  $x^T Q x > 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ .

## Symmetric positive semidefinite matrices

### Definition

a symmetric matrix  $Q \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$  is **positive semidefinite** (psd) if  $x^T Q x \geq 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ .

these matrices are so important that there are many ways to write them! for  $Q \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$ ,

$$Q \in \mathbf{S}_+^n \iff Q \succeq 0 \iff Q = Q^T, \lambda_{\min}(Q) \geq 0$$

$Q \in \mathbf{S}_+^n$  is **symmetric positive definite** (spd) ( $Q \succ 0$ ) if  $x^T Q x > 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ .

why care about psd matrices  $Q$ ?

- ▶ least-squares objective has a psd  $Q = A^T A$
- ▶ level sets of  $x^T Q x$  are (bounded) ellipsoids
- ▶ the quadratic form  $x^T Q x$  is a metric iff  $Q \succ 0$
- ▶ eigenvalue decomp and svd coincide for psd matrices



## Quadratic program

an equality constrained **quadratic program** is written as

$$\begin{array}{ll}\text{minimize} & \frac{1}{2}x^T Qx + c^T x \\ \text{subject to} & Ax = b \\ \text{variable} & x \in \mathbf{R}^n\end{array}$$

where

- ▶  $Q \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$ : symmetric positive semidefinite matrix
- ▶  $c \in \mathbf{R}^n$ : vector
- ▶  $A \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times n}$ : matrix
- ▶  $b \in \mathbf{R}^m$ : vector

how to solve?

## Quadratic program

an equality constrained **quadratic program** is written as

$$\begin{array}{ll}\text{minimize} & \frac{1}{2}x^T Qx + c^T x \\ \text{subject to} & Ax = b \\ \text{variable} & x \in \mathbf{R}^n\end{array}$$

where

- ▶  $Q \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$ : symmetric positive semidefinite matrix
- ▶  $c \in \mathbf{R}^n$ : vector
- ▶  $A \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times n}$ : matrix
- ▶  $b \in \mathbf{R}^m$ : vector

how to solve? reduce to quadratic optimization problem:

- ▶ (explicit) form solution set  $\{x : Ax = b\} = \{x_0 + Vz \mid z \in \mathbf{R}^{n-m}\}$  by computing a solution  $Ax_0 = b$  and a basis  $V$  for the null space of  $A$
- ▶ (implicit) use duality to recast problem as larger linear (KKT) system

## Quadratic program

an equality constrained **quadratic program** is written as

$$\begin{array}{ll}\text{minimize} & \frac{1}{2}x^T Qx + c^T x \\ \text{subject to} & Ax = b \\ \text{variable} & x \in \mathbf{R}^n\end{array}$$

where

- ▶  $Q \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$ : symmetric positive semidefinite matrix
- ▶  $c \in \mathbf{R}^n$ : vector
- ▶  $A \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times n}$ : matrix
- ▶  $b \in \mathbf{R}^m$ : vector

how to solve? reduce to quadratic optimization problem:

- ▶ (explicit) form solution set  $\{x : Ax = b\} = \{x_0 + Vz \mid z \in \mathbf{R}^{n-m}\}$  by computing a solution  $Ax_0 = b$  and a basis  $V$  for the null space of  $A$
- ▶ (implicit) use duality to recast problem as larger linear (KKT) system
- ▶ inequality constraints: harder.

## Solving equality-constrained quadratic program

$x^* \in \mathbf{R}^n$  solves the equality-constrained quadratic program

$$\begin{array}{ll}\text{minimize} & \frac{1}{2}x^T Qx + c^T x \\ \text{subject to} & Ax = b \\ \text{variable} & x \in \mathbf{R}^n\end{array}$$

$\iff$  there exists  $\lambda^* \in \mathbf{R}^m$  such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} Q & A^T \\ A & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x^* \\ \lambda^* \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -c \\ b \end{bmatrix}$$

## Solving equality-constrained quadratic program

$x^* \in \mathbf{R}^n$  solves the equality-constrained quadratic program

$$\begin{array}{ll}\text{minimize} & \frac{1}{2}x^T Qx + c^T x \\ \text{subject to} & Ax = b \\ \text{variable} & x \in \mathbf{R}^n\end{array}$$

$\iff$  there exists  $\lambda^* \in \mathbf{R}^m$  such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} Q & A^T \\ A & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x^* \\ \lambda^* \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -c \\ b \end{bmatrix}$$

proof: form Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}(x, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2}x^T Qx + c^T x + \lambda^T (Ax - b)$$

and solve for  $\bar{x}$ ,  $\bar{\lambda}$  so that  $\nabla \mathcal{L}(\bar{x}, \bar{\lambda}) = 0$ .

- ▶  $\frac{1}{2}\bar{x}^T Q\bar{x} + c^T \bar{x}$  provides an upper bound on  $p^*$ . (why?)
- ▶  $\frac{1}{2}\bar{x}^T Q\bar{x} + c^T \bar{x}$  provides a lower bound on  $p^*$ . (why?)

## Quadratic program: application

Markowitz portfolio optimization problem:

$$\begin{array}{ll}\text{minimize} & \gamma x^T \Sigma x - \mu^T x \\ \text{subject to} & \sum_i x_i = 1 \\ & Ax = 0 \\ \text{variable} & x \in \mathbf{R}^n\end{array}$$

where

- ▶  $\Sigma \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$ : asset covariance matrix
- ▶  $\mu \in \mathbf{R}^n$ : asset return vector
- ▶  $\gamma \in \mathbf{R}$ : risk aversion parameter
- ▶ rows of  $A \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times n}$  correspond to other portfolios
  - ▶ ensures new portfolio is independent, e.g., of market returns

## Quadratic program: application

control system design problem:

$$x^+ = Ax + Bu$$

- ▶  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ : state (e.g., position, velocity)
- ▶  $u \in \mathbf{R}^m$ : control (e.g., force, torque)

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{minimize} && \sum_{t=1}^T x_t^T Q x_t + u_t^T R u_t \\ &\text{subject to} && x_{t+1} = Ax_t + Bu_t, \quad t = 0, \dots, T-1 \\ &&& x_0 = x^{\text{init}} \end{aligned}$$

# Outline

Quadratic optimization

Quadratic approximations



## Quadratic approximation

Suppose  $f : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is twice differentiable. For any  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ , approximate  $f$  about  $x$ :

$$f(y) \approx f(x) + \nabla f(x)^T (y - x) + \frac{1}{2} (y - x)^T \nabla^2 f(x) (y - x).$$

If  $f$  is a quadratic function,  $\nabla^2 f(x) = H$  is constant.

## Quadratic approximation

Suppose  $f : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is twice differentiable. For any  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ , approximate  $f$  about  $x$ :

$$f(y) \approx f(x) + \nabla f(x)^T (y - x) + \frac{1}{2}(y - x)^T \nabla^2 f(x)(y - x).$$

If  $f$  is a quadratic function,  $\nabla^2 f(x) = H$  is constant.

Quadratic approximations are useful because quadratics are easy to minimize:

$$\begin{aligned} y^* &= \underset{y}{\operatorname{argmin}} f(x) + \nabla f(x)^T (y - x) + \frac{1}{2}(y - x)^T H(y - x) \\ &\implies \nabla f(x) + H(y^* - x) = 0 \\ y^* &= x - H^{-1}(\nabla f(x)). \end{aligned}$$

## Quadratic approximation

Suppose  $f : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is twice differentiable. For any  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ , approximate  $f$  about  $x$ :

$$f(y) \approx f(x) + \nabla f(x)^T (y - x) + \frac{1}{2} (y - x)^T \nabla^2 f(x) (y - x).$$

If  $f$  is a quadratic function,  $\nabla^2 f(x) = H$  is constant.

Quadratic approximations are useful because quadratics are easy to minimize:

$$\begin{aligned} y^* &= \underset{y}{\operatorname{argmin}} f(x) + \nabla f(x)^T (y - x) + \frac{1}{2} (y - x)^T H (y - x) \\ &\implies \nabla f(x) + H(y^* - x) = 0 \\ y^* &= x - H^{-1}(\nabla f(x)). \end{aligned}$$

If we approximate the Hessian of  $f$  by  $H = \frac{1}{t}I$  for some  $t > 0$  and choose  $x^+$  to minimize the quadratic approximation, we obtain the **gradient descent** update with step size  $t$ :

$$x^+ = x + -t \nabla f(x)$$

## Quadratic upper bound

### Definition (Smooth)

A function  $f : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is  **$L$ -smooth** if for all  $x, y \in \mathbf{R}$ ,

$$f(y) \leq f(x) + \nabla f(x)^T(y - x) + \frac{L}{2}\|y - x\|^2.$$

Equivalently, assuming the derivatives exist,

- ▶ the operator  $\frac{1}{L}\nabla f$  is  **$L$ -Lipschitz continuous**:

$$\|\nabla f(y) - \nabla f(x)\| \leq L\|y - x\|$$

- ▶  $\nabla^2 f(x) \preceq LI$  for all  $x \in \text{dom } f$ .

## Quadratic upper bound

### Definition (Smooth)

A function  $f : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is  **$L$ -smooth** if for all  $x, y \in \mathbf{R}$ ,

$$f(y) \leq f(x) + \nabla f(x)^T (y - x) + \frac{L}{2} \|y - x\|^2.$$

Equivalently, assuming the derivatives exist,

- ▶ the operator  $\frac{1}{L} \nabla f$  is  **$L$ -Lipschitz continuous**:

$$\|\nabla f(y) - \nabla f(x)\| \leq L \|y - x\|$$

- ▶  $\nabla^2 f(x) \preceq LI$  for all  $x \in \text{dom } f$ .

**Q:** For  $A \succeq 0$ , the quadratic function  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2} x^T A x$  is ?-smooth

## Quadratic upper bound

### Definition (Smooth)

A function  $f : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is  **$L$ -smooth** if for all  $x, y \in \mathbf{R}$ ,

$$f(y) \leq f(x) + \nabla f(x)^T (y - x) + \frac{L}{2} \|y - x\|^2.$$

Equivalently, assuming the derivatives exist,

- ▶ the operator  $\frac{1}{L} \nabla f$  is  **$L$ -Lipschitz continuous**:

$$\|\nabla f(y) - \nabla f(x)\| \leq L \|y - x\|$$

- ▶  $\nabla^2 f(x) \preceq LI$  for all  $x \in \text{dom } f$ .

**Q:** For  $A \succeq 0$ , the quadratic function  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2} x^T A x$  is ?-smooth

**A:**  $\lambda_{\max}(A)$ -smooth

## Quadratic lower bound

### Definition (Strongly convex)

A function  $f : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is  $\mu$ -**strongly convex** if for all  $x, y \in \mathbf{R}$ ,

$$f(y) \geq f(x) + \nabla f(x)^T (y - x) + \frac{\mu}{2} \|y - x\|^2.$$

Equivalently, assuming the derivatives exist,

- ▶ the operator  $\frac{1}{\mu} \nabla f$  is  $\mu$ -**coercive**:

$$\|\nabla f(y) - \nabla f(x)\| \geq \mu \|y - x\|$$

- ▶  $\nabla^2 f(x) \succeq \mu I$  for all  $x \in \text{dom } f$ .

## Quadratic lower bound

### Definition (Strongly convex)

A function  $f : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is  $\mu$ -**strongly convex** if for all  $x, y \in \mathbf{R}$ ,

$$f(y) \geq f(x) + \nabla f(x)^T (y - x) + \frac{\mu}{2} \|y - x\|^2.$$

Equivalently, assuming the derivatives exist,

- ▶ the operator  $\frac{1}{\mu} \nabla f$  is  $\mu$ -**coercive**:

$$\|\nabla f(y) - \nabla f(x)\| \geq \mu \|y - x\|$$

- ▶  $\nabla^2 f(x) \succeq \mu I$  for all  $x \in \text{dom } f$ .

**Q:** For  $A \succeq 0$ , the quadratic function  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2} x^T A x$  is ?-strongly convex



## Quadratic lower bound

### Definition (Strongly convex)

A function  $f : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is  $\mu$ -**strongly convex** if for all  $x, y \in \mathbf{R}$ ,

$$f(y) \geq f(x) + \nabla f(x)^T (y - x) + \frac{\mu}{2} \|y - x\|^2.$$

Equivalently, assuming the derivatives exist,

- ▶ the operator  $\frac{1}{\mu} \nabla f$  is  $\mu$ -**coercive**:

$$\|\nabla f(y) - \nabla f(x)\| \geq \mu \|y - x\|$$

- ▶  $\nabla^2 f(x) \succeq \mu I$  for all  $x \in \text{dom } f$ .

**Q:** For  $A \succeq 0$ , the quadratic function  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2} x^T A x$  is ?-strongly convex

**A:**  $\lambda_{\min}(A)$ -strongly convex

## Some important functions

for  $A \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $b \in \mathbf{R}^m$ ,  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ ,

- ▶ **Quadratic loss.**  $\|Ax - b\|^2$
- ▶ **Logistic loss.**  $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m \log(1 + \exp(b_i a_i^T x))$   
where  $a_i$  is  $i$ th row of  $A$

## Some important functions

for  $A \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $b \in \mathbf{R}^m$ ,  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ ,

- ▶ **Quadratic loss.**  $\|Ax - b\|^2$
- ▶ **Logistic loss.**  $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m \log(1 + \exp(b_i a_i^T x))$   
where  $a_i$  is  $i$ th row of  $A$

**Q:** Which of these are smooth? Under what conditions?

## Some important functions

for  $A \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $b \in \mathbf{R}^m$ ,  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ ,

- ▶ **Quadratic loss.**  $\|Ax - b\|^2$
- ▶ **Logistic loss.**  $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m \log(1 + \exp(b_i a_i^T x))$   
where  $a_i$  is  $i$ th row of  $A$

**Q:** Which of these are smooth? Under what conditions?

**A:** Both.

## Some important functions

for  $A \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $b \in \mathbf{R}^m$ ,  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ ,

- ▶ **Quadratic loss.**  $\|Ax - b\|^2$
- ▶ **Logistic loss.**  $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m \log(1 + \exp(b_i a_i^T x))$   
where  $a_i$  is  $i$ th row of  $A$

**Q:** Which of these are smooth? Under what conditions?

**A:** Both.

**Q:** Which of these are strongly convex? Under what conditions?

## Some important functions

for  $A \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $b \in \mathbf{R}^m$ ,  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ ,

- ▶ **Quadratic loss.**  $\|Ax - b\|^2$
- ▶ **Logistic loss.**  $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m \log(1 + \exp(b_i a_i^T x))$   
where  $a_i$  is  $i$ th row of  $A$

**Q:** Which of these are smooth? Under what conditions?

**A:** Both.

**Q:** Which of these are strongly convex? Under what conditions?

**A:** Quadratic loss is strongly convex if  $A$  is rank  $n$ . Logistic loss is strongly convex on a compact domain if  $A$  is rank  $n$ .

## Optimizing the upper bound

start at  $x^{(0)}$ . suppose  $f$  is  $L$ -smooth, so for all  $y \in \mathbf{R}$ ,

$$f(y) \leq f(x^{(0)}) + \nabla f(x)^T (y - x^{(0)}) + \frac{L}{2} \|y - x^{(0)}\|^2$$

let's choose next iterate  $x^{(1)}$  to minimize this upper bound:

$$\begin{aligned} x^{(1)} &= \underset{y}{\operatorname{argmin}} f(x) + \nabla f(x)^T (y - x) + \frac{L}{2} \|y - x\|^2 \\ &\implies \nabla f(x^{(0)}) + L(x^{(1)} - x^{(0)}) = 0 \\ x^{(1)} &= x^{(0)} - \frac{1}{L} \nabla f(x^{(0)}) \end{aligned}$$

## Optimizing the upper bound

start at  $x^{(0)}$ . suppose  $f$  is  $L$ -smooth, so for all  $y \in \mathbf{R}$ ,

$$f(y) \leq f(x^{(0)}) + \nabla f(x)^T (y - x^{(0)}) + \frac{L}{2} \|y - x^{(0)}\|^2$$

let's choose next iterate  $x^{(1)}$  to minimize this upper bound:

$$\begin{aligned} x^{(1)} &= \underset{y}{\operatorname{argmin}} f(x) + \nabla f(x)^T (y - x) + \frac{L}{2} \|y - x\|^2 \\ &\implies \nabla f(x^{(0)}) + L(x^{(1)} - x^{(0)}) = 0 \\ x^{(1)} &= x^{(0)} - \frac{1}{L} \nabla f(x^{(0)}) \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ **gradient descent** update with step size  $t = \frac{1}{L}$
- ▶ lower bound ensures true optimum can't be too far away...