a)  $\begin{bmatrix} I & A \\ A^T & O \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Y \\ X \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} D \\ O \end{bmatrix} - 0$ 

we apply Gaussian elimination on (1) and Obsterior

 $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{Y} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{A} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Y} \\ \mathbf{X} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{b} \end{bmatrix}$ 

from (2) we observe the pollowing results;

Y+AX=b  $A^{T}A \times = A^{T}b$   $X = (A^{T}A)^{T}A^{T}b$ 

Thus x minimizes 11 Ax - 61/2

Y=b-AX, which is the residual.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} I & u \bar{z} v^{T} \\ v \bar{z}^{T} u^{T} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Next, let 
$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Expressing 
$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$$
 as blocks of  $2\times 2$  matter.

 $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_5 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_7 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_8 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , we shall have some expressions as  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_8 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Expressed as blocks of 2x2 matries have;  $\tilde{A}_{i} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{i} & \sigma_{i} \\ \sigma_{i} & \sigma_{i} \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\tilde{A}_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{2} & \sigma_{3} \\ \sigma_{k} & \sigma_{k} \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\tilde{A}_{n} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{k} & \sigma_{k} \\ \sigma_{k} & \sigma_{k} \end{bmatrix}$ , we shall have some expression expressed as

In general, we can expess Hi, i=1,2,...,n in a compact form as'.

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{i} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \sigma_{i} \\ \sigma_{i} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

now compute the desemnat of Ti

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 - \lambda_i & \sigma_i - \lambda_i \\ \sigma_i & -\lambda_i \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

$$-\lambda_{i}$$

$$\lambda_{i}^{2}-\lambda_{i}-\delta_{i}^{2}=0 \implies \lambda_{i}=\frac{1+\sqrt{1+4\delta_{i}^{2}}}{2}, i=1,2,\cdots,n$$

The 2-norm condition number of A is given by

X(A) = [eigenvalue max (A)]

Teigenvalue min (A)]

maxnum eigenvalue of  $A = \lambda_1 = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4\sqrt{1 + 2}}}{2}$ numm eigen value of  $A = \lambda_n = \frac{2}{1 - \sqrt{1 + 4\sqrt{n}}}$ 

 $\chi(A) = \frac{|1+\sqrt{1+40}|^2}{|1-\sqrt{1+40}|^2}$ 

Since  $1-\sqrt{1+40n^2}<1$ , we can re-arrange terms to incorporate the absolute sign.

 $7 < (4) = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + 46^2}}{\sqrt{1 + 460^2} - 1}$ 

10

 $\chi(A) = \underbrace{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4 \delta_1^2}}_{\sqrt{1 + 4 \delta_0^2} - 1} - (i)$ 

 $\chi\left(A^{T}A\right) = \frac{\delta_{1}^{2}}{\delta_{0}^{2}} \qquad -(2)$ 

By comparing (1) and (2), we can observe that (2) will always be bigger for a given of and on.

We wont to show makemateably that;  $\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{bmatrix}$ Let  $L_{K} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 &$ 

The multiplies in Lx can be expressed as: lik= aik, j= ktl,..., n

let ix = | ix+1, K

We can then express  $L_{\kappa}$  as: -(2)  $L_{\kappa} = I - l_{\kappa} l_{\kappa}$ where  $l_{\kappa} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ 

from (1), It follows that  $L_{k}^{-1} = (I + U_{k} e_{k})$ .

For (1) to hold, then  $L_{k}L_{k}^{-1} = I$ .  $L_{k}L_{k}^{-1} = (I - U_{k}l_{k})(I + U_{k}l_{k})$   $= I + U_{k}l_{k} - U_{k}l_{k} - U_{k}l_{k}l_{k}$   $= I - U_{k}l_{k}l_{k}l_{k}$ 

But the linear product lake = 0 because the non-zero term in ly. The non-zero in lx get multiplied with a zero term in ly. The non-zero terms of he begins from index +11. Hence lake =0.

Therepre:

Lx17 = I-0=I

We apply induction to show that the growth factor of A is exactly:  $g_n(A) = 2^{n-1}$  i.e for  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ .  $g_n(A) = \frac{m_0 x_{0,j} |u_{0,j}|}{m_0 x_{0,j} |u_{0,j}|}$ .

Let us consider a pew base cases that are easy to visualize When n=2.

duen 
$$n = 2$$
.

 $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ 
 $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ 
 $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ 
 $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ 

$$g_2(A) = \frac{2}{1} = 2$$

When 
$$n = 3$$
.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{Decomposition}} \mathcal{U} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2^{0} \\ -1 & 1 & 2^{1} \\ -1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$g_3(A) = \frac{2^2}{1} = 2^2$$

Let its assume that the growth factor holds for AEIRn×n where n = K

That is, 
$$g_{\mathbf{K}}(\mathbf{A}) = 2^{K-1}$$

Now we shall show most it is true for n = K+1.

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ -1 & 1 & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & -1 & & & \\ & & & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$g(A) = 2^{(k+1)-1} = 2^{(k-1)+1} = g_k(A) \cdot 2 = 2^k$$

Therefore 
$$g_n(A) = 2^{n-1}$$
.