

# Homework 3: Multi-Agent Search

## Part I. Implementation (5%):

### • Part 1

```
113 def getAction(self, gameState):
136     # Begin your code (Part 1)
137     """
138     I implemented minimax recursively instead of using a stack.
139     The choice of max or min is determined by the agentIndex sent as a parameter.
140
141     When the now_node(gameState) is in the parameter, the function is computing the max or min value of the descendent nodes.
142     It goes through each nodes iteratively and go deeper if the descendent node isn't the end node.
143     It then return the value of descendent node to let the now_node decide which one to choose.
144
145     Notice: since I add depth whenever an agent make a choice, the condition indicating it reach the depth specified
146     is depth == self.depth * number_of_agents.
147     """
148     bestAction = self.compute_util_minimax(gameState, 0)
149     return bestAction[1]
150
151 def compute_util_minimax(self, gameState, agentIndex, depth=0):
152     if agentIndex==0:
153         maxOrMin = (float('-inf'), 'STOP')
154     else:
155         maxOrMin = (float('inf'), 'STOP')
156     depth += 1
157     legalActions = gameState.getLegalActions(agentIndex)
158     for action in legalActions:
159         nextState = gameState.getNextState(agentIndex, action)
160         # get all possible next states
161         # return the value if it is end node
162         if nextState.isLose() or nextState.isWin() or depth==self.depth*gameState.getNumAgents():
163             temp = (self.evaluationFunction(nextState),)
164         else:
165             # compute downwards with the same way
166             temp = self.compute_util_minimax(nextState, (agentIndex + 1) % nextState.getNumAgents(), depth)
167         if agentIndex == 0:
168             # if it is pacman, choose the max
169             if temp[0] > maxOrMin[0]:
170                 maxOrMin = (temp[0], action)
171         else:
172             # else, choose min
173             if temp[0] < maxOrMin[0]:
174                 maxOrMin = (temp[0], action)
175     return maxOrMin
176 # End your code (Part 1)
```

### • Part 2

```
181 def getAction(self, gameState):
182     """
183     Returns the minimax action using self.depth and self.evaluationFunction
184     """
185     # Begin your code (Part 2)
186     """
187     I only made some modification on the recursive function.
188     1. adding 2 parameter alpha and beta
189     2. add some code according to the alpha-beta pruning psuedocode (between ###s)
190     """
191     bestAction = self.compute_util_minimax(gameState, 0, -float('inf'), float('inf'))
192     return bestAction[1]
193
194 def compute_util_minimax(self, gameState, agentIndex, alpha, beta, depth=0):
195     if agentIndex==0:
196         maxOrMin = (float('-inf'), 'STOP')
197     else:
198         maxOrMin = (float('inf'), 'STOP')
199     depth += 1
200     legalActions = gameState.getLegalActions(agentIndex)
201     for action in legalActions:
202         nextState = gameState.getNextState(agentIndex, action)
203         if nextState.isLose() or nextState.isWin() or depth==self.depth*gameState.getNumAgents():
204             temp = (self.evaluationFunction(nextState),)
205         else:
206             temp = self.compute_util_minimax(nextState, (agentIndex + 1) % nextState.getNumAgents(), alpha, beta, depth)
207         if agentIndex == 0:
208             if temp[0] > maxOrMin[0]:
209                 maxOrMin = (temp[0], action)
210             if temp[0] > beta:
211                 return temp
212             alpha = max(alpha, temp[0])
213         else:
214             if temp[0] < maxOrMin[0]:
215                 maxOrMin = (temp[0], action)
216             if temp[0] < alpha:
217                 return temp
218             beta = min(beta, temp[0])
219     return maxOrMin
220 # End your code (Part 2)
```

### • Part 3

```

228 def getAction(self, gameState):
229     """
230     Returns the expectimax action using self.depth and self.evaluationFunction
231
232     All ghosts should be modeled as choosing uniformly at random from their
233     legal moves.
234     """
235     # Begin your code (Part 3)
236     """
237     The code is quite similar to minimax.
238     The difference is that when it comes to the turn of the ghost, compute the value as the sum of values of all the
239     possible paths divided by the number of possible paths.
240     The difference is marked with ##
241     """
242     bestAction = self.compute_util_expectimax(gameState, 0)
243     #print(bestAction)
244     return bestAction[1]
245
246 def compute_util_expectimax(self, gameState, agentIndex, depth=0):
247     if agentIndex==0:
248         maxOrMin = (float('-inf'), 'LEFT')
249     else:
250         number = 0 ##
251     depth += 1
252     legalActions = gameState.getLegalActions(agentIndex)
253     for action in legalActions:
254         nextState = gameState.getNextState(agentIndex, action)
255         if nextState.isLose() or nextState.isWin() or depth==self.depth*gameState.getNumAgents():
256             temp = (self.evaluationFunction(nextState),)
257         else:
258             temp = self.compute_util_expectimax(nextState, (agentIndex + 1) % nextState.getNumAgents(), depth)
259
260     if agentIndex == 0:
261         if temp[0] > maxOrMin[0]:
262             maxOrMin = (temp[0], action)
263     else:
264         number += temp[0] ##
265
266     if agentIndex!= 0:
267         return (number/len(legalActions),action) ##
268     return maxOrMin
269 # End your code (Part 3)

```

### • Part 4

```

271 def BFS(wholeMap, position):
272     Q = util.Queue()
273     done = [position]
274     ans = []
275     Q.push((position,0)) # push initial
276     direction = [(1,0),(-1,0),(0,1),(0,-1)]
277     while not Q.isEmpty():
278         temp = Q.pop() # pop from queue
279         ans.append(temp) # add to answer
280         for i in direction:
281             newPos = (temp[0][0]+i[0], temp[0][1]+i[1])
282             if(wholeMap[newPos[0]][newPos[1]] == False and newPos not in done):
283                 Q.push((newPos, temp[1]+1)) # add necessary node to queue and done
284                 done.append((temp[0][0],temp[0][1]))
285     return ans

```

BFS is implemented with Queue defined in util.py.

It returns a tuple of tuples ((positionX, positionY), search value), and it is used in the evaluation function.

```

289 def betterEvaluationFunction(currentGameState):
290     """
291     Your extreme ghost-hunting, pellet-nabbing, food-gobbling, unstoppable
292     evaluation function (Part 4).
293     """
294     # Begin your code (Part 4)
295     """
296     There are three factors I used to evaluate the condition.
297     1. whether the position is near the food.
298         I used BFS to mark all the non-wall positions and use the value gained
299         by BFS to evaluate the position.
300         I tried several functions to deal with the list of values, and the one
301         I am using is the best of all the function I tried.
302     2. currentGameState.getScore().
303         The score is higher -> the state is better
304     3. capsules not eaten.
305         The game will end even if the capsule isn't eaten, since you will have
306         more point if you eat all the capsules, I add number of capsules * -100
307         to the return value (better if less capsule).
308     Additionally, I add the evaluation of ghost hunting to achieve better score.
309     When the ghost is scared, the return value will become the negative of the
310     distance of ghost and pacman.
311     """
312     if currentGameState.isLose():                # huge number if win or lose
313         return -9999999
314     elif currentGameState.isWin():
315         return 9999999 + currentGameState.getScore()
316
317     nowPos = currentGameState.getPacmanPosition()
318     food = currentGameState.getFood()
319     wholeMap = currentGameState.getWalls()
320     mapWithDist = BFS(wholeMap, nowPos)          # do BFS
321     foodValues = []
322
323     capsulePositions = currentGameState.getCapsules()
324     for j in mapWithDist:
325         # get all the distance of foods
326         if food[j][0][0][j][0][1]:
327             foodValues.append(j[1])
328     temp = 0
329
330     foodValueScore = 2 / (min(foodValues) / float(max(foodValues) - min(foodValues) + 1) + 1) + temp
331     capsuleScore = 0
332
333     ghostState = currentGameState.getGhostStates()[0]
334     hunt = False                                # know if the ghost should be hunted
335     if ghostState.scaredTimer > 0 and ghostState.scaredTimer < 28:
336         hunt = True
337     if not hunt:
338         capsuleScore = len(capsulePositions)*-100    # less capsule -> better
339     if hunt:
340         ghostPositions = currentGameState.getGhostPositions()
341         return -manhattanDistance(nowPos, ghostPositions[0]) # hunt the ghost (evaluation for hunting)
342     return foodValueScore*2 + currentGameState.getScore()*5 + capsuleScore
343     # End your code (Part 4)

```

## Part II. Results & Analysis (5%):

- Result



- Analysis

1. Design factors on evaluation function

At first, I considered several types of factors, e.g., the distance of ghost, the distance of capsules. When I use all the factors together, it is hard for me to decide the ratio of these factors, and the pacman seems to be dizzy, not knowing where to go. This might result from the interactions of each factors (because it changes rapidly and might make the pacman walk in a way resembling to walking randomly).

2. Deletion of ghost distance factor

There is only one ghost in all the test cases, so it is easy for pacman to avoid bumping into the ghost in all the cases (can not be surrounded because there is only one ghost). Hence, I remove the factor of the ghost from the factor to reach better performance (the factor was evaluating how far you are with all the ghosts).

However, if there are more pacman in a game, the evaluation might do poorly because it can not foresee whether the position might be surrounded by or be close to ghosts.

3. Adding ghost hunting

One thing I observed in the game is that the score will be higher if you eat all the capsules and eat all the ghosts per capsule. I designed the evaluation function for the hunting process in a way that all the evaluation will only based on the distance of pacman and ghost so that the choice will focus on minimizing the distance between pacman and ghost.

Before I add the hunting strategy, the average score is about 1170, and the variance of each game is quite high, the scores range from 1370 to 980. The figure below is the result after I add the hunting strategy. It is obvious that the new evaluation function can have a more stable and higher score than the one without hunting strategy.

```
Question part4
=====
Pacman emerges victorious! Score: 1373
Pacman emerges victorious! Score: 1370
Pacman emerges victorious! Score: 1369
Pacman emerges victorious! Score: 1362
Pacman emerges victorious! Score: 1371
Pacman emerges victorious! Score: 1364
Pacman emerges victorious! Score: 1364
Pacman emerges victorious! Score: 1373
Pacman emerges victorious! Score: 1350
Pacman emerges victorious! Score: 1342
Average Score: 1363.8
Scores: 1373.0, 1370.0, 1369.0, 1362.0, 1371.0, 1364.0, 1364.0, 1373.0, 1350.0, 1342.0
Win Rate: 10/10 (1.00)
Record: win, win, win, win, win, win, win, win, win, win
```