

Locally Differentially Private Distributed Deep Learning via Knowledge Distillation2022

Arxiv Di Zhuang, Mingchen Li and J. Morris Chang, Senior Member, IEEE

Contributions

1. A fully trusted aggregator barely exists
 2. Less efficient and not scalable
 3. At least two non-colluding honest data users might not be practical
- A novel, effective and efficient privacy-preserving distributed deep learning framework using LDP and Knowledge-Distillation.
 - An active sampling approach to efficiently reduce the total number of queries from the data user to each data owners, so that to reduce the total cost of privacy budget.

Method

- Each data owner perturbs the query data's soft label (using LDP techniques)
- LDP - piecewise Mechanism

<p>Algorithm 2: Piecewise Mechanism for One-Dimensional Numerical Data (PM-ONE) [13]</p> <p>Input: tuple $z_i \in [-1, 1]$; privacy budget ϵ. Output: perturbed tuple $z'_i \in [-\Delta, \Delta]$.</p> <pre> 1 $\Delta \leftarrow \frac{e^{\epsilon/2} - 1}{e^{\epsilon/2} + 1}$; 2 $L(z_i) \leftarrow \frac{\Delta + 1}{2}$; $z_i \leftarrow \frac{\Delta - 1}{2}$; 3 $R(z_i) \leftarrow L(z_i) + \Delta - 1$; 4 Sample value v uniformly at random from $[0, 1]$; 5 if $v < \frac{e^{\epsilon/2} - 1}{e^{\epsilon/2} + 1}$ then 6 Sample z'_i uniformly at random from $[L(z_i), R(z_i)]$; 7 else 8 Sample z'_i uniformly at random from $[-\Delta, L(z_i)] \cup [R(z_i), \Delta]$; 9 return z'_i.</pre>	<p>Algorithm 3: Piecewise Mechanism for Multi-dimensional Numerical Data (PM) [13]</p> <p>Input: tuple $z \in [-1, 1]^k$; privacy budget ϵ. Output: perturbed tuple $z' \in [-k \cdot \Delta, k \cdot \Delta]^k$.</p> <pre> 1 $z' \leftarrow \langle 0, 0, \dots, 0 \rangle$; 2 $m \leftarrow \max\{1, \min\{k, \lfloor \frac{1}{\epsilon} \rfloor\}\}$; 3 Sample m values uniformly without replacement from $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$; 4 for each sampled attribute j do 5 $z'_j \leftarrow \frac{1}{m} \cdot \text{PM-ONE}(z_j, \frac{\epsilon}{m})$; 6 return z'.</pre>
--	--

- Knowledge Distillation - Active query sampling

3) For each available public data $x_i \in X_P$, evaluate it on the student model M_S . Let P_{ij} denote the probability of x_i belonging to class $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ predicted by M_S . Let $P_i = \{P_{i1}, P_{i2}, \dots, P_{ik}\}$, and suppose $\sum_{l=1}^k P_{il} = 1$. Let P_i^* be the largest value (posterior probability) in P_i . Then, repeat the procedure below for S times to select S query samples:

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_i &\leftarrow X^P \\
 P_i &\leftarrow M_S(x_i) \\
 X^Q &\leftarrow X^Q \cup \underset{x_i}{\operatorname{argmin}} \frac{1}{m-1} \sum_{l=1}^k (P_i^* - P_{il}) \quad (5) \\
 X^P &\leftarrow X^P - X^Q
 \end{aligned}$$

Then, use X^Q to query the teacher models, and use the distilled knowledge to train the student initial student model M_S .

Experimental

- CIFAR-10/MNIST/Fashion-MNIST with LDP - Piecewise mechanism /Duchi's mechanism /Laplace mechanism
- LDP-DL - (SOTA) DP-SGD/PATE/DP-FL

Datasets	CIFAR10 [16]		MNIST [17]		FashionMNIST [18]	
Approaches	Accuracy	Privacy Budget	Accuracy	Privacy Budget	Accuracy	Privacy Budget
LDP-DL	77.5%	5	98.1%	5	83.4%	5
	79.7%	8	98.8%	8	85.7%	8
DP-SGD [15]	73.0%	8	97.00%	8	-	-
	73.6%	5	97.7%	5	81.5%	5
PATE [10]	76.0%	8	98.2%	8	84.7%	8
	75.9%	5	96.4%	5	82.6%	5
DP-FL [12]	78.7%	8	97.2%	8	83.6%	8

TABLE 1: In Comparison with Existing Approaches.