# K-Pop Data Analysis

Christine P. Chai cpchai21@gmail.com

April 26, 2025

Starting in 2024.

## **Executive Summary**

Write something here

## Disclaimer

This manuscript is written solely by the author, not by ChatGPT or any other generative AI. The opinions and views expressed in this manuscript are those of the author, and do not necessarily state or reflect those of any institution or government entity.

## 1 Introduction

Important: Write about why K-pop music is so popular across the globe.

K-pop music (Korean popular music) has emerged popularity worldwide since the early 2010's (Khiun, 2013; Sun, 2022). K-pop's international popularity stems from its appealing music, eye-catching performances, and the strategic use of social media, fostering a global community of dedicated fans who actively promote the artists and genre (Kim and Kwon, 2022; Chen, 2023). Therefore, K-pop enjoys fame not only across East Asian countries like China and Thailand (Malik, 2023), but also in the United States<sup>1</sup> and Western Europe<sup>2</sup> (Miroudot, 2024).

The paragraph above is too short. Need to add more content.

#### Then write about the author's motivation

The author became interested in K-pop music from the debut of Tzuyu (Chou Tzu-Yu, 周子瑜).<sup>3</sup> Tzuyu is originally from Taiwan, the country in which the author grew up. In 2015, Tzuyu participated in the South Korean reality television show SIXTEEN,<sup>4</sup> and eventually got added to the newly-formed girl group TWICE.<sup>5</sup> In early 2016, Tzuyu was forced to apologize after she raised the Taiwan flag in a Korean entertainment

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>https://bit.ly/4iIHpor

 $<sup>^2</sup> https://nolae.eu/blogs/news/diese-k-pop-konzerte-werden-2025-in-europa-stattfinden$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tzuyu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sixteen\_(TV\_program)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Twice

show.<sup>6</sup> The flag controversy incident made headline news in Taiwan,<sup>7</sup> and it was estimated to bring in 500,000 votes for the 2016 Taiwan presidential election.<sup>8</sup>

## Unfinished below

Then in 2017, another Taiwanese girl, Snowbaby (蔡瑞雪),<sup>9</sup> joined the Korean live reality show *Idol School* (偶像學校).<sup>10</sup> At the end, the show would select nine winners to form the girl group *fromis\_9*.<sup>11</sup> This also generated lots of discussion in the Mandarin-speaking community.<sup>12</sup> Although Snowbaby was eliminated in the middle of the show, she still deserves praise for her courage to participate as an international contestant.<sup>13</sup> Since Snowbaby graduated from the same high school as the author did,<sup>14</sup> Snowbaby's experience motivated the author to learn more about K-pop.

Survivorship bias is prevalent in the entertainment industry, and the K-pop genre is no exception (Lockwood, 2021). To become a K-pop idol in Korea, aspiring kids usually start as a trainee at an entertainment company in their early teens (Lee and Jin, 2019). The trainees take vocal and dance lessons, and the training process is intense and extremely competitive (Kang, 2017; Lee, 2024). (No wonder the K-pop artist performances are beautiful and well-rehearsed (Kim et al., 2021).) Very few trainees can eventually get selected to debut and perform on stage as the company's official group (Han and Pothong, 2021), and even fewer K-pop performers can achieve iconic status (Min, 2024). While a performing group enjoys the spotlight and fame, the group typically lasts only a few years before the contract ends (Cho et al., 2023).

K-pop overcrowded market (Liu, 2025).

(a lot more content here)

Need a transition paragraph to explain what we are doing in this analysis

Important: Write about the K-pop scandal revealed in 2019 and later.

In 2019, the author stopped following the shows produced by Mnet because ...

We focus on the ratings directly given by the vocal and dance instructors, rather than the published number of audience votes.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mnet vote manipulation investigation

A K-pop vote manipulation scandal was surprisingly revealed in 2019, starting with the  $Produce~X~101^{15}$  and the mysterious 29,978 number. After the producer Mnet published the number of votes each contestant received, people calculated the difference between rankings and noticed a strange pattern of the numbers. The difference was exactly 29,978 votes for five intervals among the rankings from 1st to 10th. The pattern seemed generated by some mathematical function, and it was nearly impossible to be a coincidence. Hence people suspected that the voting results were manipulated by Mnet.  $^{18}$ 

Finally, Mnet admitted to manipulating the votes in the *Produce 101* series and the subsequent reality shows, including *Idol School.*<sup>19</sup> The show producers rigged the votes in return for financial favors, resulting in not

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>https://bit.ly/3DOcNlP

 $<sup>^7 \</sup>mathrm{https://bit.ly/4k5j7ps}$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>https://bit.ly/3CUQWsK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>Snowbaby's YouTube channel: https://www.youtube.com/@snowbaby

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Idol\_School\_(2017\_TV\_series)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fromis\_9

 $<sup>^{12}</sup>$ https://www.epochtimes.com/b5/17/7/2/n9346573.htm

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>https://bit.ly/41p3pym

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup>https://bit.ly/424u3gv

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Produce\_X\_101

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup>https://www.koreaboo.com/news/produce-x-101-rigged-votes-final-members/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mnet\_(TV\_channel)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup>https://bit.ly/4iWtPh0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup>https://www.popdaily.com.tw/korea/846603

only unfair competition but also employment fraud (Lee and Zhang, 2021). These entertainment agency representatives were charged with bribery, fraud, and sabotage (Yoshimitsu, 2020).

Idol School: Vote Manipulation Investigation (2019) https://www.ptt.cc/bbs/KoreaStar/M.1624467107.A.D7F.html

What was the penalty of these entertainment agency representatives? https://www.ptt.cc/bbs/KoreaStar/M.1680484737.A.28A.html

Need academic citations, not just news links.

Impact on the K-pop industry

Impact on the trainees who lost the chance to debut

After the 2019 scandal, Mnet has been under controversy but is still actively producing K-pop dance survival shows.<sup>20</sup> Recent works of Mnet include *Kingdom: Legendary War* (2021)<sup>21</sup> and *Stage Fighter* (2024).<sup>22</sup>

#### 1.1 Technical Narrative

This manuscript is created using R Markdown (Allaire et al., 2024)<sup>23</sup> for reproducible data analysis, just like our earlier technical report about the education in Taiwan (Chai, 2024). We have posted our code and data on GitHub,<sup>24</sup> so readers can download the GitHub repository and play with the script themselves.

The rest of this manuscript is organized as follows.

e.g. Chapter 23 does something.

## 2 Idol School Dataset (2017)

Idol School (偶像學校) (2017)

Emphasize that *Idol School* did not require vocal or dance experience and was willing to train the participants from scratch. Despite the low barrier to entry, many participants in the reality show had previously trained under various entertainment companies.

In the live reality show  $Idol\ School$ , nine winners were selected to form the girl group  $fromis\_9.^{25}$  This girl group debuted in 2018 and remained active until the contract with Pledis Entertainment ended in 2024. In January 2025, five members of the group signed a new contract with ASND.<sup>26</sup>

Need to write the data description

Wikipedia data: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List of Idol School contestants

### 2.1 Read in the *Idol School* Dataset

We manually copy-pasted the contestant data from Wikipedia into a Microsoft Excel workbook (.xlsx), and used the R package readxl (Wickham and Bryan, 2023) to load the dataset. A main advantage of .xlsx over .csv is that we can have multiple data sheets in the same Excel file for consolidation. Moreover, Excel supports Chinese characters, so we can also include the Chinese names of each contestant. Since the English

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup>https://www.ptt.cc/bbs/KoreaStar/M.1618588754.A.7C9.html

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kingdom:\_Legendary\_War

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Stage\_Fighter

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup>https://rmarkdown.rstudio.com/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup>https://github.com/star1327p/K-Pop-Dataset

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fromis\_9

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup>https://kpop.fandom.com/wiki/ASND

translation of Korean names look similar to each other (Kim, 2020), we also include the date of birth (DOB) to make it easier to uniquely identify each contestant. For those who are able to read Chinese, we put each contestant's name in Chinese characters as well.

Specify the column names we included, also the column names we printed here.

Add the metadata in the Excel file or the Appendix ?!

Currently I prefer adding the metadata in the Excel file for proximity to the data itself.

Show the first 10 records as a snapshot of the dataset.

```
## # A tibble: 10 x 7
##
     Name_Chn Name_Eng
                              DOB
                                         Vocal Dance Physical Overall
                                                        <dbl>
##
      <chr>>
              <chr>
                              <date>
                                         <dbl> <dbl>
                                                                <dbl>
   1 NATTY
##
              NATTY
                              2002-05-30
                                           9.8
                                                 8
                                                          8.1
                                                                 8.63
##
   2 劉怡伶
              Tasha
                              1993-10-11
                                           8
                                                 9.5
                                                          8
                                                                 8.5
   3 李采映
              Lee Chae Young 2000-05-14
                                           8.5
                                                 8.5
                                                          7.5
                                                                 8.17
##
##
   4 宋河英
              Song Ha Young 1997-09-29
                                           8.6
                                                 5.9
                                                          9.8
                                                                 8.1
                                                                 7.73
##
   5 金恩書
              Kim Eun Suh
                                           6.3
                                                 6.9
                                                         10
                              2000-11-14
                                                                 7.2
##
   6 金明智
              Kim Myong Ji
                              1997-10-09
                                           5.5
                                                 7.9
                                                          8.2
               Jang Gyuri
                                           7.2
                                                 7.1
                                                          7
                                                                 7.1
##
   7 張圭俐
                              1997-12-27
##
              Park Sun
                              2004-05-25
                                           9.5
                                                 6.1
                                                          5.5
                                                                 7.03
   8 朴宣
## 9 李悠汀
              Lee Yoo Jeong
                              1997-02-26
                                           5.8
                                                 6.2
                                                          9
                                                                 7
## 10 金娜妍
               Kim Na Yeon
                              1996-05-15
                                                 6
                                                          6.4
                                           8.3
                                                                 6.9
```

Explain why we removed the 41st contestant whose scores were all zeros.

The 41st contestant, Som Hye In (慎惠仁), left the *Idol School* show due to health reasons. She was unable to complete the basic test, so her score was zero in all three categories (vocal, dance, and physical).

```
# UNFINISHED HERE
# We MUST remove the 41st contestant's scores (all zeros)!!
idol_school = idol_school[1:40,]
```

#### 2.2 *Idol School*: Exploratory Data Analysis

Context: Write about how the vocal, dance, and physical scores were evaluated.

Physical testing contains a group exercise and an individual exercise.

Also mention the top performers in overall scores and in each category.

Most of the top performers had experience as a trainee under an entertainment company, and some even had debuted before.

#### Then why did they join the Idol School reality show?

Unfortunately, Snowbaby (蔡瑞雪) did not do well.

Snowbaby had not received any vocal or dance training in K-pop, so she was a complete beginner in the show.

#### What changes did we make from the Wikipedia data?

Our presumption is that in each category, no two contestants should have the same score. However, after sorting the *Idol School* data by the physical scores, we found two 3.5's and two 1.2's. Especially that the two 3.5's belong to top-ranked contestants Bae Eun Yeong (裴思英) and Park Ji Won (朴池原), this issue quickly caught our attention to make corrections to the data.

#### Physical: We found two 3.5's and two 1.2's after sorting the scores.

In the video clip, Park Ji Won (林沧原) and her partner were the first runner-up in the group physical exercise.<sup>27</sup> We are surprised that Ji Won's physical score was only 3.5. According to the video's score table for contestants ranked 11th to 20th,<sup>28</sup> Ji Won's physical score should be 6.2. The Wikipedia table shows an inconsistency in Ji Won's overall score, i.e., the average across the three categories. Ji Won's vocal score was 7.9, and her dance score was 5. These numbers seem to be reasonable for Ji Won, because she is known for excellent singing and good dancing as a performer.<sup>29</sup> Therefore, we assume both scores to be correct. If the physical score had really been 3.5, then Ji Won's overall score would be 5.47, dropping her from 13th place to the 18th. If the overall score of 6.37 had been correct, then Ji Won's physical score should be 6.2. The second scenario is more likely to be true, given the evidence we found in the video clip. Hence we corrected Ji Won's physical score to 6.2.

### Physical: We found additional two 1.2's after sorting the scores.

The two 1.2 scores are more difficult to check for the underlying values, probably because they occurred in two contestants of lower ranking.<sup>30</sup> The two contestants, Jessica Lee (李瑟) and Michelle White (懷特·米雪兒), ranked in the lower half of all 41 contestants in terms of the overall ability test. Both of them got eliminated in the first round, so they did not receive much attention in the show. With the help of Google Translate,<sup>31</sup> we were able to translate the image of Korean text to (readable) English. Finally, we discovered that Michelle White's physical score should be 1.3, not 1.2.

Idol School (2017): Videos with subtitles in Simplified Chinese are available on the Bilibili platform.<sup>32</sup>

#### Screenshots saved:

https://github.com/star1327p/K-Pop-Dataset/tree/main/Idol School Rating Screenshots

#### Still need to write the description

```
vocal_sorted = sort(idol_school$Vocal, decreasing = TRUE)
dance_sorted = sort(idol_school$Dance, decreasing = TRUE)
physical_sorted = sort(idol_school$Physical, decreasing = TRUE)

# UNFINISHED HERE
combined_all_three = cbind(vocal_sorted, dance_sorted, physical_sorted)
sorted_scores_df = as.data.frame(combined_all_three)
```

Test

 $<sup>^{27}\</sup>mathrm{Screenshot}$  of the group physical exercise: <code>https://bit.ly/4a7QT9m</code>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup>https://bit.ly/400KUhH

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup>Park Ji Won was the main vocalist in *fromis\_9*. https://bit.ly/402yCFI

 $<sup>^{30} \</sup>rm Physical\ scores\ of\ all\ contestants\ in\ \emph{Idol\ School}:\ https://bit.ly/3DRNK0Z$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup>https://translate.google.com/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup>https://www.bilibili.com/video/BV1554y1C7wj/

#### sorted\_scores\_df[1:10,]

```
vocal_sorted dance_sorted physical_sorted
##
## 1
               9.8
                            9.5
                                           10.0
## 2
               9.5
                            9.3
                                            9.8
## 3
               8.6
                            9.0
                                            9.0
## 4
               8.5
                            8.5
                                            8.7
## 5
               8.3
                            8.4
                                            8.2
## 6
               8.0
                            8.0
                                            8.1
## 7
               7.9
                            7.9
                                            8.0
## 8
               7.2
                            7.5
                                            7.5
## 9
               7.0
                            7.4
                                            7.0
## 10
               6.5
                            7.1
                                            6.5
```

Test

```
sorted_scores_df[31:40,]
```

```
vocal_sorted dance_sorted physical_sorted
##
## 31
               2.5
                            3.6
## 32
               2.2
                            3.5
                                             1.5
## 33
               2.1
                            3.3
                                             1.3
               2.0
## 34
                            3.2
                                             1.2
## 35
               1.5
                            3.1
                                            1.0
## 36
               1.4
                            3.0
                                            0.9
## 37
               1.3
                            2.6
                                            0.8
## 38
               1.2
                            2.2
                                            0.7
## 39
                                            0.6
               1.1
                            2.0
## 40
               1.0
                            1.0
                                            0.4
```

## 2.2.1 Summary Statistics

#### Check for the mean and median of each category score

Look at the five-number summary ?! http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Five-number\_summary

Five numbers = min, 1st quartile, median, 3rd quartile, max.

Add: mean

```
# Combine all three summary tables
vocal_summary = summary(idol_school$Vocal)
dance_summary = summary(idol_school$Dance)
physical_summary = summary(idol_school$Physical)

score_summary = rbind(vocal_summary, dance_summary, physical_summary)
row.names(score_summary) = c("Vocal", "Dance", "Physical")
print(score_summary)
```

```
## Vocal 1.0 2.875 4.95 4.8850 6.425 9.8
## Dance 1.0 3.825 5.55 5.4850 7.025 9.5
## Physical 0.4 1.675 3.25 4.1925 6.425 10.0
```

Shall we create a **box plot** using ggplot2 to compare the three sets of scores? https://www.sthda.com/english/wiki/ggplot2-box-plot-quick-start-guide-r-software-and-data-visualization

#### 2.2.2 Correlation between Vocal, Dance, and Physical Scores

We can obtain the pairwise correlation coefficients of each category. There is a positive association between the vocal, dance, and physical scores. We round each number to three decimal places.

```
## Correlation of vocal and dance: 0.645
## Correlation of dance and physical: 0.509
## Correlation of vocal and physical: 0.664
```

Alternatively, we can also compute the correlation matrix for the three score categories (variables). The diagonal elements are always exactly 1 – because they represent the correlation of a variable with itself, which is a perfect positive correlation. The off-diagonal elements indicate the correlation coefficient between different categories.

```
round(cor(idol_school[,c("Vocal","Dance","Physical")]), 3)
```

```
## Vocal Dance Physical
## Vocal 1.000 0.645 0.664
## Dance 0.645 1.000 0.509
## Physical 0.664 0.509 1.000
```

Create the scatterplots and/or correlation plots!

Use ggplot or not ?!

Need to explain the correlation coefficients and the K-pop context.

The training at a K-pop entertainment company in Korea usually includes vocal and dance lessons (Padget, 2017), so it is reasonable to see a high correlation between vocal and dance scores. Theoretically dance and physical should be highly correlated (Ngo et al., 2024), but in the Idol School dataset, we observed a slightly lower correlation in dance vs physical than in dance vs vocal. Physical strength is essential to dancing, but dance also includes other critical elements such as technique and aesthetic expression (Geukes et al., 2023).

Note that some contestants with a remarkably high score in dance but a low score in physical:

```
e.g. Bae Eun Yeong (裴恩英): Dance 9.3 (second place) and Physical 3.5 e.g. Lee Hae In (李海印): Dance 8.4 and Physical 1.8
```

Or because too many contestants did not do well in the physical part?!

Evidence: Median in physical score is lower than the median in vocal or dance.

With a few exceptions:

```
e.g. Jo Yuri (曹柔理): Dance 2.2 and Physical 5.9
Jo Yuri was a long jump athlete during her school years.
```

e.g. Song Ha Young (宋河英): Dance 5.9 and Physical 9.8 (second place)
Song Ha Young was a certified aerial yoga instructor before she first appeared in the *Idol School* reality show.

Do more analysis to the Idol School data!

#### 2.3 Idol School: Additional Resources

Students who were eliminated from the show: https://www.ptt.cc/bbs/fromis 9/M.1555819461.A.C73.html

In 2017, a Taiwanese blogger used random forests to predict the  $Idol\ School\ final\ ranking:\ https://shavid.pixnet.net/blog/post/331691281$ 

But this task turned out to be meaningless because the participant rankings were manipulated by the organizers.

Idol School: Vote Manipulation Investigation (2019) https://www.ptt.cc/bbs/KoreaStar/M.1624467107.A.D7F.html

## 3 Read in the *Produce 48* Dataset

Produce 48 dataset (2018)

Wikipedia data: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Produce 48

Need to write the data description

Produce 48 featured 96 contestants primarily from South Korea and Japan.

Footnote: Korea may include other countries, and the Korea-Japan split is not 1-1.

Some former contestants in *Idol School* tried again in the *Produce 48* reality show in 2018.

A total of 12 contestants were eventually selected from Produce~48 to create the time-limited girl group  $IZ*ONE,^{33}$  which was active during 2018-2021 in both Korea and Japan.

```
## # A tibble: 20 x 6
##
     Name_Chn Name_Eng
                               DOB
                                          First_Eval Second_Eval Final_Rank
      <chr>
                                                     <chr>
                                                                       <dbl>
##
               <chr>>
                               <date>
   1 張員瑛
                                                     В
##
               Jang Won Young 2004-08-31 B
                                                                          1
   2 宮脇咲良 Miyawaki Sakura 1998-03-19 A
                                                                          2
                                                     Α
   3 曹柔理
               Jo Yuri
                               2001-10-22 A
                                                     F
                                                                          3
   4 崔叡娜
               Choi Ye Na
                               1999-09-29 A
                                                     В
                                                                          4
```

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Iz\*One

##	5	安俞真	An Yu Jin	2003-09-01 B	A	5
##	6	矢吹奈子	Yabuki Nako	2001-06-18 F	A	6
##	7	權恩妃	Kwon Eun Bi	1995-09-27 A	C	7
##	8	姜惠元	Kang Hye Won	1999-07-05 F	F	8
##	9	本田仁美	Honda Hitomi	2001-10-06 C	A	9
##	10	金采源	Kim Chae Won	2000-08-01 B	В	10
##	11	金玟周	Kim Min Ju	2001-02-05 D	C	11
##	12	李彩演	Lee Chae Yeon	2000-01-11 A	A	12
##	13	韓霄瑗	Han Cho Won	2002-09-16 D	В	13
##	14	李佳恩	Lee Ka Eun	1994-08-20 A	A	14
##	15	宮崎美穂	Miyazaki Miho	1993-07-30 D	D	15
##	16	高橋朱里	Takahashi Juri	1997-10-03 B	A	16
##	17	竹内美宥	Takeuchi Miyu	1996-01-12 A	В	17
##	18	下尾美羽	Shitao Miu	2001-04-03 D	D	18
##	19	朴海允	Park Hae Yoon	1996-01-10 A	D	19
##	20	白間美瑠	Shiroma Miru	1997-10-14 B	D	20

Data entry complete for all contestants in *Produce* 48, including those who left in the middle of the show.

Create a matrix for the two sets of ratings.

For each rating, also check how many contestants are from Korea and how many are from Japan.

Jo Yuri (曹柔理):  $A \rightarrow F$ 

What about other participants?

```
# UNFINISHED HERE
produce_48_data[81:96, columns_to_show]
```

```
## # A tibble: 16 x 6
##
     Name Chn
                Name Eng
                                DOB
                                           First Eval Second Eval Final Rank
##
      <chr>
                <chr>
                                <date>
                                           <chr>>
                                                      <chr>
                                                                      <dbl>
##
   1 克利絲汀
                Alex Christine
                                1996-12-09 B
                                                      С
                                                                         82
                                                      D
                                                                         83
##
   2 栗原紗英
                                1996-06-20 F
                Kurihara Sae
##
                Cho Yeong In
                                2001-10-31 B
                                                      C
                                                                         84
   3 趙英燕
##
   4 淺井裕華
                Asai Yuuka
                                2003-11-10 F
                                                      D
                                                                         85
##
   5 安藝媛
                Ahn Ye Won
                                2001-02-10 F
                                                      F
                                                                         86
   6 內木志
                Naiki Kokoro
                                1997-04-06 D
                                                      С
                                                                         87
##
##
   7 金有彬
                Kim Yu Bin
                                2003-02-27 B
                                                      D
                                                                         88
                                                      F
                                                                         89
##
   8 趙思朗
                Cho Sa Rang
                                2003-09-05 B
                Choi So Eun
                                                      С
                                                                         90
   9 崔韶恩
                                2001-09-19 B
## 10 篠崎彩奈
                Shinozaki Ayana 1996-01-08 F
                                                      F
                                                                         91
                                                      F
## 11 元書妍
                Won Seo Yeon
                                2000-05-23 C
                                                                         92
                                                      F
## 12 月足天音
                Tsukiashi Amane 1999-10-26 F
                                                                        100
                                                      С
## 13 田中美久
                Tanaka Miku
                                2001-09-12 F
                                                                        100
                                                      X
                                                                        100
## 14 梅山戀和
                Umeyama Kokona 2003-08-07 F
## 15 植村梓
                Uemura Azusa
                                1999-02-04 F
                                                      Х
                                                                        100
## 16 松井珠理奈 Matsui Jurina
                                1997-03-08 B
                                                      В
                                                                        100
```

#### Nationality

```
# UNFINISHED HERE
table(produce_48_data$Country)
```

```
##
                       USA
## China Japan Korea
##
       2
            39
First Evaluation
table(produce_48_data$First_Eval, dnn="First_Eval")
## First_Eval
## A B C D F
## 15 25 22 15 19
Second Evaluation
table(produce_48_data$Second_Eval, dnn="Second_Eval")
## Second_Eval
## A B C D F X
## 14 20 22 16 22 2
Cross-table: First_Eval as row, and Second_Eval as column
table(produce_48_data$First_Eval, produce_48_data$Second_Eval,
      dnn=c("First_Eval", "Second_Eval"))
##
             {\tt Second\_Eval}
## First_Eval A B C D F X
            A 6 3 4 1 1 0
            B 4 8 5 5 3 0
##
##
            C 3 6 4 3 6 0
##
            D 0 3 5 3 4 0
##
            F 1 0 4 4 8 2
    Tentative Placeholders
4
Write something here
```

## 4.1 Test for Non-English Characters

思い出にするにはまだ早すぎる

```
CJK = Chinese, Japanese, Korean
Chinese example
RStudio 有辦法打中文嗎?

print(" 大家好,很高興能認識你們!")

## [1] "大家好,很高興能認識你們!"
Japanese example
```

```
print(" みやわき さくら")

## [1] "みやわき さくら"

print(" 宮脇 咲良")

## [1] "宮脇 咲良"
```

This template does not support Korean characters yet.

#### 4.2 R Markdown Narrative

This is an R Markdown document. Markdown is a simple formatting syntax for authoring HTML, PDF, and MS Word documents. For more details on using R Markdown see http://rmarkdown.rstudio.com.

When you click the  $\mathbf{Knit}$  button a document will be generated that includes both content as well as the output of any embedded R code chunks within the document. You can embed an R code chunk like this:

#### summary(cars)

```
##
        speed
                         dist
##
           : 4.0
                           : 2.00
    Min.
                    1st Qu.: 26.00
##
    1st Qu.:12.0
##
    Median:15.0
                    Median : 36.00
                           : 42.98
##
    Mean
            :15.4
                    Mean
##
    3rd Qu.:19.0
                    3rd Qu.: 56.00
            :25.0
                           :120.00
##
    Max.
                    Max.
```

## 4.3 Including Plots

You can also embed plots, for example in Figure 1:




Figure 1: Test Plot

Note that the echo = FALSE parameter was added to the code chunk to prevent printing of the R code that generated the plot.

## Acknowledgments

The author is immensely grateful to her significant other, Hugh Hendrickson, for providing his support in the author's professional development.

Technical discussions: Cheng-Shun Liu (劉承順) and Chih-Kuang Lee (李治廣, Kevin).

## References

Allaire, J., Xie, Y., Dervieux, C., McPherson, J., Luraschi, J., Ushey, K., Atkins, A., Wickham, H., Cheng, J., Chang, W., and Iannone, R. (2024). *rmarkdown: Dynamic Documents for R.* R package version 2.29. https://github.com/rstudio/rmarkdown.

Chai, C. P. (2024). Statistical analysis of high school and college entrance exam scores in Taiwan with online data. *Preprint on ResearchGate*. http://dx.doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.29468.91520/1.

Chen, I. (2023). Expansion of K-pop in the global market. American Journal of Student Research, 1(1):1–6. https://doi.org/10.70251/hyjr2348.1115.

Cho, J., Bian, Y., and Lee, J. (2023). Leading digital business model transformation in the K-pop industry: The case of SM Entertainment. *Asia Pacific Business Review*, 29(5):1394–1424. https://doi.org/10.1080/13602381.2023.2229761.

Geukes, K., Hecht, V., Utesch, T., Bläsing, B., and Back, M. (2023). Mirror, mirror on the wall, who is the fairest dancer of them all? A naturalistic lens model study on the judgment of dance performance. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, 67:102436. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2023.102436.

- Han, C. and Pothong, A. (2021). K-pop's ingredients of success. *Journal of Student Research*, 10(2):1–11. https://doi.org/10.47611/jsrhs.v10i2.1431.
- Kang, J. M. (2017). Rediscovering the idols: K-pop idols behind the mask. *Celebrity Studies*, 8(1):136–141. https://doi.org/10.1080/19392397.2016.1272859.
- Khiun, L. K. (2013). K-Pop dance trackers and cover dancers: Global cosmopolitanization and local spatialization. In *The Korean Wave*, pages 165–181. Routledge, London, United Kingdom. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315859064.
- Kim, J. and Kwon, S.-H. (2022). K-pop's global success and its innovative production system. *Sustainability*, 14(17):11101. https://doi.org/10.3390/su141711101.
- Kim, J.-h., Jung, S.-h., Roh, J.-s., and Choi, H.-j. (2021). Success factors and sustainability of the K-pop industry: A structural equation model and fuzzy set analysis. *Sustainability*, 13(11):5927. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13115927.
- Kim, J.-M. (2020). The linguistics of name translation: Preferred personal and business names in English, Korean, and Chinese. *Names: A Journal of Onomastics*, 68(2):104–124. https://doi.org/10.1080/00277738.2020.1731242.
- Lee, G. T. (2024). The establishment of K-Pop: K-Pop's main characteristics. In *The Palgrave Handbook of Critical Music Industry Studies*, pages 293–313. Springer, Cham, Switzerland. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-64013-1 18.
- Lee, H. J. and Jin, K. Y. Y. (2019). K-Pop Idols: Popular Culture and the Emergence of the Korean Music Industry. Lexington Books, Lanham MD, United States. https://rowman.com/isbn/9781498588263.
- Lee, H.-K. and Zhang, X. (2021). The Korean wave as a source of implicit cultural policy: Making of a neoliberal subjectivity in a Korean style. *International Journal of Cultural Studies*, 24(3):521–537. https://doi.org/10.1177/1367877920961108.
- Liu, J. (2025). K-pop's overcrowded market: Analyzing the effects of excessive debuts on industry revenue and growth. Law and Economy, 4(2):32–43. https://www.paradigmpress.org/le/article/view/1544.
- Lockwood, D. (2021). Fooled by the winners: How survivor bias deceives us. Greenleaf Book Group, Austin TX, United States. https://greenleafbookgroup.com/titles/fooled-by-the-winners.
- Malik, T. H. (2023). K-pop music diffusion in Korea and East Asia: The convergence of visual technology and concrete narratives. Asia Pacific Business Review, 29(5):1251–1274. https://doi.org/10.1080/13602381. 2023.2237908.
- Min, B.-S. (2024). The K-pop industry: Competitiveness and sustainability. *International Journal of Cultural Policy*, pages 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1080/10286632.2024.2366979.
- Miroudot, S. (2024). What's behind the 'K'? Common audio features of Korean popular music before and after the rise of K-pop. *Popular Music*, 0(0):1–22. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0261143024000187.
- Ngo, J. K., Lu, J., Cloak, R., Wong, D. P., Devonport, T., and Wyon, M. A. (2024). Strength and conditioning in dance: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *European Journal of Sport Science*, 24(6):637–652. https://doi.org/10.1002/ejsc.12111.
- Padget, F. (2017). What are the difficulties of being a Korean pop idol and to what extent do they outweigh the benefits? San Francisco, CA: Academia. edu-Share Research.
- Sun, Y. (2022). Identifying the factors leading to the globalization of K-Pop. In 2022 International Conference on Science Education and Art Appreciation (SEAA 2022), pages 769–776, Amsterdam, Netherlands. Atlantis Press (part of Springer Nature). https://doi.org/10.2991/978-2-494069-05-3 94.

Wickham, H. and Bryan, J. (2023). *readxl: Read Excel Files.* R package version 1.4.3. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=readxl.

Yoshimitsu, M. (2020). Affective economics in the east Asian media and entertainment industry: Comparative case studies of music competition television series. *Review of East Asian Affairs*, 3(12). Institute of East Asian Studies, University of Nagasaki. http://54.64.218.135/dspace/bitstream/10561/1602/1/v12p83\_yoshimitsu.pdf.