

## 0 Useful Distribution and Properties

**Random Sum.** When  $S$  is sum of  $N$  r.v.  $N$  is r.v., we have  $E[S] = E[X_i]E[N]$  and  $Var(S) = E_N(Var_{X_i}(S|N)) + Var_{X_i}(E_N(S|N)) = E(N)Var(X_i) + E(X_i)^2 Var(N)$ . Simplified,  $Var(S)$  becomes  $[E(N)E(X_i^2)] + [E(X_i)^2 Var(N) - E(N)]$ .

**Taylor Series Expansion**

$$\log(1+x) = \sum_{i \geq 1} (-1)^{i+1} \frac{x^i}{i}$$

$$\exp(x) = \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{x^i}{i!}$$

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} kx^k = x(\sum_{k \geq 0} x^k)^2 = x \cdot \left(\frac{1}{1-x}\right)^2$$

**MGF.** We have  $E[X^k] = M_X^{(k)}(0) = \frac{d^k}{ds^k} M_X(s)|_{s=0}$ , where  $M_X$  is the moment generating function  $M_X(s) = E[e^{sX}]$ .

**Poisson Distribution.** pmf  $\frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^k}{k!}$ , MGF  $\exp(\lambda(e^t - 1))$ .  $\mu = \lambda$ ,  $\sigma^2 = \lambda$ .  $\text{Pois}(\lambda_1) + \text{Pois}(\lambda_2) \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)$ .  $\text{Bin}(n, p)$  can be approximated by  $\text{Pois}(np)$  (besides normal/CLT). Let  $N \sim \text{Pois}(\mu)$ , and conditional on  $N$ , let  $M \sim \text{Bin}(N, p)$ . Then the unconditional distribution of  $M$  is  $\text{Pois}(\mu p)$

**Exponential Distribution.**  $X \sim \text{Exponential}$  param  $\theta > 0$ , pdf  $f(x) = \frac{1}{\theta} \cdot e^{-x/\theta}$ ,  $x > 0$ , MGF  $M(t) = \mathbb{E}[e^{tX}] = \frac{1}{1-\theta t}$ ,  $t < \frac{1}{\theta}$ ,  $\mu = \theta$ ,  $\sigma^2 = \theta^2$ .

**Gamma Distribution.**  $X \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$ , params  $\alpha, \beta > 0$ , pdf  $f(x) = \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} x^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta x}$ ,  $x > 0$ , MGF  $M(t) = (1 - \frac{t}{\beta})^{-\alpha}$ ,  $t < \beta$  where  $\Gamma(\alpha) = \int_0^\infty t^{\alpha-1} e^{-t} dt$  is the “complex continuation” of the factorial function:  $\Gamma(n) = (n-1)!$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $T(\alpha) = \alpha T(\alpha-1)$  for all  $\alpha$ :  $\mu = \frac{\alpha}{\beta}$ ,  $\sigma^2 = \frac{\alpha}{\beta^2}$ .  $\text{Exp}(\lambda)$  is identical to  $\text{Gamma}(1, \lambda)$ .

$\text{Gamma}(\alpha_1, \beta) + \text{Gamma}(\alpha_2, \beta) \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \beta)$

**Normal Distribution.**  $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$  ( $\sigma > 0$ ). pdf  $f(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left[-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right]$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , MGF  $M(t) = e^{\mu t + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 t^2}$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .  $\text{Normal}(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2) + \text{Normal}(\mu_2, \sigma_2^2) \sim \text{Normal}(\mu_1 + \mu_2, \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2)$

**Chi-squared Distribution.**  $X \sim \chi^2(r)$  for  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  is given by  $X \sim \text{Gamma}(r/2, 2)$ . Plug in to Gamma distribution,  $r$  commonly referred as “degree of freedom”.  $\chi^2(1) \sim N(0, 1)^2$ ,  $\chi^2(x) + \chi^2(y) = \chi^2(x+y)$ .

## 1 Poisson Process

**Poisson Process** of intensity/rate is  $\lambda$  is an integer-valued stochastic process  $\{X(t); t \geq 0\}$  such that:

- $X(t_1) - X(t_0), X(t_2) - X(t_1), \dots, X(t_n) - X(t_{n-1})$  are independent
- $\Pr(X(s+t) - X(s) = k) = \frac{(t\lambda)^k e^{-t\lambda}}{k!}$ . It's a poisson dist. with parameter  $t\lambda$
- $X(0) = 0$

Four postulates of Poisson Process

- $N((t_0, t_1]), N((t_1, t_2]), \dots$  are independent.
- For any time  $t$  and  $h > 0$ , the dist. of  $N((t, t+h])$  depends on  $h$  and not  $t$ .
- There is positive constant  $\lambda$  such that  $\Pr(N((t, t+h] \geq 1) = \lambda h + o(h)$  as  $h \rightarrow 0$ .
- $\Pr(N((t, t+h] \geq 2) = o(h)$  as  $h \rightarrow 0$ .

It can be proven using **Law of Rare Events** that the above postulates form a poisson process with rate  $\lambda$ . Recall that **Law of Rare Events** states:

Let  $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots$  be independent Bernoulli r.v. with  $\Pr(\epsilon_i = 1) = p_i$  and  $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i$ . With  $\lambda = p_1 + \dots + p_n$ , we'll have  $|P(S_n = k) - \frac{\lambda^k e^{-\lambda}}{k!}| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^2$

**Nonhomogenous Poisson Process:** Unlike the regular poisson process, rate  $\lambda = \lambda(t)$  vary with time. Note that in this case,  $X(s+t) - X(s)$  will follow a poisson distribution with parameter  $\int_s^{s+t} \lambda(u) du$ .

Waiting time  $W_n$  is the time occurrence of the  $n$ th event. Note that we have  $W_0 = 0$  and the differences  $S_n = W_{n+1} - W_n$  are called sojourn times. The following properties are satisfied:

- $W_n$  has a gamma dist. with parameter  $(n, \lambda)$  and pdf  $\frac{\lambda^n n^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} e^{-\lambda t}$ .
- Sojourn times  $S_1, S_2, \dots$  are independent r.v, each being an exponential dist. with parameter  $\lambda$  and pdf  $\lambda e^{-\lambda s}$ .

**Uniform Distribution in Poisson Process:** Conditioned on a fixed total number of events in an interval, the locations of those events are uniformly distributed in a certain way. Mathematically, conditioned on  $X(t) = n$ , the r.v.  $W_1, W_2, \dots, W_n$  have the joint pdf:

$$f_{W_1, \dots, W_n | X(t)=n}(w_1, \dots, w_n) = n! t^{-n}$$

**Multi-dimensional Poisson Process:** Let  $S$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^2$ , or  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ; Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the family of subsets of  $S$  and for any set  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  denote  $|A|$  as the size (length, area, or volume) of  $A$ . Then,  $\{N(A) : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$  is a homogenous poisson point process of intensity  $\lambda$  if:

- $N(A)$  has a poisson dist. with parameter  $\lambda|A|$  for every  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ .
- For every finite collection  $\{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$  of disjoint subsets of  $S$ ,  $N(A_1), \dots, N(A_n)$  are independent.
- Consider region  $A$  of positive size, then  $\Pr(N(B) = 1 | N(A) = 1) = \frac{|B|}{|A|}$  for any set  $B \subseteq A$ .

Four postulates to define a multi-dimensional poisson process mentioned above:

- $N(A) \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Furthermore,  $\Pr(N(A) = 0) < 1$  if  $0 < |A| < \infty$ .
- Distribution of  $N(A)$  depends on size  $|A|$  only. Furthermore,  $\Pr(N(A) \geq 1) = \lambda|A| + o(|A|)$  as  $|A| \rightarrow 0$ .
- If  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  are disjoint, then  $N(A_1), \dots, N(A_n)$  are independent r.v. Furthermore,  $N(A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n) = N(A_1) + \dots + N(A_n)$ .

$$\lim_{|A| \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Pr(N(A) \geq 1)}{\Pr(N(A) = 1)} = 1$$

**Compound Poisson Process:** A cumulative value process defined by  $Z(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{X(t)} Y_k$  where  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots$  are independent r.v with common distribution function  $G(y) = P(Y_1 \leq y)$ ,  $\mu = E[Y]$ , and  $v^2 = Var[Y]$ . Using law of total expectation and total variance, we can get:

$$E[Z(t)] = \lambda \mu t, \quad Var[Z(t)] = \lambda(v^2 + \mu^2)t$$

**Marked Poisson Process:** Sequence of pairs  $(W_1, Y_1), (W_2, Y_2), \dots$ . Theorem 1.6.1 states that  $(W_1, Y_1), (W_2, Y_2)$  form a two-dimensional non-homogenous poisson process in the  $(t, y)$  plane, where the mean number of points in region  $A$  is given by:

$$\mu(A) = \int \int_A \lambda g(y) dy dt$$

## 2 Continuous Time Markov Chain

**Pure Birth Process:** Markov process satisfying the following postulates, for some birth rate  $\{\lambda_k\}$ .

- $\Pr(X(t+h) - X(t) = 1 | X(t) = k) = \lambda_k h + o_{1,k}(h)$  as  $h \rightarrow 0$
- $\Pr(X(t+h) - X(t) = 0 | X(t) = k) = 1 - \lambda_k h + o_{2,k}(h)$
- $\Pr(X(t+h) - X(t) = 0 | X(t)) = k$
- $X(0) = 0$

Define  $P_n(t) = \Pr(X(t) = n)$ . By analyzing the probabilities at time  $t$  just prior to time  $t+h$  ( $h \rightarrow 0$ ), we can get:

- $P'_0(t) = -\lambda_0 P_0(t) \beta P_0(t) = \exp(-\lambda_0 t)$
- $P'_n(t) = -\lambda_n P_n(t) + \lambda_{n-1} P_{n-1}(t)$

To solve the above equations, define  $Q_n(t) = e^{\lambda_n t} P_n(t)$ . We can then get

$$Q'_n(t) = e^{\lambda_n t} \lambda_{n-1} P_{n-1}(t) \beta P_n(t) = \lambda_{n-1} e^{-\lambda_n t} \int_0^t e^{\lambda_n x} P_{n-1}(x) dx$$

It can be proved using induction that when  $\{\lambda_k\}$  are distinct, we have  $P_n(t) = \lambda_0 \dots \lambda_{n-1} (B_{0,n} \exp(-\lambda_0 t) + \dots + B_{n,n} \exp(-\lambda_n t))$  where:

$$B_{k,n} = \frac{1}{(\lambda_0 - \lambda_k) \dots (\lambda_{k-1} - \lambda_k)(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k) \dots (\lambda_n - \lambda_k)}$$

**Sojourn Time of Pure Birth Process:** Define sojourn time  $S_k$  as the time between the  $k$ th and  $(k+1)$ th birth. Using the four postulates above, it can be proven that  $S_k$  is an independent exponential dist. with parameter  $\lambda_k$ .

**Yule Process** A birth process in which the birth rates are proportional to the current population size ( $\lambda_k = k\beta$ ). Assuming independence, we have:

$\Pr(X(t+h) - X(t) = 1 | X(t) = n) = n(\beta h + o(h))(1 - \beta h + o(h))^{n-1} = n\beta h + o_n(h)$   
By analyzing the probabilities at time  $t$  just prior to time  $t+h$  ( $h \rightarrow 0$ ), we can get

$$P'_n(t) = -\beta(nP_n(t) - (n-1)P_{n-1}(t))$$

The solution for the above solution is  $P_n(t) = \exp(-\beta t)(1 - \exp(-\beta t))^{n-1}$ .

**Pure Death Process:** Markov process  $X(t)$  whose state space is  $0, 1, \dots, N$  satisfying the four axioms below, for some death rate  $\{\mu_k\}$ .

- $\Pr(X(t+h) = k-1 | X(t) = k) = \mu_k h + o(h)$  for  $k = 1, \dots, N$ .
- $\Pr(X(t+h) = k | X(t) = k) = 1 - \mu_k h + o(h)$  for  $k = 1, \dots, N$ .
- $\Pr(X(t+h) > k | X(t) = k) = 0$
- $X(0) = N$

When the death rate  $\{\mu_k\}$  are distinct, then we have  $\Pr_N(t) = \exp(-\mu_N t)$  and  $P_n(t) = \mu_{n+1} \dots \mu_N (A_{n,n} \exp(-\mu_n t) + \dots + A_{N,n} \exp(-\mu_N t))$  where:

$$A_{k,n} = \frac{1}{(\mu_N - \mu_k) \dots (\mu_{k+1} - \mu_k)(\mu_{k-1} - \mu_k) \dots (\mu_n - \mu_k)}$$

**Linear Death Process:** Death process in which the death rates are proportional to the current population size ( $\mu_k = k\alpha$ ). We then have:

$$P_n(t) = \binom{N}{n} \exp(-nat)(1 - \exp(-\alpha t))^{N-n}$$

Note that linear death process can also be viewed as:  $N$  individuals, each lifetime follows independent exponential dist. with parameter  $\alpha$ .  $X(t)$  is number survivors at time  $t$ .

**Birth-Death Process:** Can be viewed as a continuous-time analogue of discrete random walk. It's transition probabilities  $P_{i,j}(t) = \Pr(X(t+s) = j | X(s) = i)$  must satisfy five properties below:

- $P_{i,i+1}(h) = \lambda_i h + o(h)$  as  $h \rightarrow 0$ ,  $i \geq 0$
- $P_{i,i-1}(h) = \mu_i h + o(h)$  as  $h \rightarrow 0$ ,  $i \geq 1$
- $P_{i,i}(h) = 1 - (\lambda_i + \mu_i)h + o(h)$  as  $h \rightarrow 0$ ,  $i \geq 0$
- $P_{i,j} = \delta_{i,j}$
- $\mu_0 = 0, \lambda_0 > 0, \mu_i > 0, \lambda_i > 0$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda_0 & \lambda_0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \mu_1 & -(\lambda_1 + \mu_1) & \lambda_1 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & \mu_2 & -(\lambda_2 + \mu_2) & \lambda_2 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \mu_3 & -(\lambda_3 + \mu_3) & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

It can be checked that  $P_{i,j}(t)$  must satisfies two systems of differential equations. The first is the backward Kolmogorov equations, given by:

- $P'_{0,j}(t) = -\lambda_0 P_{0,j}(t) + \lambda_0 P_{1,j}(t)$
- $P'_{i,j}(t) = \mu_i P_{i-1,j}(t) - (\lambda_i + \mu_i) P_{i,j}(t) + \lambda_i P_{i+1,j}(t)$  for  $i \geq 1$

The second is the forward kolmogorov equations, given by:

- $P'_{i,0}(t) = -\lambda_0 P_{i,0}(t) + \mu_1 P_{i,1}(t)$
- $P'_{i,j}(t) = \lambda_{j-1} P_{i,j-1}(t) - (\lambda_j + \mu_j) P_{i,j}(t) + \mu_{j+1} P_{i,j+1}(t)$  for  $j \geq 1$

**Sojourn Time of Birth-Death Process:** As usual, define sojourn time  $S_i$  is the amount of time at state  $i$ , until  $X(t)$  leaves  $i$ . Turns out that  $S_i$  follows an exponential distribution with parameter  $\lambda_i + \mu_i$ . Furthermore, when leaving state  $i$ ,  $X(t)$  will enter either  $i+1$  or  $i-1$  with probability  $\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_i + \mu_i}$  and  $\frac{\mu_i}{\lambda_i + \mu_i}$  respectively.

**Birth-Death Process with No Absorbing States:** It can be proved that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P_{i,j}(t) = \pi_j$  exists and independent of state  $i$ . Furthermore, we'll have

$$\pi_j = \begin{cases} \frac{\theta_j}{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \theta_k} & \text{if } \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \theta_k < \infty \\ 0 & \text{if } \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \theta_k = \infty \end{cases}$$

where  $\theta_0 = 1$  and  $\theta_j = \frac{\lambda_0 \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_{j-1}}{\mu_1 \dots \mu_j}$ . To prove the above equations, it suffices to consider the derivative of the forward kolmogorov equations and use induction.

**Birth-Death Process with Absorbing States:** Theorem 2.5.1 states that in a birth-death process with birth rate  $\lambda_n$  and death rate  $\mu_n$  with  $\lambda_0 = 0$  (0 is an absorbing state), the probability of absorption into state 0 from initial state  $m$  is

$$u_m = \begin{cases} \frac{\sum_{i=m}^{\infty} \rho_i}{1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \rho_i} & \text{if } \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \rho_i < \infty \\ 1 & \text{if } \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \rho_i = \infty \end{cases}$$

where  $\rho_0 = 1$  and  $\rho_i = \frac{\mu_1 \dots \mu_i}{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_i}$ . Furthermore, conditioned on  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \rho_i = \infty$ , the mean time to absorption is

$$w_m = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if } \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_i \rho_i} = \infty \\ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_i \rho_i} + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \rho_k \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_j \rho_j} & \text{if } \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_i \rho_i} < \infty \end{cases}$$

To prove the above two equations, notice that by first-step analysis, we'll have  $u_i = \frac{\lambda_i}{\mu_i + \lambda_i} u_{i+1} + \frac{\mu_i}{\mu_i + \lambda_i} u_{i-1}$  and  $w_i = \frac{1}{\lambda_i + \mu_i} + \frac{\lambda_i}{\mu_i + \lambda_i} w_{i+1} + \frac{\mu_i}{\mu_i + \lambda_i} w_{i-1}$ . After that, just use induction. Finite-State Continuous-Time Markov Chain: Markov Process on continuous time and states  $\{0, 1, \dots, N\}$ . Four axioms related to  $P_{i,j}(t)$  are:

- $P_{i,j}(t) \geq 0$
- $\sum_{j=0}^N P_{i,j}(t) = 1$
- $P_{i,k}(s+t) = \sum_{j=0}^N P_{i,j}(s)P_{j,k}(t)$ . In matrix notation,  $P(t+s) = P(t)P(s)$ . (Chapman-Kolmogorov equation)
- $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} P_{i,j}(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases}$ . In other term,  $P(t)$  is continuous at 0.

It can be proven that  $P(t)$  is continuous everywhere. Furthermore, it's differentiable in that the limit  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0+} \frac{1 - P_{i,i}(h)}{h} = q_i$  and  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0+} \frac{P_{i,j}(h)}{h} = q_{i,j}$  exists. The parameters  $\{q_{i,j}\}$  and  $\{q_i\}$  define the infinitesimal description of the process as below:

- $\Pr(X(t+h) = j \mid X(t) = i) = q_{i,j}h + o(h)$  for  $i \neq j$
- $\Pr(X(t+h) = i \mid X(t) = i) = 1 - q_ih + o(h)$

It can also be proved that in state  $i$ , the process wil stay there for a duration that is exponentially distributed with parameter  $q_i$ . Then, it jumps to state  $j \neq i$  with probability  $p_{i,j} = \frac{q_{i,j}}{q_i}$ . The sequence of visited states is called Embeded MC. Notice that given the parameters  $\{q_{i,j}\}$  and  $\{q_i\}$  which can be expressed as  $A = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0+} \frac{P(h)-I}{h}$ , we can get  $P(t) = e^{At} = I + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{A^n t^n}{n!}$ .

### 3 Renewal Phenomena

Renewal (Counting) Process: A non-negative integer valued stochastic process  $\{N(t), t \geq 0\}$  that registers successive occurrences of an event during interval  $(0, t]$ , where times between consecutive events are independent and identically dist. rv denoted as  $\{X_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ . We also employ the definitions below:

- $F(x) = \Pr(X_k \leq x)$ , the cdf of  $X$
- $W_n = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n$ , the waiting time until  $n$ th event. Define also  $F_n(x) = \Pr(W_n \leq x)$  as the cdf of  $W_n$ .
- $N(t)$  as the number of indices  $n$  for which  $0 < W_n \leq t$ . Define also  $M(t) = E[N(t)]$ .
- $\gamma_t = W_{N(t)+1} - t$  as excess/residual lifetime
- $\delta_t = t - W_{N(t)}$  as current lifetime
- $\beta_t = \gamma_t + \delta_t$  as total life

Nice Properties of Renewal Process:

- $N(t) \geq k$  iff  $W_k \leq t$ . Consequently,  $\Pr(N(t) \geq k) = F_k(t)$  and  $\Pr(N(t) = k) = \Pr(N(t) \geq k+1) - \Pr(N(t) \geq k) = F_k(t) - F_{k+1}(t)$ .
- $F_n(x) = \int_0^x F_{n-1}(x-y)f(y)dy = \int_0^x F_{n-1}(x-y)dF(y)$
- $E[W_{N(t)+1}] = E[X](1 + M(t))$ . To prove this, can use either LOTE or indicator random variable  $I[N(t) \geq j-1] = I[X_1 + \dots + X_{j-1} \leq t]$ . (Proof: use  $M(t) = E[N(t)] = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \Pr(N(t) \geq k) = \sum_{k \geq 1} F_k(t)$  AND  $E[X_j I'[\sum_{i \leq j-1} X_i \leq t]] = \mu F_{j-1}(t)$ ).
- $\Pr(\delta_t \geq x, \gamma_t > y) = \Pr(N(t-x) = N(t+y)) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Pr(W_k < t-x \wedge W_{k+1} > t+y) = 1 - F(t+y) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{t-x} (1 - F(t+y-z))dF_k(z)$

Poisson Process: Note that it can be viewed as a renewal process with exponential distribution  $F(x) = 1 - e^{-\lambda x}$ . It can be proved that the following properties are satisfied:

- Excess life possesses the exponential distribution  $\Pr(\gamma_t \leq x) = 1 - e^{-\lambda x}$ . This is identical to  $X$ .
- Current life follows the truncated exponential distribution 
$$\Pr(\delta_t \leq x) = \begin{cases} 1 - e^{-\lambda x} & \text{if } x < t \\ 1 & \text{if } x \geq t \end{cases}$$
.
- $\Pr(\gamma_t > x, \delta_t > y) = \Pr(\gamma_t > x)\Pr(\delta_t > y) = \begin{cases} e^{-\lambda(x+y)} & \text{if } 0 < y < t \\ 9 & \text{if } y \geq t \end{cases}$ . Hence, excess and current life is independent in poisson process.
- Mean total life  $E[\beta_t] = \frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{\lambda}(1 - e^{-\lambda t})$  is significantly larger than the mean life  $E[X_k] = \frac{1}{\lambda}$ . This paradox is known as length biased sampling, and occurs because a fixed time  $t$  is used.

Elementary Renewal Theorem: All renewal functions are asymptotically linear. Furthermore, poisson process is the only continuous-time renewal process where  $M(t)$  is exactly linear.

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M(t)}{t} = \frac{1}{E[X]}$$

2nd Term Elementary Renewal Theorem: If  $X$  is continuous r.v. with finite mean and variance, we also have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (M(t) - \frac{t}{E[X]}) = \frac{Var[X] - E[X]^2}{2E[X]^2} = \frac{E[X^2]}{2E[X]^2} - 1$$

Asymptotic Distribution of  $N(t)$ : Besides the mean, the variance of  $N(t)$  is also asymptotic to some function in  $X$ . More specifically,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Var[N(t)]}{t} = \frac{Var(x)}{E[x]^3}$ . Indeed  $N(t)$  is asymptotic to normal distribution as described below:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Pr(\frac{N(t) - t/E[X]}{\sqrt{tVar[x]/E[x]^3}} \leq x) = \phi(x)$$

Limiting Distributions of Age and Excess Life: it can be proved that the excess life has the limiting distribution below.

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Pr(\gamma_t \leq x) = \frac{1}{E[X]} \int_0^x (1 - F(y))dy = H(y)$$

Let  $h(y) = \frac{1}{E[X]}(1 - F(y))$  be the corresponding pdf. Then,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E[\gamma_t] = \frac{1}{E[X]} \int_0^{\infty} y(1 - F(y))dy = \frac{E[X^2]}{2E[X]} = \frac{Var[X] + E[X]^2}{2E[X]}$$

Notice that  $\gamma_t \geq x$  and  $\delta_t \geq y$  iff  $\gamma_t - y \geq x + y$ . Hence,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Pr(\delta_t \geq y) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Pr(\gamma_{t-y} \geq y) = 1 - H(y)$$

Therefore,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Pr(\delta_t \leq y) = H(y)$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E[\delta_t] = \frac{Var[X] + E[X]^2}{2E[X]}$

Delayed Renewal Process: Renewal process where  $X_2, X_3, \dots$  are identically distributed with cdf  $F$ , while  $X_1$  has a different pdf  $G$ . Denote  $M_D(t)$  as the expected value of  $N(t)$  in this delayed renewal process. Then, two properties below are satisfied:

$$\bullet \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M_D(t)}{t} = \frac{1}{E[X_2]}$$

$$\bullet \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} M_D(t) - M_D(t-h) = \frac{h}{E[X_2]}$$

Stationary Renewal Process: A delayed renewal process for which the first life has the distribution function  $G(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^x (1 - F(y))dy$ . Denote  $\gamma_t^D$  as the excess life in this delayed renewal process. Then, two properties below are satisfied:

$$\bullet M_D(t) = \frac{t}{E[X_2]}$$

$$\bullet \Pr(\gamma_t^D \leq x) = G(x)$$

#### 4 Brownian Motion and Gaussian Process

Postulates of Brownian Motion:

- $B(t)$  is the  $y$  component (as a function of time) of a particle in Brownian motion.
- The probability  $\Pr(B(t+t_0) = y \mid B(t_0) = x) = p(y, t \mid x)$  is independent of  $t_0$ .

$$\bullet p(y, t \mid x) \geq 0 \text{ and } \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(y, t \mid x)dy = 1.$$

$$\bullet B(t_0 + t) \text{ should be near } B(t_0) \text{ for small } t. \text{ Formally, } \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} p(y, t \mid x) = 0 \text{ for } y \neq x$$

Note that from physical principles, it's proved that  $p(y, t|x)$  must satisfy the diffusion equation:  $\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial x^2}$ . From this, we'll have  $p(y, t \mid x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2 t}} \exp(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2 t}(y-x)^2)$ .

Properties of Brownian Motion:

- $B(s+t) - B(s)$  is a normal distribution with mean 0 and variance  $\sigma^2 t$ , where  $\sigma^2$  is a fixed parameter (diffusion coefficient).
- For disjoint interval  $(t_1, t_2], (t_3, t_4]$ , the increments  $B(t_4) - B(t_3)$  and  $B(t_2) - B(t_1)$  are independent.

$$\bullet B(0) = 0 \text{ and } B(t) \text{ is a continuous function in } t$$

$$\bullet Cov[B(s), B(t)] = \sigma^2 \min(s, t)$$

Invariance Principle: Let  $S_n = \xi_1 + \dots + \xi_n$  where  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n$  are i.i.d with zero means and unit variance. As a function of continuous variable, define

$$B_n(t) = \frac{S_{[nt]}}{\sqrt{n}}$$

By CLT, it's reasonable to believe that  $B_n(t)$  should behave much like a standard Brownian motion when  $n$  is large. The convergence of such sequence to a standard Brownian motion is termed the invariance principle.

Multivariate Normal Distribution Random vector  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  is a multivariate normal distribution if for every  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $\sum \alpha_i X_i$  is univariate normal distribution. Note that multivariate normal distribution is specified by two (sets of) params:  $\{\mu_i = E[X_i], \Gamma_{ij} = \text{Cov}(X_i, X_j)\}$ .

Gaussian Process Let  $T$  abstract set. A stochastic process  $\{X(t)\}$  is a gaussian process if for every finite subset  $\{t_i\}_{i \leq n}$  of  $T$ , the random vector  $(X(t_i))_{i \leq n}$  has a multivariate normal distribution. Then, the following properties are satisfied:

- It can be described uniquely by two functions,  $\mu(t) = E[X(t)]$  and  $\Gamma(s, t) = E[(X(s) - \mu(s))(X(t) - \mu(t))]$

- The covariance function  $\Gamma$  is positive definite, in a sense that  $\forall n \geq 1, \alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}, t_i \in T$ , we have  $\sum_{i \leq n} \sum_{j \leq n} \alpha_i \alpha_j \Gamma(t_i, t_j) \geq 0$

### 5 Examples

Shot Noise. Arrive P-Proc rate  $\lambda$ , which intensity after  $x$  time is  $h(x)$ . Intensity of current at  $t$  is shot noise  $I(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{X(t)} h(t - W_k)$ .

Define  $U_j$  iid uniform; define  $\epsilon_k = h(U_k), k \geq 1$ . Then  $I(t) = S(t) := \sum_{i \leq X(t)} \epsilon_i$ . We can then get  $E[I(t)] = \lambda \int_0^t h(u)du$  and  $Var[I(t)] = \lambda t E(h^2(U_1))$ .

Sum Quota Sampling. Estimate mean service life of a part, given a preassigned TIME quota  $t$ . Define  $N(t)$  is max  $n$  such that  $\sum_{i \leq n} X_i < t$ . The “natural” sample mean  $\bar{X}$  is  $\sum_{i \leq n} X_i / N(t)$ . Note that we must assume  $N(t) > 0$ . Computation can be carried out when  $X$  exponential distribution with common parameter  $\lambda$ .

Key is use theorem to evaluate that  $E(W|N(t) = n) = tn/(n+1)$ , and  $E(W_{N(t)}/N_t|N(t) > 0) \text{ is } 1/\lambda \cdot (1 - \lambda t/(e^{\lambda t} - 1))$ . Expressing this as a ratio to the mean bias  $EX_1 = 1/\lambda$ , it equals  $\frac{EX_1 - E\bar{X}}{EX_1} = \lambda t/e^{\lambda t} - 1$ . Name the fraction that solely depends on  $\lambda t$  a special term called “fraction bias”. The values are (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 10) to (0.58, 0.31, 0.16, 0.07, 0.03, 0.015, 0.0005).

Example: if  $N(t) = 3$  and sample mean is  $\bar{X} = 7$ , a more accurate estimate is  $7/0.84$  (sample/(1-fraction bias)).

Population Processes. Model is  $\lambda_n := n\lambda$  when  $n < K$  and 0 when  $n \geq K$  ( $K$  is carrying capacity). Mean time to extinction is  $\omega_1 = \sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{1}{\lambda_i p_i} = \frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{i \geq 1}^K \frac{1}{i} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right)^{i-1}$ .

Mean generations  $M_g = \lambda \cdot \omega_1$ , (when  $K > 100$ )  $M_g \sim 1/\theta \ln(1/(1 - \theta))$  if  $\theta < 1$ ,  $0.57721157 + \ln K$  for  $\theta = 1$  and  $1/K(\theta^k/(\theta - 1))$  for  $\theta > 1$ .

Block Replacement. Light bulb, whose life (discrete units), is r.v.  $X$  where  $P[X = k] = p_k$  for  $k \geq 1$ . Due to scaling, may better replace it at blocks (after a certain specified time), whether or not it is broken [ofc, we also replace it when it breaks before that specified time].

Mean total cost (of 1 bulb) during the (whole) cycle is  $c_1 + c_2 \cdot M(K-1)$ , where  $M(K-1) = E[N(K-1)]$  is mean num of failure-replacements up to  $K-1$  (when  $K$  is the replacement time, with each cycle consisting of  $K$  periods).

$$M(n) = \sum_{k \geq n+1} p_k(0) + \sum_{k=1}^n p_k[1 + M(n-k)] = F_X(n) + \sum_{k \leq n} p_k M(n-k).$$

Age Replacement. Replaced at  $\min(\text{failure}/\text{age } T)$ . Mean-renewal-duration is  $\mu_T = \int_0^{\infty} [1 - F_T(x)]dx = \int_0^T [1 - F(x)]dx < \mu$ .

If  $\{Y_i\}$  time between actual failures, e.g.  $Y_1 \sim NT + Z$  where  $P(N \geq k) = [1 - F(T)]^k$  and  $P(Z \leq z) = F(z)/F(T)$ ,  $0 \leq z \leq T$ ). We have  $E[Y_1] = \mu_T/F(T)$ .

If each repl (whether planned/not) costs  $\$K$  + each failure have penalty of  $\$c$ . Long-run-mean-cost-per-unit-time is:

$$C(T) = \frac{K}{\mu_T} + \frac{c}{EY_1} = \frac{K + cF(T)}{\int_0^T [1 - F(x)]dx}$$

Replacement Model. Assume replacement is not instantaneous. Let  $Y_i$  and  $Z_i$  be the operating time and lag period respectively. Sequence of times between successive replacements  $X_k = Y_k + Z_k$  is a renewal process. Prob. that the system is in operation at a specific time will converge to  $\frac{E[Y_1]}{E[X_1]}$

Risk Theory.  $W(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{N(t)+1} Y_k$  represents the cumulative amount claimed up to time  $t$ , then the long-run-mean-claim-rate is:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E[W(t)]}{t} = \frac{E[Y_1]}{E[X_1]}$$