

Module Guide for Software Engineering

Team 15, ASLingo

Andrew Kil

Cassidy Baldin

Edward Zhuang

Jeremy Langner

Stanley Chan

January 11, 2024

1 Revision History

| Date | Version | Notes |
|---------------|---------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Jan. 9, 2024 | 1.0 | Andrew and Edward; added module hierarchy |
| Jan. 10, 2024 | 1.1 | Stanley; added some module decompositions for hardware hiding and behaviour hiding modules |
| Jan. 10, 2024 | 1.2 | Andrew; rearranged Module Hierarchy and added decomposition descriptions for controller, hand sign recognition and verification modules |
| Jan. 11, 2024 | 1.3 | Jeremy and Cassidy added front end anticipated changes, modules and traceability mapping to these modules |

2 Reference Material

This section records information for easy reference.

2.1 Abbreviations and Acronyms

| symbol | description |
|----------------------|-------------------------------------|
| AC | Anticipated Change |
| DAG | Directed Acyclic Graph |
| M | Module |
| MG | Module Guide |
| OS | Operating System |
| R | Requirement |
| SC | Scientific Computing |
| SRS | Software Requirements Specification |
| Software Engineering | Explanation of program name |
| UC | Unlikely Change |
| [etc. —SS] | [... —SS] |

Contents

| | | |
|----------|---------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| 1 | Revision History | i |
| 2 | Reference Material | ii |
| 2.1 | Abbreviations and Acronyms | ii |
| 3 | Introduction | 1 |
| 4 | Anticipated and Unlikely Changes | 2 |
| 4.1 | Anticipated Changes | 2 |
| 4.2 | Unlikely Changes | 2 |
| 5 | Module Hierarchy | 2 |
| 6 | Connection Between Requirements and Design | 3 |
| 7 | Module Decomposition | 3 |
| 7.1 | Hardware Hiding Modules | 4 |
| 7.1.1 | Video Input Module (M8) | 4 |
| 7.2 | Behaviour-Hiding Modules | 4 |
| 7.2.1 | Hand Sign Recognition Module (M1) | 4 |
| 7.2.2 | Controller Module (M3) | 4 |
| 7.2.3 | Data Processing Module (M5) | 5 |
| 7.2.4 | Machine Learning Module (M6) | 5 |
| 7.2.5 | About/Info Module (M9) | 5 |
| 7.2.6 | Exercise Module (M10) | 5 |
| 7.2.7 | Login/Sign Up Module (M12) | 5 |
| 7.3 | Software Decision Modules | 6 |
| 7.3.1 | Hand Sign Verification Module (M2) | 6 |
| 7.3.2 | Data Collection Module (M4) | 6 |
| 7.3.3 | Testing and Verification Module (M7) | 6 |
| 7.3.4 | Exercise Selection/History Module (M11) | 6 |
| 7.3.5 | Account Management Module (M13) | 6 |
| 8 | Traceability Matrix | 7 |
| 9 | Use Hierarchy Between Modules | 7 |

List of Tables

| | | |
|---|---------------------------------------------------------|---|
| 1 | Module Hierarchy | 4 |
| 2 | Trace Between Requirements and Modules | 7 |
| 3 | Trace Between Anticipated Changes and Modules | 7 |

List of Figures

| | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|---|
| 1 | Use hierarchy among modules | 8 |
|---|---------------------------------------|---|

3 Introduction

Decomposing a system into modules is a commonly accepted approach to developing software. A module is a work assignment for a programmer or programming team (Parnas et al., 1984). We advocate a decomposition based on the principle of information hiding (Parnas, 1972). This principle supports design for change, because the “secrets” that each module hides represent likely future changes. Design for change is valuable in SC, where modifications are frequent, especially during initial development as the solution space is explored.

Our design follows the rules laid out by Parnas et al. (1984), as follows:

- System details that are likely to change independently should be the secrets of separate modules.
- Each data structure is implemented in only one module.
- Any other program that requires information stored in a module’s data structures must obtain it by calling access programs belonging to that module.

After completing the first stage of the design, the Software Requirements Specification (SRS), the Module Guide (MG) is developed (Parnas et al., 1984). The MG specifies the modular structure of the system and is intended to allow both designers and maintainers to easily identify the parts of the software. The potential readers of this document are as follows:

- New project members: This document can be a guide for a new project member to easily understand the overall structure and quickly find the relevant modules they are searching for.
- Maintainers: The hierarchical structure of the module guide improves the maintainers’ understanding when they need to make changes to the system. It is important for a maintainer to update the relevant sections of the document after changes have been made.
- Designers: Once the module guide has been written, it can be used to check for consistency, feasibility, and flexibility. Designers can verify the system in various ways, such as consistency among modules, feasibility of the decomposition, and flexibility of the design.

The rest of the document is organized as follows. Section 4 lists the anticipated and unlikely changes of the software requirements. Section 5 summarizes the module decomposition that was constructed according to the likely changes. Section 6 specifies the connections between the software requirements and the modules. Section 7 gives a detailed description of the modules. Section 8 includes two traceability matrices. One checks the completeness of the design against the requirements provided in the SRS. The other shows the relation between anticipated changes and the modules. Section 9 describes the use relation between modules.

4 Anticipated and Unlikely Changes

This section lists possible changes to the system. According to the likeliness of the change, the possible changes are classified into two categories. Anticipated changes are listed in Section 4.1, and unlikely changes are listed in Section 4.2.

4.1 Anticipated Changes

Anticipated changes are the source of the information that is to be hidden inside the modules. Ideally, changing one of the anticipated changes will only require changing the one module that hides the associated decision. The approach adapted here is called design for change.

AC1: The ASL vocabulary included in the program may be updated in the future as the language evolves.

AC2: The About/Info Module may change if the developers want to change the formatting of the informational pages on the website (for example the home/resources page that use this module).

AC3: Exercise and Exercise Selection/History module may change if developers want to add in additional testing methods or material.

AC4: Account management module may change if developers change what information is stored about the users progress.

4.2 Unlikely Changes

The module design should be as general as possible. However, a general system is more complex. Sometimes this complexity is not necessary. Fixing some design decisions at the system architecture stage can simplify the software design. If these decision should later need to be changed, then many parts of the design will potentially need to be modified. Hence, it is not intended that these decisions will be changed.

UC1: Input/Output devices (Input: File and/or Keyboard, Output: File, Memory, and/or Screen).

UC2: The Login/Sign Up module is unlikely to change as we will be using user authentication methods and standard login procedures that are unlikely to change.

5 Module Hierarchy

This section provides an overview of the module design. Modules are summarized in a hierarchy decomposed by secrets in Table 1. The modules listed below, which are leaves in the hierarchy tree, are the modules that will actually be implemented.

M1: Hand Sign Recognition Module
M2: Hand Sign Verification Module
M3: Controller Module
M4: Data Collection Module
M5: Data Processing Module
M6: Machine Learning Module
M7: Testing and Verification Module
M8: Video Input Module
M9: About/Info Module
M10: Exercise Module
M11: Exercise Selection/History Module
M12: Login/Sign Up Module
M13: Account Management Module

6 Connection Between Requirements and Design

The design of the system is intended to satisfy the requirements developed in the SRS. In this stage, the system is decomposed into modules. The connection between requirements and modules is listed in Table 2.

7 Module Decomposition

Modules are decomposed according to the principle of “information hiding” proposed by [Parnas et al. \(1984\)](#). The *Secrets* field in a module decomposition is a brief statement of the design decision hidden by the module. The *Services* field specifies *what* the module will do without documenting *how* to do it. For each module, a suggestion for the implementing software is given under the *Implemented By* title. If the entry is *OS*, this means that the module is provided by the operating system or by standard programming language libraries. *Software Engineering* means the module will be implemented by the Software Engineering software.

Only the leaf modules in the hierarchy have to be implemented. If a dash (–) is shown, this means that the module is not a leaf and will not have to be implemented.

| Level 1 | Level 2 |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Hardware-Hiding Module | Video Input Module M8 |
| Behaviour-Hiding Module | Hand Sign Recognition Module M1 Controller Module M3 Data Processing Module M5 Machine Learning Module M6 About/Info Module M9 Exercise Module M10 Login/Sign Up Module M12 |
| Software Decision Module | Hand Sign Verification Module M2 Data Collection Module M4 Testing and Verification Module M7 Exercise Selection/History Module M11 Account Management Module M13 |

Table 1: Module Hierarchy

7.1 Hardware Hiding Modules

7.1.1 Video Input Module (M8)

Secrets: Image processing algorithms, software interaction with webcam hardware.

Services: Outputs real-time video data through user’s webcam.

Implemented By: OpenCV and OS.

7.2 Behaviour-Hiding Modules

7.2.1 Hand Sign Recognition Module (M1)

Secrets: Recognises hand signs are being made.

Services: Relays the information that a hand sign is made.

Implemented By: Python and Pytorch.

7.2.2 Controller Module (M3)

Secrets: Able to relay necessary information from back-end component to the correct corresponding front-end component and vice versa.

Services: Handles communication between front-end components and back-end components.

Implemented By: Python and JavaScript.

7.2.3 Data Processing Module (M5)

Secrets: Algorithm used to process collected data.

Services: Interprets collected data accordingly.

Implemented By: Python.

7.2.4 Machine Learning Module (M6)

Secrets: Training data sets and structure of neural network.

Services: Takes in hand coordinate data and interprets and processes the data to return the appropriate letter.

Implemented By: Python and Pytorch.

7.2.5 About/Info Module (M9)

Secrets: Relevant information to shown to user about the program/website.

Services: Display necessary application information about ASLingo to the user on website.

Implemented By: Javascript.

7.2.6 Exercise Module (M10)

Secrets: Question selection process andn question bank used to quiz user.

Services: Creates an exercise module with questions based on current users level of progress.

Implemented By: Javascript.

7.2.7 Login/Sign Up Module (M12)

Secrets: How user information is stored and accessed.

Services: Takes in user input to allow them to sign into their account.

Implemented By: Javascript.

7.3 Software Decision Modules

7.3.1 Hand Sign Verification Module (M2)

Secrets: The handshake used to determine whether the sign interpreted by the Machine Learning Module matches with the sign requested by front-end components

Services: Returns a pass/fail to front-end based on result of match attempt

Implemented By: Python

7.3.2 Data Collection Module (M4)

Secrets: The data and structure of data collected.

Services: Stores collected data to be retrieved at a later time.

Implemented By: Python

7.3.3 Testing and Verification Module (M7)

Secrets: Unit test cases used to test the software system.

Services: Verifies the software works as intended by testing the system on various test cases which ensures robustness, accuracy, and reliability.

Implemented By: Python and Pytest.

7.3.4 Exercise Selection/History Module (M11)

Secrets: How historical data is stored for each user.

Services: Display users completed exercise progress and display current exercise options.

Implemented By: Javascript.

7.3.5 Account Management Module (M13)

Secrets: How user information is stored and processed.

Services: Will keep a record of users account history after signing in to their account.

Implemented By: Javascript.

8 Traceability Matrix

This section shows two traceability matrices: between the modules and the requirements and between the modules and the anticipated changes.

| Req. | Modules |
|------|---------|
| FR3 | M12 |
| FR4 | M12 |
| FR5 | M13 |
| FR6 | M10 |
| FR7 | M11 |
| FR8 | M13 |
| FR12 | M11 |
| FR13 | M12 |
| LFR1 | M9 |
| LFR2 | M11 |
| LFR3 | M10 |
| UHR3 | M13 |
| SR1 | M12 |

Table 2: Trace Between Requirements and Modules

| AC | Modules |
|-----|----------|
| AC1 | M?? |
| AC2 | M9 |
| AC3 | M10, M11 |
| AC4 | M13 |

Table 3: Trace Between Anticipated Changes and Modules

9 Use Hierarchy Between Modules

In this section, the uses hierarchy between modules is provided. [Parnas \(1978\)](#) said of two programs A and B that A *uses* B if correct execution of B may be necessary for A to complete the task described in its specification. That is, A *uses* B if there exist situations in which the correct functioning of A depends upon the availability of a correct implementation of B. Figure 1 illustrates the use relation between the modules. It can be seen that the graph

is a directed acyclic graph (DAG). Each level of the hierarchy offers a testable and usable subset of the system, and modules in the higher level of the hierarchy are essentially simpler because they use modules from the lower levels.

Figure 1: Use hierarchy among modules

References

- David L. Parnas. On the criteria to be used in decomposing systems into modules. *Comm. ACM*, 15(2):1053–1058, December 1972.
- David L. Parnas. Designing software for ease of extension and contraction. In *ICSE '78: Proceedings of the 3rd international conference on Software engineering*, pages 264–277, Piscataway, NJ, USA, 1978. IEEE Press. ISBN none.
- D.L. Parnas, P.C. Clement, and D. M. Weiss. The modular structure of complex systems. In *International Conference on Software Engineering*, pages 408–419, 1984.