

Compliance

March 1, 2026

Regulatory Architecture of Financial Systems

1 Regulation as a Layered Architecture

Financial regulation is not a single rule-set but a layered architecture composed of multiple interacting legal and supervisory mechanisms. These layers operate simultaneously and impose constraints at different abstraction levels of a financial system.

Let \mathcal{R} denote the full regulatory regime:

$$\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_{statute} \cup \mathcal{R}_{regulation} \cup \mathcal{R}_{supervision} \cup \mathcal{R}_{enforcement}$$

A system must satisfy all layers concurrently. Compliance with one layer does not imply compliance with others.

2 Primary Legislation and Delegated Authority

Primary legislation establishes broad objectives, scope, and enforcement authority. However, it rarely specifies operational details.

Let L denote primary law and $D(L)$ delegated authority:

$$D(L) \subseteq RegulatorPowerSpace$$

Delegated regulations fill in operational constraints while remaining legally binding. This separation enables regulatory adaptability without frequent legislative change.

3 Functional Regulation vs Entity-Based Regulation

Financial systems are regulated based on economic function rather than organizational label.

Let \mathcal{F} denote financial functions and \mathcal{E} legal entities.

$$\text{Regulation} = f(\mathcal{F}) \quad \text{not} \quad f(\mathcal{E})$$

If a system performs a regulated function, regulatory obligations apply regardless of how the system self-identifies.

4 Regulatory Perimeters

A regulatory perimeter defines the boundary within which regulatory obligations apply.

Let \mathcal{A} denote system activities and \mathcal{A}_r regulated activities:

$$\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{A}_r \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \text{Regulation applies}$$

Perimeters are dynamic and expand as systems evolve or new risks emerge.

5 Licensing as Access Control

Licensing is the primary access-control mechanism in financial regulation.

Let L_i denote license type i and $\mathcal{T}(L_i)$ permitted transitions:

$$\text{Transition } T \text{ allowed} \iff T \in \mathcal{T}(L_i)$$

Any action outside licensed transitions constitutes illegal operation, independent of customer harm.

6 Capital and Prudential Regulation

Certain activities require capital buffers to absorb losses and protect systemic stability.

Let C denote available capital and R risk exposure:

$$C \geq \alpha \cdot R$$

where α is a regulatory capital ratio. Capital requirements function as preventive constraints rather than loss-recovery mechanisms.

7 Conduct Regulation

Conduct regulation governs how systems interact with customers.

Let B_t denote system behavior at time t :

$$B_t \in \mathcal{B}_{fair}$$

Unfair, deceptive, or opaque behavior violates conduct rules even if economically efficient.

8 Supervisory Oversight

Supervision is the continuous evaluation of compliance rather than one-time approval.

Let S_t denote supervisory assessment:

$$S_{t+1} = S_t + \Delta S$$

Supervisory findings influence future permissions, inspections, and enforcement severity.

9 On-Site and Off-Site Supervision

Regulatory oversight includes:

- Off-site reporting and disclosures
- On-site inspections and audits

Let I denote inspection probability:

$$P(\text{Inspection}) = I(\text{RiskProfile})$$

Higher-risk systems experience increased supervisory intensity.

10 Reporting Obligations

Financial systems must periodically report standardized information.

Let \mathcal{D}_t denote reportable data at time t :

$$\mathcal{D}_t \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{mandated}$$

Incomplete, inaccurate, or delayed reporting constitutes a violation independent of underlying system correctness.

11 Regulatory Technology Neutrality

Regulation is intentionally technology-neutral. Legal obligations attach to outcomes and effects, not implementation methods.

Let I_1 and I_2 be two implementations:

$$\text{Outcome}(I_1) = \text{Outcome}(I_2) \Rightarrow \text{Regulation}(I_1) = \text{Regulation}(I_2)$$

This prevents evasion through technical novelty.

12 Interpretive Guidance and Informal Rules

Regulators issue interpretive guidance to clarify expectations.

Let G denote guidance:

$$G \notin \text{Statute} \quad \wedge \quad G \approx \text{Binding in practice}$$

Ignoring guidance increases enforcement risk even if formal violation is unclear.

13 Enforcement Architecture

Enforcement is the mechanism that converts rules into consequences.

Let V denote violation severity and E enforcement response:

$$E = f(V, \text{History}, \text{Cooperation})$$

Enforcement is path-dependent; early failures increase future scrutiny.

14 Remediation and Corrective Action

Regulators may require corrective actions rather than immediate penalties.

Let \mathcal{C} denote corrective plan:

$$\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\text{legal}}$$

Failure to implement remediation escalates enforcement severity.

15 Regulatory Arbitrage and Its Limits

Regulatory arbitrage attempts to minimize obligations through jurisdictional or structural choices.

Let J_i denote jurisdictions and $R(J_i)$ regulatory burden:

$$\min_{J_i} R(J_i)$$

However, substance-over-form doctrines and extraterritorial reach constrain arbitrage effectiveness.

16 Cross-Jurisdictional Regulation

Financial systems operating across borders face overlapping regulatory regimes.

Let \mathcal{R}_i denote regime i :

$$\mathcal{R}_{\text{total}} = \bigcap_i \mathcal{R}_i$$

The strictest applicable rule often dominates system design.

17 Regulatory Change and System Adaptation

Regulatory frameworks evolve in response to systemic events.

Let \mathcal{R}_t denote rules at time t :

$$\mathcal{R}_{t+1} = \mathcal{R}_t + \Delta\mathcal{R}$$

Systems must be designed for adaptability rather than static compliance.

18 Failure of Regulatory Architecture

Regulatory architecture fails when:

- New activities fall outside existing perimeters
- Supervision lags innovation
- Enforcement lacks deterrence

Such failures often lead to rapid rule expansion after crises.

19 Summary of Part II

This part established financial regulation as a layered, dynamic architecture combining statutory law, delegated rules, supervision, and enforcement. Regulation applies to economic function rather than technical form and is enforced through licensing, reporting, and escalating supervisory control. Compliance therefore requires continuous alignment with evolving regulatory structures rather than one-time certification.

The next part will apply this architecture to payment systems, demonstrating how abstract regulatory layers manifest as concrete operational constraints.