CV HW2

Implementation

points.

Preprocessing – linear projection and cylindrical projection For linear projection, I cut the image into 4 parts, and transform it to desired

```
flag = cv2.INTER_NEAREST
 x, y = image.shape[1], image.shape[0]
pts1 = np.float32([[0, 0], [x/2, 0], [0, y/2], [x/2, y/2]])

dest1 = np.float32([[shift, shift], [x/2, 0], [shift, y/2], [x/2, y/2]])

result1 = cv2.getPerspectiveTransform(pts1, dest1)
 img_temp = np.array(image)
img1 = img_temp[0:y//2, 0:x//2]
warped_image = cv2.warpPerspective(img1, result1, (x//2, y//2), flags=flag)
pts2 = np.float32([[0, 0], [x-x/2, 0], [0, y/2], [x-x/2, y/2]])
dest2 = np.float32([[0, 0], [x-x/2-shift, shift], [0, y/2], [x-x/2-shift, y/2]])
result2 = cv2.getPerspectiveTransform(pts2, dest2)
 img2 = img_temp[0:y//2, x//2:x]
warped\_image2 = cv2.warpPerspective(img2, result2, (x - x//2, y//2), flags=flag)
pts3 = np.float32([[0, 0], [x/2, 0], [0, y-y/2], [x/2, y-y/2]])
dest3 = np.float32([[shift, 0], [x/2, 0], [shift, y-y/2-shift], [x/2, y-y/2]])
result3 = cv2.getPerspectiveTransform(pts3, dest3)
\label{eq:mg3} $$ = img_temp[y//2:y, 0:x//2] $$ warped_image3 = cv2.warpPerspective(img3, result3, (x//2, y - y//2), flags=flag) $$
pts4 = np.float32([[0, 0], [x-x/2, 0], [0, y-y/2], [x-x/2, y-y/2]])
dest4 = np.float32([[0, 0], [x-x/2-shift, 0], [0, y-y/2], [x-x/2-shift, y-y/2-shift]])
result4 = cv2.getPerspectiveTransform(pts4, dest4)
img4 = img_temp[y//2:y, x//2:x]
warped_image4 = cv2.warpPerspective(img4, result4, (x-x//2, y-y//2), flags=flag)
if image.ndim == 2:
     result = np.zeros((y, x), np.uint8)
result = np.zeros((y, x, 3), np.uint8)
result[0:y//2, 0:x//2] = warped_image
result[0:y//2, x//2:x] = warped_image2
result[y//2:y, 0:x//2] = warped_image3
result[y//2:y, x//2:x] = warped_image4
```

For cylindrical projection, I set the intrinsic matrix like below, and it turned out that this setting is good enough.

```
def preprocess(img):
    h_w_ = img.shape[:2]
    K = np.array([[w_,0,w_/2],[0,h_,h_/2],[0,0,1]])

# pixel coordinates
y_i, x_i = np.indices((h_,w_))
X = np.stack([x_i,y_i,np.ones_like(x_i)],axis=-1).reshape(h_*w_,3)
Kinv = np.linalg.inv(K)
X = Kinv.dot(X.T).T # normalized coords

# calculate cylindrical coords (sin\theta, h, cos\theta)
A = np.stack([np.sin(X[:,0]),X[:,1],np.cos(X[:,0])],axis=-1).reshape(w_*h_,3)

# project back to image-pixels plane
B = K.dot(A.T).T

# back from homog coords
B = B[:,:-1] / B[:,[-1]]
# make sure warp coords only within image bounds
B[(B[:,0] < 0) | (B[:,0] >= w__) | (B[:,1] < 0) | (B[:,1] >= h__)] = -1
B = B.reshape(h_,w__,-1)
return cv2.remap(img, B[:,:,0].astype(np.float32), B[:,:,1].astype(np.float32), cv2.INTER_NEAREST)
```

The result is as follows: linear projection / cylindrical projection



For the challenge images, I select this two transformation for each image according to experiment, and I add histogram equalization on the grayscaled images to help knn to fit better.

KNN

Here I compute the distance between all pairs of points in the two set and select the 2 smallest pairs. If the pair pass the lowe's ratio test, add it to the set of found points.

```
def get_matches(keypoints1, descriptor1, keypoints2, descriptor2, lowes_ratio=0.8, debugging=False):
    good_matches = []
    points1 = []
    points2 = []

if debugging:
    bf = cv2.BFMatcher()
    matches = bf.knmMatch(descriptor1, descriptor2, k=2)
    for m,n in matches:
        if m.distance < lowes_ratio*n.distance:
            good_matches.append(m)
    points1 = np.float32([keypoints1[m.queryIdx].pt for m in good_matches])
    points2 = np.float32([keypoints2[m.trainIdx].pt for m in good_matches])

else:
    for i in range(len(keypoints1)):
        min_dist = np.inf
        second_min_dist = np.inf
        min_dist_index = -1

# compute the dinstance between two descriptors and update
    for j in range(len(keypoints2)):
        dist = np.linalg.norm(descriptor1[i] - descriptor2[j]).item()
        if dist < min_dist
            min_dist = dist
            min_dist = dist
            min_dist = dist
            min_dist < lookers ratio test
        if min_dist < lookers ratio test
        if min_dist < lookers ratio test
        if min_dist3.append(keypoints2[i].pt)
        points1.append(keypoints2[i].pt)
        return np.float32(points1), np.float32(points2)</pre>
```

RANSAC & Homography

After we have a set of matched points, we need to find the best homography matrix for the points using RANSAC. We randomly select 4 pairs of points and find the homography matrix of these 4 pairs. We then check how many other points also fit this homography matrix with a threshold. We then choose the best

H that has as many points fit as possible.

RANSAC:

Homography:

I use another function to compute the homography matrix given three points by filling in the matrix described in homography estimation.pdf (ucsd.edu), and solve with svd decomposition. We chose the smallest one (last element) and normalize the laset element to 1.

```
\begin{array}{lll} \mathbf{a}_x^T\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{h} &= & (H_{11}, H_{12}, H_{13}, H_{21}, H_{22}, H_{23}, H_{31}, H_{32}, H_{33})^T \\ \mathbf{a}_x^T\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{a}_x &= & (-x_1, -y_1, -1, 0, 0, 0, x_2'x_1, x_2'y_1, x_2')^T \\ \mathbf{a}_y &= & (0, 0, 0, -x_1, -y_1, -1, y_2'x_1, y_2'y_1, y_2')^T. \end{array}
```

Warping images

After finding the homography matrix, we need to know the size of warped image and how many pixels we should shift left. The following function is to return the two warped images given the two images on H. Note that the H should be used to transform the first image. (I use this for the base cases, and loop through all images with all H to find max/min x&y.

```
def patch_images(img1, img2, H):
    # get an affine transformation matrix
    left down = np.hstack(([0], [0], [1]))
    left_up = np.hstack(([0], [img1.shape[0]-1], [1]))
    right_down = np.hstack(([img1.shape[1]-1], [0], [1]))
    right_up = np.hstack(([img1.shape[1]-1], [0], [1]))
    right_up = np.hstack(([img1.shape[1]-1], [img1.shape[0]-1], [1]))

    warped_left_down = np.dot(H, left_up.T) / np.dot(H, left_up.T)[2]
    warped_left_up = np.dot(H, left_up.T) / np.dot(H, left_up.T)[2]
    warped_right_up = np.dot(H, right_up.T) / np.dot(H, right_up.T)[2]

    varped_right_up = np.dot(H, right_up.T) / np.dot(H, right_up.T)[2]

    varped_right_up = np.dot(H, right_up.T) / np.dot(H, right_up.T)[2]

    varped_right_up = np.dot(H, right_up.T) / np.dot(H, right_up.T)[2]

    varped_left_up[0], warped_left_down[0], warped_right_down[0], warped_right_up[0], 0)

    v2 = max(warped_left_up[0], warped_left_down[0], warped_right_down[0], warped_right_up[0], img2.shape[0])

    vidt = int(warped_left_up[1], warped_left_down[1], warped_right_down[1], warped_right_up[1], img2.shape[0])

    width = int(x2 - x1)
    height = int(x2 - x1)
    height = int(y2 - y1)
    size = (width, height)

A = np.float32([[1, 0, -x1], [0, 1, -y1], [0, 0, 1]])
    warped1 = cv2.warpPerspective(srcsimg1, M-A@H, dsize=size, flags=cv2.INTER_NEAREST)

    varped2 = cv2.warpPerspective(srcsimg2, M-A, dsize=size, flags=cv2.INTER_NEAREST)

    cv2.imwrite('warped2.jpg', warped2)

    return warped1, warped2
```

Blending

I use linear blending for challenge and base images, and the alpha is multiplied on the first image (left image). I set the alpha to decrease from 1 to 0 uniformly by setting a linspace and choose the value according to the index. (Here I set a mask to mask out the unwanted 0 regions. To make the padded areas not conflict to the black areas in the image, I clip the value of the original image from 3 to 255, which makes it distinguishable form padded area while having little visual effect.)

```
blend(img1, img2, detect_threshold=6):
height, width, _ = img1.shape
img1_mask = np.zeros((height, width), dtype=np.int16)
img2_mask = np.zeros((height, width), dtype=np.int16)
# find locations of the non-black pixels in both images
for i in range(height):
    for j in range(width):
        if np.sum(img1[i, j]) > detect_threshold:
    img1_mask[i, j] = 1
    if np.sum(img2[i, j]) > detect_threshold:
            img2_mask[i, j] = 1
overlap_mask = img1_mask * img2_mask
blended_image = np.zeros_like(img1, dtype=np.float32)
for i in range(height):
                         mask for blending if needed
    if np.count_nonzero(overlap_mask[i]) > 0:
        left_most = width
        right_most = 0
         for j in range(width):
             if overlap_mask[i, j] == 1:
                 left_most = min(left_most, j)
                 right_most = max(right_most, j)
        blend_width = right_most - left_most + 1
        blend_mask = np.linspace(1, 0, blend_width)
    for j in range(width):
         if overlap_mask[i, j] == 1:
            alpha = blend_mask[j - left_most]
         blended_image[i, j] = alpha * img1[i, j] + (1 - alpha) * img2[i, j]
elif img1_mask[i, j] == 1:
             blended_image[i, j] = img1[i, j]
```

Aditional component on Challenge part

Histogram equalization

I convert it to YUV color space and equalize only on the lighting [:, :, 0].

Gamma correction

Directly apply histogram transformations on images 3 and 5 will produce many noises because it is overexposed (many values are the same). So, I do gamma correction on the two images to make them look normal. If you do not apply gamma correction, the image can not align well (maybe caused by inaccuracies of SIFT).

The effect: before / after





Task gain componsation

I find the optimal gs by setting their partial direvatives to 0. I write the partial direvatives of each in the form of gs and solve the matrix using psuedo-inverse.

The derivation is as follows:

$$e = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} N_{ij} \left(\left(g_{i} \tilde{I}_{ij} - g_{j} \tilde{I}_{3i} \right)^{2} / 6_{N} + \left(1 - g_{i} \right)^{2} / 6_{g}^{2} \right)$$

one image pair (ij), $N_{ij} = N_{ji}$, total e for this pair eig:

$$e_{ij} = N_{ij} \left(2 \times \left(g_{i} \tilde{I}_{ij} - g_{j} \tilde{I}_{3i} \right) \right) / 6_{N}^{2} + \left(1 - g_{j} \right)^{2} / 6_{g}^{2} + \left(1 - g_{i} \right)^{2} / 6_{g}^{2} \right)$$

$$\frac{\partial e_{ij}}{\partial g_{i}} = \left(N_{ij} / 6_{N}^{2} \right) 4 \left(g_{i} \tilde{I}_{ij} - g_{j} \tilde{I}_{ji} \right) \tilde{I}_{ij} + \frac{2 (g_{i} - 1)}{\sigma g^{2}}$$

we want $\frac{\partial e_{ij}}{\partial g_{i}} = 0 \rightarrow \text{we can do division directly}$

$$\frac{\partial e_{ij}}{\partial g_{i}} = \left(2 N_{ij} \tilde{I}_{ij}^{2} / 6_{N}^{2} + 1 / 6_{g}^{2} \right) g_{i} + \left(- 2 N_{ij} \tilde{I}_{ij} \tilde{I}_{ji} / 6_{N}^{2} \right) g_{j} - N_{ij} / 6_{g}^{2}$$

$$\frac{\partial e_{ij}}{\partial g_{j}} = \left(-2 N_{ij} \tilde{I}_{ij} \tilde{I}_{ji} / 6_{N}^{2} \right) g_{i} + \left(2 N_{ij} \tilde{I}_{ji} / 6_{N}^{2} + 1 / 6_{g}^{2} \right) g_{i} - N_{ij} / 6_{g}^{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \left(\frac{A}{a_{ij}} \right) \left[\frac{g_{i}}{g_{ij}} \right] = \left(\frac{g_{i}}{g_{ij}} \right) \left[\frac{g_{i}}{g_{i}} \right] = \left(\frac{g_{i}}{g_{ij}} \right) \left[\frac{g_{i}}{g_{i}} \right] = \left(\frac{g_{i}}{g_{ij}} \right) \left[\frac{g_{i}}{g_{i}} \right] = \left(\frac{g_{i}}{g_{i}} \right) \left[\frac{g_{i}}{g_{i$$

I thought the the σ_N and σ_g is the standard deviation of N and G, but it turns out that σ_N should be set properly, or it will be really large number, making the g become all 1. Since it will be troublesome if you want to put σ_g when calculating the error, so I also make a tunable parameter.

```
def task_gain_compensation(images, sigma_n=10, sigma_g=0.9):
    # extract overlap pairs
    n_images = len(images)

coefficients = np.zeros((n_images, n_images, 3))
    results = np.zeros((n_images, n_images, 3))

# fill in the matrix
for i in range(n_images-1):
    for j in range(images-1):
        if N_ij = 0:
            continue
        # /le6 for numerical stability
        coefficients[i][i] += N_ij * ( (2 * I_ij ** 2 / sigma_n ** 2) + (1 / sigma_g ** 2) ) / le6
        coefficients[j][i] -= (2 / sigma_n ** 2) * N_ij * I_ij * I_ij / le6
        coefficients[j][j] += N_ij * ( (2 * I_ji ** 2 / sigma_n ** 2) + (1 / sigma_g ** 2) ) / le6
        coefficients[j][j] += N_ij * ( (2 * I_ji ** 2 / sigma_n ** 2) + (1 / sigma_g ** 2) ) / le6
        results[j] += N_ij / sigma_g ** 2 / le6

gains = np.zeros_like(results)
for channel in range(coefficients.shape[2]):
    coefs = coefficients[; , channel]
    # solve with psuedo-inverse
    gains[:, channel] = np.linalg.pinv(coefs) @ res

mx_pixel_value = np.max([image for image in images])
print(gains)
    # normalize
    if gains.max() * max_pixel_value > 255:
        gains = gains / (gains.max() * max_pixel_value) * 255

return gains
```

I compute the gain for 3 channels separately. Here is the function to fine N_ij, I_ij, I_ji given two images.

Results

Base: linear projection / cylindrical projection





Challenge



Blending method anaylysis

Linear blending method
 As described in the previous context.



Constant blending method Setting the alpha to 0.5 directly.



As you can see, constant blending will have obvious borders of the overlapping region, but the linear blending will look smooth. This is because when the point is near the border from the left, the value of left image will be cosidered more (having a huger alpha), and when it is near the border, the value will almost indentical to the value of left image.