

Discussion of

Why Language Models Hallucinate

(Kalai, Nachum, Vempala, Zhang '25)

Andy Haupt @ REFORM Stanford, 10/23/2025

Hallucinations

Adam Kalai's Ph.D. Thesis

Number of r's in strawberry

ChatGPT: Adam Tauman Kalai's Ph.D. dissertation (completed in 2002 at CMU) is entitled:
(GPT-4o) "Boosting, Online Algorithms, and Other Topics in Machine Learning."

DeepSeek: "Algebraic Methods in Interactive Machine Learning"...at Harvard University in 2005.

Llama: "Efficient Algorithms for Learning and Playing Games"...in 2007 at MIT.

Main Idea of this paper: Generative models "also" solve valid fact classification.
Hence limits of classification mean limits of generation.

- $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{V} \cup \mathcal{E}$ strings
 - \mathcal{V} valid outputs
 - \mathcal{E} errors/hallucinations
- “is-it-valid” (iiv) classifier
 $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \{0,1\}$
- (more below) err_{iiv} classifier error rate

- $\hat{p} \in \Delta(\mathcal{X})$ to approximate
 $p \in \Delta(\mathcal{X}), \text{supp } p \subseteq \mathcal{V}$
- $\text{err} = \hat{p}(\mathcal{E})$
- Reduce classification to generation
- Establish bounds
 generative error rate $\geq \text{err}_{\text{iiv}}$
- Generalize Kalai-Vempala '24

Classifier

$$D(x) := \begin{cases} p(x)/2 & \text{if } x \in \mathcal{V}, \\ 1/(2|\mathcal{E}|) & \text{if } x \in \mathcal{E}, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad f(x) := \begin{cases} + & \text{if } x \in \mathcal{V}, \\ - & \text{if } x \in \mathcal{E}. \end{cases}$$

$$\text{err}_{\text{iiv}} := \mathbb{P}_{x \sim D} [\hat{f}_t(x) \neq f(x)], \quad \text{where} \quad \hat{f}_t(x) := \begin{cases} + & \text{if } \hat{p}(x) > 1/|\mathcal{E}|, \\ - & \text{if } \hat{p}(x) \leq 1/|\mathcal{E}|. \end{cases}$$

Reducing Classification to Generation

- $\text{err} \geq 2 \cdot \text{err}_{\text{iiv}} - \frac{|\mathcal{V}|}{|\mathcal{E}|} - \delta$
 - $\delta := |\hat{p}(\mathcal{A}) - p(\mathcal{A})|$
 - $\mathcal{A} := \{r \in \mathcal{X} \mid \hat{p}(r) > 1/|\mathcal{E}|\}$
- $\frac{|\mathcal{V}|}{|\mathcal{E}|}$ and δ are small

$$\mathcal{L}(\hat{p}) = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p}[-\log \hat{p}(x)]$$

$$\hat{p}_s(x) \propto \begin{cases} s \cdot \hat{p}(x) & \text{if } \hat{p}(x) > 1/|\mathcal{E}|, \\ \hat{p}(x) & \text{if } \hat{p}(x) \leq 1/|\mathcal{E}|. \end{cases}$$

$$\delta = \left| \frac{d}{ds} \mathcal{L}(\hat{p}_s) \right|_{s=1}$$

Contexts

- $\text{err} \geq 2 \cdot \text{err}_{\text{iiv}} - \frac{\max_c |\mathcal{V}_c|}{\min_c |\mathcal{E}_c|} - \delta$
 - $\delta := \left| \hat{p}(\mathcal{A}) - p(\mathcal{A}) \right|,$
 - $\mathcal{A} := \{r \in \mathcal{X} \mid \hat{p}(r|c) > 1/\min_c |\mathcal{E}_c| \}$
- $\frac{\max_c |\mathcal{V}_c|}{\min_c |\mathcal{E}_c|}$ and δ are small

Another bound via Agnostic Learning

$$\mathcal{G} := \{g_{\theta,t} \mid \theta \in \Theta, t \in [0,1]\}, \text{ where } g_{\theta,t}(c, r) := \begin{cases} + & \text{if } \hat{p}_{\theta}(r \mid c) > t, \\ - & \text{if } \hat{p}_{\theta}(r \mid c) \leq t. \end{cases}$$

$$\text{opt}(\mathcal{G}) := \min_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \Pr_{x \sim D} [g(x) \neq f(x)] \in [0,1]$$

$$\text{If } |\mathcal{V}_c| = 1 \text{ for all } c, \text{ then } \text{err} \geq \left(2 - \frac{1}{\min_c |\mathcal{E}_c| + 1}\right) \text{opt}(\mathcal{G})$$

Another bound via Arbitrary Facts

- Arbitrary facts model
 - $c \sim \mu$
 - \mathcal{R}_c given
 - $a_c \sim \text{Unif}(\mathcal{R}_c)$
 - $\mathcal{V}_c = \{a_c\}$
 - $\mathcal{E}_c = \mathcal{R}_c \setminus \{a_c\}$
- sr is the rate of contexts that appear exactly once

Another bound via Arbitrary Facts

- In the Arbitrary Facts model, any algorithm which takes N training samples and outputs \hat{p} satisfies, with probability $\geq 99\%$ over $\vec{a} = \langle a_c \rangle_{c \in \mathcal{C}}$ and the N training examples:

$$\text{err} \geq \text{sr} - \frac{2}{\min_c |\mathcal{E}_c|} - \frac{35 + 6 \ln N}{\sqrt{N}} - \delta$$

- There is an efficient algorithm outputting calibrated \hat{p} that w.p. $\geq 99\%$,

$$\text{err} \leq \text{sr} - \frac{\text{sr}}{\max_c |\mathcal{E}_c| + 1} + \frac{13}{\sqrt{N}}$$

Questions

- Mostly empirics:
 - Made a good case for smallness of δ and $|\mathcal{V}|/|\mathcal{E}|$
 - Not quite clear how convincing the fact that classification is hard