

Supplemental material for: Error mitigation in variational quantum eigensolvers using tailored probabilistic machine learning

Tao Jiang,¹ John Rogers,² Marius S. Frank,³ Ove Christiansen,³ Yong-Xin Yao,^{1,4,*} and Nicola Lanatà^{5,6,†}

¹*Ames Laboratory, Ames, Iowa 50011, USA*

²*Department of Physics and Astronomy, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77845, USA*

³*Department of Chemistry, Aarhus University, 8000, Aarhus C, Denmark*

⁴*Department of Physics and Astronomy, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa 50011, USA*

⁵*School of Physics and Astronomy, Rochester Institute of Technology,*

84 Lomb Memorial Drive, Rochester, New York 14623, USA

⁶*Center for Computational Quantum Physics, Flatiron Institute, New York, New York 10010, USA*

(Dated: March 5, 2024)

In this supplemental material, we provide a derivation of Gaussian Process Regression (GPR) based on a functional integral perspective. Furthermore, we derive explicitly the customized prior based on a specific Variational Quantum Eigensolver (VQE) ansatz introduced in the main text.

I. PATH INTEGRAL FORMULATION OF PARAMETRIC GPR

Our goal is to learn a real valued function $\mathcal{E}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ ($\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^d$) from a finite set of training data points:

$$D = \{(\boldsymbol{\theta}_\alpha, \mathcal{E}_\alpha, \sigma_\alpha) \mid \alpha = 1, \dots, n\}, \quad (1)$$

where each \mathcal{E}_α is the outcome of the evaluation of \mathcal{E} for the input parameter $\boldsymbol{\theta}_\alpha$, which is assumed to be sampled from a gaussian distribution:

$$P(\mathcal{E}_\alpha | \boldsymbol{\theta}_\alpha) \propto \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma_\alpha^2} (\mathcal{E}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_\alpha) - \mathcal{E}_\alpha)^2 \right\}, \quad (2)$$

i.e., the probability of evaluating $\mathcal{E}_1, \dots, \mathcal{E}_n$ (which are assumed to be independent) from a given underlying function $\mathcal{E}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ is assumed to be:

$$P[D|\mathcal{E}] \propto \prod_{\alpha=1}^n P(\boldsymbol{\theta}_\alpha | \mathcal{E}_\alpha) \propto \exp \left\{ -\sum_{\alpha=1}^n \frac{1}{2\sigma_\alpha^2} (\mathcal{E}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_\alpha) - \mathcal{E}_\alpha)^2 \right\}, \quad (3)$$

Specifically, we aim to compute the so-called “posterior probability distribution” $P[\mathcal{E}|D]$, i.e., the probability that the function that we aim to learn is $\mathcal{E}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, based on: (I) the data D at our disposal and (II) a gaussian “prior probability distribution” $P[\mathcal{E}]$, encoding our prior knowledge before having any training data points.

Our first goal is to define precisely the concept of a probability distribution over a space of functions. Following the path integral procedure, this can be accomplished by first considering a discrete finite mesh with uniform spacing ϵ , over a d -dimensional rectangle R :

$$M_\epsilon = \{\boldsymbol{\theta}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\theta}_N\} \subset R \subset \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (4)$$

Over such discretized domain, probability measures can be rigorously represented as $p_\epsilon[\mathcal{E}] \mathcal{D}_\epsilon[\mathcal{E}]$, where:

$$p_\epsilon[\mathcal{E}] = p_\epsilon[\mathcal{E}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_1), \dots, \mathcal{E}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_N)] \quad (5)$$

is a standard N -dimensional probability function, and:

$$\mathcal{D}_\epsilon[\mathcal{E}] = \prod_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in M_\epsilon} d\mathcal{E}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \quad (6)$$

is the standard path integral measure.

A. The parametric prior

In our context of application, the prior probability distribution is designed to enforce the fact that \mathcal{E} has to be of the following mathematical form:

$$\mathcal{E}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{s=1}^S \xi_s T_s(\boldsymbol{\theta}), \quad (7)$$

where $T_s : R \subset \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are known functions, while the coefficients ξ_s are unknown. This information can be encoded in the following probability distribution:

$$P_\epsilon^\eta[\mathcal{E}] \propto \int \prod_{r=1}^S d\xi_r e^{-\frac{\epsilon}{2\eta^2} \sum_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in M_\epsilon} (\mathcal{E}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) - \sum_s \xi_s T_s(\boldsymbol{\theta}))^2} \times e^{-\frac{t}{2}\epsilon \sum_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in M_\epsilon} \mathcal{E}^2(\boldsymbol{\theta})}, \quad (8)$$

where we have introduced the hyperparameter $t > 0$, whose role is to make the probability distribution normalizable by enforcing that the range of \mathcal{E} is bounded as we are going to prove below. The parameter η will be considered in the limit as it approaches zero (i.e., we will take the limit $\eta \rightarrow 0$ later in our formalism).

Let us prove that $P_\epsilon^\eta[\mathcal{E}]$ is a normalizable gaussian probability distribution with zero mean for all finite values of η and t . By performing the gaussian integral in Eq. (8), we obtain that:

$$P_\epsilon^\eta[\mathcal{E}] \propto e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}' \in M_\epsilon} \epsilon \left[t \mathbb{1} + \frac{1}{\eta^2} \Pi \right]_{\boldsymbol{\theta}\boldsymbol{\theta}'} \mathcal{E}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \mathcal{E}(\boldsymbol{\theta}')}, \quad (9)$$

* Corresponding author: ykent@iastate.edu

† Corresponding author: nxlsps@rit.edu

where $\mathbb{1}$ is the $N \times N$ identity matrix and:

$$\Pi = \mathbb{1} - T(T^\dagger T)^{-1}T^\dagger \quad (10)$$

$$T_{\theta s} = T_s(\theta) \forall s = 1, \dots, S, \theta \in M_\epsilon. \quad (11)$$

Note that Π is an orthogonal projector and, therefore, it is positive semi-definite. It follows that Eq. (9) represents a normalizable zero-mean gaussian distribution $\forall t > 0$.

B. Posterior probability distribution

Let us assume to have a series of data D (see Eq. (1)), where $\theta_\alpha \in M_\epsilon \forall \alpha = 1, \dots, n$. As explained in the main text (see before Eq.20), from Bayes' theorem it follows that the posterior conditional probability distribution for the function \mathcal{E} is the following:

$$P_\epsilon^\eta[\mathcal{E}|D] \propto P_\epsilon^\eta[\mathcal{E}] e^{-\sum_{\alpha=1}^n \frac{1}{2\sigma_\alpha^2} (\mathcal{E}(\theta_\alpha) - \mathcal{E}_\alpha)^2}, \quad (12)$$

which represents the probability distribution for the function \mathcal{E} , given the data set D and the prior $P_\epsilon^\eta[\mathcal{E}]$ (see Eqs. (3) and (8)).

C. Probabilistic predictions at a test point

We are interested in calculating quantities of the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{E}^l(\theta) \rangle &= \int \mathcal{D}_\epsilon[\mathcal{E}] P_\epsilon^\eta[\mathcal{E}|D] (\mathcal{E}(\theta))^l \\ &= \int \mathcal{D}_\epsilon[\mathcal{E}] P_\epsilon^\eta[\mathcal{E}] e^{-\sum_{\alpha=1}^n \frac{1}{2\sigma_\alpha^2} (\mathcal{E}(\theta_\alpha) - \mathcal{E}_\alpha)^2} \mathcal{E}^l(\theta), \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\theta \in M_\epsilon$ (which is assumed to be different from all of the θ_α in the training data set) is a so-called “test point,” i.e., a point where we want to evaluate the probability distribution for $\mathcal{E}(\theta)$, based on our posterior probability distribution.

Eq. (13) can be conveniently rewritten by integrating out all variables except $\mathcal{E}(\theta_1), \dots, \mathcal{E}(\theta_\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{E}(\theta)$. From standard Gaussian identities, it follows that this gives the following expression:

$$\langle \mathcal{E}^l(\theta) \rangle = \frac{\int [\prod_{\alpha=1}^n d\mathcal{E}(\theta_\alpha)] d\mathcal{E}(\theta) e^{-S_\epsilon^\eta - U} (\mathcal{E}(\theta))^l}{\int [\prod_{\alpha=1}^n d\mathcal{E}(\theta_\alpha)] d\mathcal{E}(\theta) e^{-S_\epsilon^\eta - U}}, \quad (14)$$

where:

$$U = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \frac{1}{2\sigma_\alpha^2} (\mathcal{E}(\theta_\alpha) - \mathcal{E}_\alpha)^2 \quad (15)$$

and:

$$S_\epsilon^\eta = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^n [\bar{K}_\epsilon^\eta]_{\alpha, \beta}^{-1} \mathcal{E}(\theta_\alpha) \mathcal{E}(\theta_\beta)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &+ \frac{1}{2} [\bar{K}_\epsilon^\eta]_{n+1, n+1}^{-1} \mathcal{E}(\theta) \mathcal{E}(\theta) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n [\bar{K}_\epsilon^\eta]_{\alpha, n+1}^{-1} \mathcal{E}(\theta_\alpha) \mathcal{E}(\theta) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\beta=1}^n [\bar{K}_\epsilon^\eta]_{n+1, \beta}^{-1} \mathcal{E}(\theta) \mathcal{E}(\theta_\beta), \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where $[\bar{K}_\epsilon^\eta]$ is the $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ matrix with entries:

$$[\bar{K}_\epsilon^\eta]_{\alpha, \beta} = K_\epsilon^\eta(\theta_\alpha, \theta_\beta) \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in 1, \dots, n \quad (17)$$

$$[\bar{K}_\epsilon^\eta]_{\alpha, n+1} = K_\epsilon^\eta(\theta_\alpha, \theta) \quad \forall \alpha \in 1, \dots, n \quad (18)$$

$$[\bar{K}_\epsilon^\eta]_{n+1, \beta} = K_\epsilon^\eta(\theta, \theta_\beta) \quad \forall \beta \in 1, \dots, n \quad (19)$$

$$[\bar{K}_\epsilon^\eta]_{n+1, n+1} = K_\epsilon^\eta(\theta, \theta) \quad (20)$$

and

$$K_\epsilon^\eta(\theta, \theta') = \int \mathcal{D}_\epsilon[\mathcal{E}] P_\epsilon^\eta[\mathcal{E}] \mathcal{E}(\theta) \mathcal{E}(\theta') \quad \forall \theta, \theta' \in M_\epsilon \quad (21)$$

is the so-called “kernel function” of the prior distribution P_ϵ^η .

As discussed in the main text, we are specifically interested in calculating:

$$\bar{\mathcal{E}}_\epsilon^\eta(\theta) = \int \mathcal{D}_\epsilon[\mathcal{E}] P_\epsilon^\eta[\mathcal{E}|D] \mathcal{E}(\theta) \quad (22)$$

$$(\Sigma_\epsilon^\eta(\theta))^2 = \int \mathcal{D}_\epsilon[\mathcal{E}] P_\epsilon^\eta[\mathcal{E}|D] (\mathcal{E}^2(\theta) - \langle \mathcal{E}(\theta) \rangle^2), \quad (23)$$

where Eq. (22) represents our prediction for $\mathcal{E}(\theta)$ at any test point θ and Eq. (23) represents the uncertainty of our prediction. These quantities can be conveniently evaluated by computing first the “partition function”:

$$Z_\epsilon^\eta(\lambda) := \int [\prod_{\alpha=1}^n d\mathcal{E}(\theta_\alpha)] d\mathcal{E}(\theta) e^{-S_\epsilon^\eta - U + \lambda \mathcal{E}(\theta)} \quad (24)$$

and subsequently using the following identities:

$$\bar{\mathcal{E}}_\epsilon^\eta(\theta) = \partial_\lambda \ln(Z_\epsilon^\eta(\lambda)) \quad (25)$$

$$(\Sigma_\epsilon^\eta(\theta))^2 = \partial_\lambda^2 \ln(Z_\epsilon^\eta(\lambda)). \quad (26)$$

A direct calculation shows that:

$$\bar{\mathcal{E}}_\epsilon^\eta(\theta) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^n K_\epsilon^\eta(\theta, \theta_\alpha) [\bar{K}_\epsilon^\eta]_{\alpha\beta}^{-1} \mathcal{E}_\beta \quad (27)$$

$$(\Sigma_\epsilon^\eta(\theta))^2 = K_\epsilon^\eta(\theta, \theta) - \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^n K_\epsilon^\eta(\theta, \theta_\alpha) [\bar{K}_\epsilon^\eta]_{\alpha\beta}^{-1} K_\epsilon^\eta(\theta_\beta, \theta), \quad (28)$$

where \bar{K}_ϵ^η is the $n \times n$ matrix with entries:

$$[\bar{K}_\epsilon^\eta]_{\alpha\beta} = K_\epsilon^\eta(\theta_\alpha, \theta_\beta) + \sigma_\alpha^2 \delta_{\alpha\beta} \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in 1, \dots, n. \quad (29)$$

D. Calculation of the Kernel function

As shown in the previous section, the GPR estimate of our prediction for $\mathcal{E}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ and the corresponding uncertainty (see Eqs. (39) and (40), respectively) depend explicitly on η and ϵ through the Kernel function:

$$K_\epsilon^\eta(\boldsymbol{\theta}_1, \boldsymbol{\theta}_2) = \int \mathcal{D}_\epsilon[\mathcal{E}] P_\epsilon^\eta[\mathcal{E}] \mathcal{E}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_1) \mathcal{E}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_2), \quad (30)$$

which is defined $\forall \boldsymbol{\theta}_1, \boldsymbol{\theta}_2 \in M_\epsilon$.

Since we aim to enforce Eq. (7) *exactly*, we need to evaluate Eq. (30) for $\eta \rightarrow 0$. In this limit we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} K_\epsilon(\boldsymbol{\theta}_1, \boldsymbol{\theta}_2) &= \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} K_\epsilon^\eta(\boldsymbol{\theta}_1, \boldsymbol{\theta}_2) \\ &\propto \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \int \mathcal{D}_\epsilon[\mathcal{E}] \int \prod_{r=1}^S d\xi_r e^{-\frac{\epsilon}{2\eta^2} \sum_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in M_\epsilon} (\mathcal{E}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) - \sum_s \xi_s T_s(\boldsymbol{\theta}))^2} e^{-\frac{t}{2} \epsilon \sum_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in M_\epsilon} \mathcal{E}^2(\boldsymbol{\theta})} \mathcal{E}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_1) \mathcal{E}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_2) \\ &\propto \int \mathcal{D}_\epsilon[\mathcal{E}] \int \prod_{r=1}^S d\xi_r \delta(\mathcal{E}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) - \sum_s \xi_s T_s(\boldsymbol{\theta})) e^{-\frac{t}{2} \epsilon \sum_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in M_\epsilon} \mathcal{E}^2(\boldsymbol{\theta})} \mathcal{E}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_1) \mathcal{E}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_2) \\ &= \int \prod_{r=1}^S d\xi_r e^{-\frac{t}{2} \epsilon \sum_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in M_\epsilon} (\sum_{s=1}^S \xi_s T_s(\boldsymbol{\theta}))^2} \left(\sum_{s_1=1}^S \xi_{s_1} T_{s_1}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_1) \right) \left(\sum_{s_2=1}^S \xi_{s_2} T_{s_2}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_2) \right) \\ &= \sum_{s_1, s_2=1}^S T_{s_1}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_1) T_{s_2}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_2) \int \prod_{r=1}^S d\xi_r e^{-\frac{t}{2} \epsilon \sum_{s, s'=1}^S \mathcal{A}_{ss'}^\epsilon \xi_s \xi_{s'}} \xi_{s_1} \xi_{s_2} \\ &= t^{-1} \sum_{s_1, s_2=1}^S \Delta_{s_1 s_2}^\epsilon T_{s_1}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_1) T_{s_2}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_2), \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

where:

$$\Delta^\epsilon = [\mathcal{A}^\epsilon]^{-1} \quad (32)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{ss'}^\epsilon = \epsilon \sum_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in M_\epsilon} T_s(\boldsymbol{\theta}) T_{s'}(\boldsymbol{\theta}). \quad (33)$$

The final step is to compute the Kernel function in the continuum limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, which is given by the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned} K(\boldsymbol{\theta}_1, \boldsymbol{\theta}_2) &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} K_\epsilon(\boldsymbol{\theta}_1, \boldsymbol{\theta}_2) \\ &= t^{-1} \sum_{s_1, s_2=1}^S \Delta_{s_1 s_2} T_{s_1}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_1) T_{s_2}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_2), \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

where:

$$\Delta = \mathcal{A}^{-1} \quad (35)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{ss'} &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{A}_{ss'}^\epsilon = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \epsilon \sum_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in M_\epsilon} T_s(\boldsymbol{\theta}) T_{s'}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \\ &= \int_R d\boldsymbol{\theta} T_s(\boldsymbol{\theta}) T_{s'}(\boldsymbol{\theta}). \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

Note that the calculation of $K(\boldsymbol{\theta}_1, \boldsymbol{\theta}_2)$ in Eq. (31) becomes straightforward if the functions $T_s(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ are replaced by an orthonormal basis $\tau_{\mathbf{k}}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ of the same space

with respect to the $L^2(R)$ metric, as in the main text. In fact, with such a choice we obtain that:

$$K(\boldsymbol{\theta}_1, \boldsymbol{\theta}_2) = t^{-1} \sum_{\mathbf{k}=1}^S \tau_{\mathbf{k}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_1) \tau_{\mathbf{k}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_2), \quad (37)$$

which is practically more convenient because: (i) there is no need to invert the matrix \mathcal{A} (which may become prohibitive for high-dimensional spaces), and (ii) evaluating Eq. (37) involves a single summation rather than a double summation, which makes it less computationally demanding to evaluate.

E. Summary of final equations

In summary, by replacing the kernel function $K_\epsilon^\eta(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}')$ with:

$$K(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}') = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} K_\epsilon^\eta(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}'), \quad (38)$$

we obtain the equations shown in the main text, i.e.:

$$\bar{\mathcal{E}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^n K(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_\alpha) [\bar{K}]_{\alpha\beta}^{-1} \mathcal{E}_\beta \quad (39)$$

$$(\Sigma(\boldsymbol{\theta}))^2 = K(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) - \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^n K(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_\alpha) \bar{K}_{\alpha\beta}^{-1} K(\boldsymbol{\theta}_\beta, \boldsymbol{\theta}), \quad (40)$$

where \bar{K} is the $n \times n$ matrix with entries:

$$[\bar{K}]_{\alpha\beta} = K(\boldsymbol{\theta}_\alpha, \boldsymbol{\theta}_\beta) + \sigma_\alpha^2 \delta_{\alpha\beta} \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in 1, \dots, n. \quad (41)$$