

Chapter 1

Spin one-half

1.0.1 Conventions

Spinors:

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} \eta \\ \xi \end{pmatrix} \tag{1.0.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \eta &= \left(1 - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{8m^2}\right) w \\ \xi &= \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p}}{2m} \left(1 - \frac{3\mathbf{p}^2}{8m^2}\right) w \end{aligned}$$

structures (in the useful representation)

$$\gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{1.0.2}$$

$$\gamma^i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_i \\ -\sigma_i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{1.0.3}$$

$$\sigma^{\mu\nu} = i\frac{1}{2}[\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu] \quad (1.0.4)$$

$$[\gamma^0, \gamma^i] = 2\gamma^0\gamma^i = 2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_i \\ \sigma_i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.0.5)$$

$$\gamma^i\gamma^j = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_i \\ -\sigma_i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_j \\ -\sigma_j & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\sigma_i\sigma_j & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma_i\sigma_j \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.0.6)$$

$$[\gamma^i, \gamma^j] = \begin{pmatrix} [\sigma_j, \sigma_i] & 0 \\ 0 & [\sigma_j, \sigma_i] \end{pmatrix} = i\epsilon_{jik} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_k & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_k \end{pmatrix} = -i\epsilon_{ijk} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_k & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_k \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.0.7)$$

1.0.2 QED calculations

First form:

$$(p + p')^\mu \bar{u}u = (p + p')^\mu (\eta^\dagger \eta - \xi^\dagger \xi) \quad (1.0.8)$$

$$= (p + p')^\mu \left\{ w^\dagger \left(1 - \frac{\mathbf{p}'^2}{8m^2} \right) \left(1 - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{8m^2} \right) w - w^\dagger \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p}'}{2m} \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p}}{2m} \right) w \right\} \quad (1.0.9)$$

$$= (p + p')^\mu w^\dagger \left(1 - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2 + \mathbf{p}'^2}{8m^2} - \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p}' \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p}}{4m^2} \right) w \quad (1.0.10)$$

Second form: For the term $\bar{u}\gamma^\mu u$ it'll be necessary to treat the spatial/time-like indices separately.

time-like

$$\bar{u}\gamma^0 u = u^\dagger u \quad (1.0.11)$$

$$= \eta^\dagger \eta + \xi^\dagger \xi \quad (1.0.12)$$

$$= w^\dagger \left(1 - \frac{\mathbf{p}'^2}{8m^2} \right) \left(1 - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{8m^2} \right) w + w^\dagger \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p}'}{2m} \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p}}{2m} \right) w \quad (1.0.13)$$

$$= w^\dagger \left(1 - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2 + \mathbf{p}'^2}{8m^2} + \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p}' \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p}}{4m^2} \right) w \quad (1.0.14)$$

spatial

$$\bar{u} \gamma^i u = u^\dagger \gamma^0 \gamma^i u \quad (1.0.15)$$

$$= \bar{u}^\dagger \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_i \\ \sigma_i & 0 \end{pmatrix} u \quad (1.0.16)$$

$$= \eta^\dagger \sigma_i \xi + \xi^\dagger \sigma_i \eta \quad (1.0.17)$$

$$= w^\dagger \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{\mathbf{p}'^2}{8m^2} \right) \sigma_k \left(1 - \frac{3\mathbf{p}^2}{8m^2} \right) - \left(1 - \frac{3\mathbf{p}'^2}{8m^2} \right) \sigma_k \left(1 - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{8m^2} \right) - \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p}' \sigma_k \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p}}{4m^2} \right\} \quad (1.0.18)$$

Third type (tensor)

$$\bar{u} \frac{i}{2m} q_j \sigma^{ij} u = \frac{i\epsilon_{ijk} q_j}{2m} \bar{u} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_k \\ \sigma_k & 0 \end{pmatrix} u \quad (1.0.19)$$

$$\frac{i\epsilon_{ijk} q_j}{2m} (\eta^\dagger \sigma_k \eta - \xi^\dagger \sigma_k \xi) \quad (1.0.20)$$

$$\frac{i\epsilon_{ijk} q_j}{2m} w^\dagger \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{\mathbf{p}'^2}{8m^2} \right) \sigma_k \left(1 - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{8m^2} \right) - \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p}' \sigma_k \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p}}{4m^2} w \right\} \quad (1.0.21)$$

Need triple sigma identity

$$\sigma_a \sigma_b \sigma_c = \sigma_a (\delta_{bc} + i\epsilon_{bcd} \sigma_d) = \sigma_a \delta_{bc} - \sigma_b \delta_{ca} + \sigma_c \delta_{ab} + i\epsilon_{abc} \quad (1.0.22)$$

Then using above

$$\bar{u} \frac{i}{2m} q_j \sigma^{ij} u = \frac{i\epsilon_{ijk} q_j}{2m} w^\dagger \left\{ \sigma_k \left(1 - \frac{\mathbf{p}'^2 + \mathbf{p}^2}{8m^2} \right) - \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot (\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}') p_k - \sigma_k \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{p}' + i\epsilon_{akc} q_a p_c}{4m^2} \right\} w \quad (1.0.23)$$

The 'time-like' part of the tensor term

$$\bar{u} \frac{i}{2m} q_j \sigma^{0j} u = -\frac{q_j}{2m} \bar{u} \gamma^0 \gamma^j u \quad (1.0.24)$$

$$= -\frac{q_j}{2m} u^\dagger \gamma^j u \quad (1.0.25)$$

$$= -\frac{q_j}{2m} (\eta^\dagger \sigma_j \chi - \chi^\dagger \sigma_j \eta) \quad (1.0.26)$$

$$= -\frac{q_j}{2m} w^\dagger \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{\mathbf{p}'^2}{8m^2} \right) \frac{\sigma_j \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p}}{2m} \left(1 - \frac{3\mathbf{p}^2}{8m^2} \right) - \left(1 - \frac{3\mathbf{p}'^2}{8m^2} \right) \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p}' \sigma_j}{2m} \left(1 - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{8m^2} \right) \right\} w \quad (1.0.27)$$

Dropping terms quadratic in q, all p' can be written just as p .

$$\approx -\frac{q_j}{2m} w^\dagger \left\{ \frac{\sigma_j \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p} - \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p} \sigma_j}{2m} \left(1 - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m^2} \right) \right\} w \quad (1.0.28)$$

$$= \frac{q_j}{2m} w^\dagger \left\{ \frac{i\epsilon_{ijk} \sigma_k p_i}{2m} \left(1 - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m^2} \right) \right\} w \quad (1.0.29)$$

$$= w^\dagger \left\{ \frac{i\epsilon_{ijk} p_i q_j \sigma_k}{4m^2} \left(1 - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m^2} \right) \right\} w \quad (1.0.30)$$

1.1 Fouldy-Wouthyusen approach

1.2 Equations of motion

The regular Dirac Lagrangian can be modified by including a term responsible for the anomalous magnetic moment. The added term is $\mu' \bar{\Psi} \sigma^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} \Psi$, where $\mu' = \frac{g-2}{2} \mu_0$

The Lagrangian then is

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\Psi}(p - m)\Psi + \frac{1}{2}\mu' \bar{\Psi} \sigma^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} \Psi$$

The Euler-Lagrange equations give us

$$\left((p_\mu - eA_\mu)\gamma^\mu - m + i\mu' \frac{1}{4} [\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu] F_{\mu\nu} \right) \Psi = 0$$

From this equation of motion we'll derive exact relations between the upper and lower components of Ψ .

First we'll replace $F_{\mu\nu} \equiv \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$ with terms involving the electric and magnetic fields. We use the antisymmetry of $\sigma^{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{1}{2} [\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu]$, and that we deal with time-independent fields.

$$\begin{aligned}
[\gamma^0, \gamma^i] &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2\sigma_i \\ 2\sigma_i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
[\gamma^i, \gamma^j] &= \begin{pmatrix} -2i\epsilon_{ijk}\sigma_k & 0 \\ 0 & -2i\epsilon_{ijk}\sigma_k \end{pmatrix} \\
F_{\mu\nu}\sigma^{\mu\nu} &= F_i\sigma^{ij} - F_{0i}\sigma^{0i} - F_{i0}\sigma^{i0} + F_{00}\sigma^{00} \\
&= F_{ij}\sigma^{ij} - 2F_{0i}\sigma^{0i} \\
&= 2\partial_i A_j \sigma^{ij} - 2\partial_i \Phi \sigma^{0i} \\
&= -2i \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B} & 0 \\ 0 & \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B} \end{pmatrix} - 2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{E} \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{E} & 0 \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

Considering $\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix}$ as a bispinor

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} p_0 - e\Phi - m & 0 \\ 0 & -p_0 + e\Phi - m \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi} \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi} & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mu' \left[\begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B} & 0 \\ 0 & \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B} \end{pmatrix} - i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{E} \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{E} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \right\} \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix}$$

This gives rise to exact coupled equations for ϕ and χ .

1.2.1 NR limit

We're interested in the nonrelativistic limit, in which we can treat the amplitude of χ as much smaller than ϕ . So we'll solve the coupled equations for ϕ to the desired order in $\frac{p}{m}$. Here we're interested in finding the nonrelativistic Hamiltonian to $\mathcal{O}(mv^4)$. We can also throw away terms proportional to μ'^2 , as well as terms nonlinear in the magnetic field. In taking the relativistic limit we'll use the nonrelativistic energy $\epsilon = p_0 - m$.

Considering the order of various types of terms, we can see that $\epsilon \sim mv^2$, $\Phi \sim mv^2$, $\pi \sim mv$, and $\mathbf{E} \sim m^2 v^3$.

Under these approximations we first find χ .

$$\begin{aligned} (\epsilon + 2m - \mu' \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B}) \chi &= (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi} - i \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{E}) \phi \\ \chi &\approx \frac{1}{2m} \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon - e\Phi - \mu' \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B}}{2m} \right) (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi} - i \mu' \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{E}) \phi \end{aligned}$$

Then, solving for $\epsilon\phi$,

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon\phi &= (e\Phi - \mu' \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B}) \phi + (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{E} + \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi}) \chi \\ &\approx \left\{ e\Phi - \mu' \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B} + \frac{1}{2m} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{4m^2} (\mu' \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B} - [\epsilon - e\Phi]) \right\} \phi \\ &= \left\{ e\Phi - \mu' \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B} + \frac{(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi})^2 - i \mu' [\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi}, \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{E}]}{2m} + \frac{1}{4m^2} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi} (\mu' \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B} - [\epsilon - e\Phi]) \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi} \right\} \phi \end{aligned}$$

We have an expression for the kinetic energy ϵ of the particle, in terms of operators. This will give us the nonrelativistic Hamiltonian. Since the leading order term in H is $\mathcal{O}(mv^2)$, this suggests we split it into two parts: $H = H_0 + H_1 + \mathcal{O}(mv^6)$, where H_1 consists of only $\mathcal{O}(mv^4)$ terms. As written our expression for H_1 contains ϵ , so we'll have to solve for it perturbatively.

Our two terms are

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}_0 &= e\Phi - \mu' \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B} + \frac{(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi})^2}{2m} \\ \hat{H}_1 &= -\frac{i \mu'}{2m} [\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi}, \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{E}] + \frac{1}{4m^2} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi} (\mu' \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B} - [\epsilon - e\Phi]) \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi} \end{aligned}$$

To eliminate ϵ from H_1

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon\phi &= \left(\hat{H}_0 + \mathcal{O}(mv^4) \right) \phi \\
&= \left(e\Phi - \mu' \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B} + \frac{(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi})^2}{2m} \right) \phi \\
(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi})^2 (\epsilon - e\Phi) \phi &= (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi})^2 \left(\frac{(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi})^2}{2m} - \mu' \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B} \right) \phi \\
\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi} (\epsilon - e\Phi) \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi} \phi &= \left(\frac{(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi})^4}{2m} - \mu' (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi})^2 \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B} - \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi} [e\Phi, \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi}] \right) \phi
\end{aligned}$$

So we can now write down H_1

$$\hat{H}_1 = -\frac{i\mu'}{2m} [\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi}, \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{E}] + \frac{1}{4m^2} \left(\mu' \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi} - \frac{(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi})^4}{2m} + \mu' (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi})^2 \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B} + \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi} [e\Phi, \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi}] \right)$$

To simplify, we'll eliminate terms of second order in the magnetic field. We'll also use the following identities:

$$\begin{aligned}
\{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B}, \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p}\} &= 2\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{p} \\
(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi})^2 &= \pi^2 - e\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B}
\end{aligned}$$

$$[\Phi, \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi}] = -i\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{E}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{H}_0 &= e\Phi - \mu' \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B} + \frac{\pi^2}{2m} - \frac{e}{2m} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B} \\
\hat{H}_1 &= -\frac{\pi^4}{8m^3} + e\frac{p^2}{4m^3} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B} - i\frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{E}}{4m^2} + \mu' \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p} \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{p}}{2m^2} - \frac{i[\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi}, \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{E}]}{2m} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

1.3 FW Transform

To find a complete description of a single nonrelativistic particle in normal quantum mechanics, we must work in a basis where the lower component χ is truly negligible, at least at

the desired order. While there exists a formal technique for finding this Foldy-Wouthyusen transformation, for our purposes we can simply demand that the wave function after transformation $\phi_s = (1 + \Delta)\phi$ obeys the normalization $\langle \phi_s, \phi_s \rangle = 1$.

To find the necessary transformation, we can use our expression for χ in terms of ϕ to find the normalization

$$\begin{aligned} \int d^3x (\phi^\dagger \phi + \chi^\dagger \chi) &= \int d^3x \left[\phi^\dagger \phi + \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi}}{2m} \phi \right)^\dagger \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi}}{2m} \phi \right) \right] \\ &= \int d^3x \phi^\dagger \left[1 + \frac{(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi})^2}{4m^2} \right] \phi \end{aligned}$$

Since we know that $\langle \Psi, \Psi \rangle = 1$ this shows that if $\phi = \left(1 - \frac{(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi})^2}{8m^2} \right) \phi$, the Schrodinger wave functions are properly normalized.

We now need to find the form of \hat{H} after this transformation:

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon \phi &= (\hat{H}_0 + \hat{H}_1) \phi \\ \epsilon \left(1 - \frac{(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi})^2}{8m^2} \right) \phi_S &= (\hat{H}_0 + \hat{H}_1) \left(1 - \frac{(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi})^2}{8m^2} \right) \phi_S \\ \epsilon \phi_S &= \left(1 + \frac{(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi})^2}{8m^2} \right) (\hat{H}_0 + \hat{H}_1) \left(1 - \frac{(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi})^2}{8m^2} \right) \phi_S \\ \epsilon \phi_S &= \left(\hat{H}_0 + \frac{1}{8m^2} [(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi})^2, \hat{H}_0] + \hat{H}_1 \right) \phi_S \end{aligned}$$

So under the FW transformation, the leading order term is unchanged, and the second order term is:

$$\hat{H}_1 \rightarrow \hat{H}'_1 = \hat{H}_1 + \frac{1}{8m^2} [(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi})^2, \hat{H}_0]$$

$[(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi})^2, \hat{H}_0]$ can be simplified.

$$[(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi})^2, \hat{H}_0] = [(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi})^2, e\Phi - \mu' \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B} + \frac{(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi})^2}{2m}]$$

Obviously $(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi})^2$ commutes with itself, and since $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B}$ is constant, that commutator

also vanishes:

$$[(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi})^2, \mu' \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B}] = [\pi^2 - e \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B}, \mu' \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B}] = 0$$

Leaving:

$$\begin{aligned} [(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi})^2, \hat{H}_0] &= [(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi})^2, e\Phi] \\ &= e(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi} [\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi}, \Phi] + [\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi}, \Phi] \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi}) \\ &= ie(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{E} + \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{E} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi}) \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}'_1 &= \hat{H}_1 + \frac{ie}{8m^2}(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{E} + \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{E} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi}) \\ &= -\frac{\pi^4}{8m^3} + e\frac{p^2}{4m^3} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B} - \frac{ie}{8m^2}[\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{E}, \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi}] + \mu' \left(\frac{(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p})(\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{p})}{2m^2} - \frac{i}{2m}[\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{E}, \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi}] \right) \end{aligned}$$

NR Hamiltonian

Now we'll write down the entire Hamiltonian, using $\mu' = \frac{g-2}{2}\mu_0 = \frac{g-2}{2}\frac{e}{2m}$.

$$\begin{aligned} H &= e\Phi + \frac{\pi^2}{2m} - \frac{\pi^4}{8m^3} - \left(1 + \frac{g-2}{2}\right)\frac{e}{2m}\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B} \\ &\quad + e\frac{p^2}{4m^3}\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B} + \frac{ie}{8m^2}(1 + (g-2))[\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{E}, \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi}] + (g-2)\frac{e}{2m}\frac{(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p})(\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{p})}{4m^2} \\ &= e\Phi + \frac{\pi^2}{2m} - \frac{\pi^4}{8m^3} \\ &\quad - \frac{e}{2m} \left\{ \frac{g}{2}\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B} - \frac{p^2}{2m^2}\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B} - (g-2)\frac{(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p})(\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{p})}{4m^2} + (g-1)\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot (\mathbf{E} \times \boldsymbol{\pi}) \right\} \\ &= e\Phi + \frac{\pi^2}{2m} - \frac{\pi^4}{8m^3} \\ &\quad - \frac{e}{2m} \left\{ \frac{g}{2} \left(1 - \frac{p^2}{2m^2}\right) \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B} + \frac{g-2}{2}\frac{p^2}{2m^2}\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{B} - \frac{g-2}{2}\frac{(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{p})(\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{p})}{2m^2} + \left(\frac{g}{2} + \frac{g-2}{2}\right) \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot (\mathbf{E} \times \boldsymbol{\pi}) \right\} \end{aligned}$$