

USER ACCEPTANCE TEST QUESTIONNAIRE

1. Repondent's profile

Name: _____

2. User Acceptance Testing

Scale	Interpretation
5	Strongly Agree
4	Agree
3	Neutral
2	Disagree
1	Strongly Disagree

Strongly Agree (5) - complete agreement that the system is functioning excellently in terms of usability and functionality.

Agree (4) – mostly agree that the system is functioning well in terms of usability and functionality.

Neutral (3) – somewhat agree that the system is functioning well and moderately good in terms of usability and functionality.

Disagree (2) – mostly disagree that the system is functioning fairly in terms of usability and functionality.

Strongly Disagree (1) – complete agreement that the system is functioning poorly in terms of usability and functionality.

General Instructions: Kindly encircle the number of your choice based on the rating scale.

Usability

1	The system interface is simple, intuitive, and easy to navigate even for first-time users.	5	4	3	2	1
2	The viewing of requested documents was efficient and provides proper restriction for downloads.	5	4	3	2	1
3	The submission process for student research outputs is straightforward and clear.	5	4	3	2	1
4	The system provides clear and helpful feedback (e.g., success messages, error prompts) for my actions.	5	4	3	2	1
5	The borrowing and access request process is simple and clearly guided by the system.	5	4	3	2	1
6	The system design (layout, buttons, and icons) makes it easy to complete tasks without confusion.	5	4	3	2	1
7	The system helps speed up the process of submission, approval, and publication compared to the manual process.	5	4	3	2	1
8	Overall, I find the system convenient and user-friendly for research or documentation tasks.	5	4	3	2	1

Functionality

1	The system successfully saves and uploads all submitted files without errors or data loss.	5	4	3	2	1
2	The approval workflow for Deans and Librarians is well-organized and easy to follow.	5	4	3	2	1
3	All process statuses (e.g., Pending, Approved, Disapproved, Published, Rejected) provide a correct and clear description.	5	4	3	2	1

4	The borrowing/access request function properly sends and records requests for librarian review.	5	4	3	2	1
5	The search and filtering functions return accurate results based on title, author, or material type.	5	4	3	2	1
6	The role-based access control ensures each user (Student, Dean, Librarian, Admin) only sees permitted functions.	5	4	3	2	1
7	The system effectively prevents unauthorized downloading or access to restricted research materials.	5	4	3	2	1
8	The system operates stably without crashes or major bugs during submission, approval, and borrowing operations.	5	4	3	2	1

Comments: (Please state your comments, suggestions and recommendations about the system)

Name and Signature of the System Evaluator

Date of Evaluation