



ATHABASCA UNIVERSITY

MATH 270

# Assignment 1

*Stanley Zheng*

May 14, 2020

1. (a) When we multiply the second equation by 2, we get the system

$$6x_1 + 2x_2 = -8$$

$$6x_1 + 2x_2 = -8$$

Equation 1 is equal to equation 2, and as a result, the two equations in this linear system are collinear and have an infinite amount of solutions.

To find the solutions, we can let  $x_1 = t$ . Then,

$$6t - 2x_2 = -8$$

$$x_2 = -3t - 4$$

Therefore, the solution set is  $x_1 = t, x_2 = -3t - 4$

- (b) We will attempt to make all of the equations collinear to equation 2. In order to make equation 1 equal equation 2, we can multiply equation 1 by 2. In order to make equation 3 collinear to equation 2, we can multiply equation 3 by  $-\frac{3}{2}$ . When these operations are performed, we get the system

$$6x - 3y + 6z = -12$$

$$6x - 3y + 6z = -12$$

$$6x - 3y + 6z = -12$$

Since all the equations are the same, the solution set is infinite. We can find the solutions by letting  $y = s$ , and  $z = t$ . Then,

$$6x - 3s + 6t = -12$$

$$x = \frac{1}{2}s - t - 2$$

Therefore, we have the solutions  $x = \frac{1}{2}s - t - 2, y = s, z = t$

2. We can form an augmented matrix from the system of linear equations to use Gaussian and Gaussian-Jordan elimination.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -2 & 3 & 1 \\ 3 & 6 & -3 & -2 \\ 6 & 6 & 3 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

We can eliminate rows to form the matrix into row echelon form. Our first step is to get a leading 1, which can be done by dividing  $R_2$  by 3.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -2 & 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & -1 & -\frac{2}{3} \\ 6 & 6 & 3 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then, we can eliminate the 6 in  $R_3$  by multiplying  $R_2$  by  $-6$  and adding. In addition, we can swap  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  to have a leading 1.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 & -\frac{2}{3} \\ 0 & -2 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & -6 & 9 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$$

To get a leading 1 for  $R_2$ , we can divide by -2.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 & -\frac{2}{3} \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{3}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & -6 & 9 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$$

Finally, we can multiply  $R_2$  by 6 and add to  $R_3$  to eliminate the -6, and our matrix is in row echelon form.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 & -\frac{2}{3} \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{3}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since the matrix is in row echelon form, we can use Gaussian elimination and back-substitute. The corresponding linear equation is

$$\begin{aligned} a + 2b - c &= \frac{2}{3} \\ b - \frac{3}{2} &= -\frac{1}{2} \\ 0a + 0b + 0c &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

From the equation  $0a + 0b + 0c = 1$ , it is evident that this system is inconsistent, and therefore has no solutions. Another way we could find this solution is through Gauss-Jordan elimination. We can find this by further eliminating our row echelon form matrix. Our first step is to multiply  $R_2$  by -2 and add it to  $R_1$ .

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 & \frac{1}{3} \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{3}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Our final step to put this equation into reduced row echelon form is to multiply  $R_3$  by  $-\frac{1}{3}$  and add to  $R_1$  to eliminate the  $\frac{1}{3}$ , and to multiply  $R_3$  by  $\frac{1}{2}$  and add to  $R_2$  in order to eliminate the  $-\frac{1}{2}$ .

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{3}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The corresponding system of linear equations is

$$\begin{aligned} a + 2c &= 0 \\ b - \frac{3}{2}c &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$0a + 0b + 0c = 1$$

Again, it is evident that the system of equations is inconsistent because of the equation  $0a + 0b + 0c = 1$ .

3. Since we are not allowed to introduce fractions, we can only start by adding and subtracting rows. We can start by subtracting  $R_1$  by  $R_3$  and replacing  $R_3$ .

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & -2 & -29 \\ 1 & 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Next, we can multiply  $R_3$  by  $-2$  and add it to  $R_1$  in order to eliminate the 2 and put the first row into reduced row echelon form. Since the first column is in reduced row echelon form, we can move the third row to the top.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 0 & -5 & -1 \\ 0 & -2 & -29 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then, we can multiply  $R_3$  by  $-2$ , add it to  $R_2$ , and multiply the new  $R_2$  by  $-1$  in order to make a 1 in the second column.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -57 \\ 0 & -2 & -29 \end{bmatrix}$$

We can then eliminate  $R_1$  by multiplying  $R_2$  by  $-3$  and adding to  $R_1$ , and we can eliminate  $R_3$  by multiplying  $R_2$  by 2 and adding to  $R_3$ .

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 173 \\ 0 & 1 & -57 \\ 0 & 0 & 143 \end{bmatrix}$$

Finally, we can multiply  $R_3$  by  $\frac{57}{143}$  and add to  $R_2$  in order to eliminate it, and we can multiply  $R_3$  by  $-\frac{173}{143}$  and add to  $R_1$  in order to eliminate. In addition, we can divide  $R_3$  by 143 in order to make it zero. Our final answer for the reduced row echelon form is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

4. (a) We can start by splitting the addition into  $2A^T$ .

$$A^T = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ so } 2A^T = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & -2 & 2 \\ 0 & 4 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{Then, } 2A^T + C = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & -2 & 2 \\ 0 & 4 & 2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 & 2 \\ 3 & 1 & 5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 7 & 2 & 4 \\ 3 & 5 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$$

- (b) Again, we can start by individually computing  $D^T$  and  $E^T$ .

$$D^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 3 \\ 5 & 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } E^T = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & -1 & 4 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{Then, } D^T - E^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 3 \\ 5 & 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 6 & -1 & 4 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -5 & 0 & -1 \\ 4 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- (c) We can begin by computing the subtraction inside of the parenthesis.

$$D - E = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 5 & 2 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 3 & 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 1 & 3 \\ -1 & 1 & 2 \\ 4 & 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -5 & 4 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{Finally, transposing } (D - E), \text{ we get } \begin{bmatrix} -5 & 0 & -1 \\ 4 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- (d) Start by calculating  $B^T$  and  $5C^T$ .

$$\text{We have } B^T = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } 5C^T = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 15 \\ 20 & 1 \\ 10 & 25 \end{bmatrix}$$

However, we cannot add  $B^T$  and  $5C^T$  since they have different dimensions; matrices must have identical dimensions in order to add or subtract. Therefore,  $B^T + 5C^T$  is undefined.

- (e) Again, we can start by splitting the subtraction into  $\frac{1}{2}C^T$  and  $\frac{1}{4}A$ .

$$\frac{1}{2}C^T = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{3}{2} \\ 2 & \frac{1}{2} \\ 1 & \frac{5}{2} \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \frac{1}{4}A = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{3}{4} & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}C^T - \frac{1}{4}A = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{3}{2} \\ 2 & \frac{1}{2} \\ 1 & \frac{5}{2} \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \frac{3}{4} & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{4} & \frac{3}{2} \\ \frac{9}{4} & 0 \\ \frac{3}{4} & \frac{9}{4} \end{bmatrix}$$

- (f) We found  $B^T$  in a previous question, so we can subtract  $B^T$  from  $B$ .

$$B - B^T = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- (g) Similarly to previous questions, we can split the subtraction into  $2E^T$  and  $3D^T$

$$2E^T = \begin{bmatrix} 12 & -2 & 8 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 6 & 4 & 6 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ and } 3D^T = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -3 & 9 \\ 15 & 0 & 6 \\ 6 & 3 & 12 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{We have } 2E^T - 3D^T = \begin{bmatrix} 12 & -2 & 8 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 6 & 4 & 6 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -3 & 9 \\ 15 & 0 & 6 \\ 6 & 3 & 12 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 9 & 1 & -1 \\ -13 & 2 & -4 \\ 0 & 1 & -6 \end{bmatrix}$$

- (h) Since we calculated  $(2E^T - 3D^T)$  in the previous question, we could transpose our previous answer.

$$(2E^T - 3D^T)^T = \begin{bmatrix} 9 & -13 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \\ -1 & -4 & -6 \end{bmatrix}$$

- (i) Let's start with the parenthesis,  $B \cdot A$ .

$$B \cdot A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

However, this multiplication is not possible. In order to multiply two matrices  $x \cdot y$ ,  $x$  must have the same number of columns as the rows in  $y$ . In this case,  $B$  has 2 columns, but  $A$  has 3 rows. Therefore,  $C(BA)$  is undefined.

- (j) We can start by finding  $E^T$ , then multiplying  $D \cdot E^T$ .

$$\begin{aligned} E^T &= \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 1 & 4 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \\ D \cdot E^T &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 5 & 2 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 3 & 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 1 & 4 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 \cdot 6 + 5 \cdot 1 + 2 \cdot 3 & 1 \cdot 1 + 5 \cdot 1 + 2 \cdot 2 & 1 \cdot 4 + 5 \cdot 3 + 2 \cdot 3 \\ (-1) \cdot 6 + 0 \cdot 1 + 1 \cdot 3 & (-1) \cdot 1 + 0 \cdot 1 + 2 \cdot 2 & (-1) \cdot 4 + 0 \cdot 1 + 4 \cdot 3 \\ 3 \cdot 6 + 2 \cdot 1 + 4 \cdot 3 & 3 \cdot 1 + 2 \cdot 1 + 4 \cdot 2 & 3 \cdot 4 + 2 \cdot 1 + 4 \cdot 3 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 17 & 8 & 15 \\ -3 & 3 & -1 \\ 32 & 7 & 26 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

The trace of a matrix is the sum of the entries in the main diagonal, which in this case is  $17 + 3 + 26 = \boxed{46}$

5. (a) We can start by making the systems of linear equations homogeneous by moving all the variables to one side.

$$5x + y + z - K = 0$$

$$x + 7y + z - K = 0$$

$$x + y + 8z - K = 0$$

We can then form an augmented matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 5 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 7 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 8 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Next, we can start to manipulate the augmented matrix into reduced row echelon form. Multiply  $R_3$  by -1 and add to  $R_2$  to eliminate the 1, and multiply  $R_3$  by -5 and add to  $R_1$  to eliminate the 5.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -4 & -39 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 & -7 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 8 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since  $R_3$  has a leading 1, we can swap it with  $R_1$ . We can also divide  $R_2$  by 6 in order to make a leading 1.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 8 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{7}{6} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -4 & -39 & 4 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

We can use the leading 1 in  $R_2$  to eliminate the other rows. We multiply  $R_2$  by 4 and add to  $R_3$  to eliminate the -4, and multiply  $R_2$  by -1 and add to  $R_1$  to eliminate the -1.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \frac{55}{6} & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{7}{6} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{131}{3} & 4 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

We need to make another leading 1, so we can divide  $R_3$  by  $-\frac{131}{3}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \frac{55}{6} & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{7}{6} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -\frac{12}{131} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

We can multiply  $R_3$  by  $\frac{7}{6}$  and add to  $R_2$  to eliminate the  $-\frac{7}{6}$ , and multiply  $R_3$  by  $-\frac{55}{6}$  to eliminate the  $\frac{55}{6}$ . After these operations, our matrix is in reduced row echelon form.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{21}{131} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -\frac{14}{131} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -\frac{12}{131} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

This corresponds to the homogeneous system of linear equations

$$\begin{aligned} x - \frac{21}{131}k &= 0 \\ y - \frac{14}{131}k &= 0 \\ z - \frac{12}{131}k &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

From this, it is evident that any one arbitrary parameter, whether it be  $x$ ,  $y$ ,  $z$ , or  $k$ , would provide enough information to calculate the other 3 variables.

- (b) Taking the systems of linear equations from the question above, we can solve for the smallest positive integer solution set

$$\begin{aligned} x - \frac{21}{131}k &= 0 \\ y - \frac{14}{131}k &= 0 \\ z - \frac{12}{131}k &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

If we let  $k$  equal 131 to eliminate the fractions, we have  $x = 21, y = 14, z = 12$ . We can attempt to find a lowest common divisor between these positive integers to see if a lower solution exists. 21 prime factors as  $3 \cdot 7$ , 14 has the prime factors  $2 \cdot 7$ , and 12 has the prime factors  $2 \cdot 3^2$ . Since there are no shared common factors, the solution set  $\boxed{k = 131, x = 21, y = 14, z = 12}$  is the smallest positive integer solution set.

- (c) We can plug the solutions provided in the question into our system of linear equations and check if the equations are defined. The solution set is  $k = 262, x = 42, y = 28, z = 24$ .

$$42 - \frac{21}{131} \cdot 262 = 0$$

$$28 - \frac{14}{131} \cdot 262 = 0$$

$$24 - \frac{12}{131} \cdot 262 = 0$$

Simplifying, we end up with

$$42 = 42$$

$$28 = 28$$

$$24 = 24$$

Seeing as the equations are all true and defined, the solution set provided in the question is included among the solutions of our system of equations.