



Petkov, Stanislav S.G.



Checkpoint 18 Jacco 10-01-2022



Petkov, Stanislav S.G. 4 days ago

Functionality wise my application is complete, but important stuff is still left to do such as docker, usage of websockets and testing on all levels (a few integration tests, 1 system tests and 70%+ unit test coverage), so I should definitely focus on these and not overcomplicate the websocket feature. Some updates of the documentation should be done as well.



Write a summary of what you discussed with your teacher...

Post Feedback

Checkpoint 17 Jacco 20-12-2021



Petkov, Stanislav S.G. 25 days ago

During this meeting we discussed:

- my OWASP report document
 - in the reasoning section explain how you have determined the results of your risk table
- my CI/CD diagram
 - continuous delivery might not be a part of my pipeline, but should be included in the diagram and it should be explained why it is not
- how to setup docker
 - following the docker-related assignments on canvas is a good starting point
- my plans for completing the project
 - still a lot of work to do, luckily a lot of free time during the vacation so it is doable
- APA style should be present in every document

Checkpoint 16 Frenk 10-12-2021**Petkov, Stanislav S.G.** a month ago

During this meeting we mainly discussed the usage and implementation of OAuth 2.0. Before trying to implement it in my own project, I first need to research and understand the concept behind this service. Useful study materials were also provided by the teacher. From now on I should also have weekly meetings with the teacher.

Checkpoint 15 Jacco 06-12-2021**Petkov, Stanislav S.G.** a month ago

During this meeting, we discussed how to handle a bad response from your api in the front-end: "A good way to handle a bad request is to redirect the user to an error page with the response message displayed there". Another useful tip that I got was that if I want to store something like quantity of a product temporarily in the front-end I can make use of local storage.

Checkpoint 14 Jacco 02-12-2021**Petkov, Stanislav S.G.** a month ago

During this meeting, we discussed how the booking process of my application should go. It will be okay to have around a 100 new entries of blocks each time a new event is created, but it should be done asynchronously, as should be other operations in the frontend that might take longer than usual. This will prevent any delays/freezes on my website.


Checkpoint 13 Jacco 29-11-2021**Petkov, Stanislav S.G.** 2 months ago

During this meeting we discussed some issues related to the usage of JWT. I also received some feedback related to my test plan:

**Petkov, Stanislav S.G.** 2 months ago

- Keep acceptance tests to only top level cases for the regular functionalities (e.g. login, register)
- Test report on acceptance tests is not necessary
- Update testing strategy (include integration/system and acceptance tests in the testing strategy description)
- Unit tests should be the ones testing most of the exception cases

Checkpoint 12 Sprint 3 delivery (copy of comment in submission) 29-11-2021

 No feedback submitted.



Snoeren, Jacco J.P.H. (Teacher) 2 months ago

In general I think you are on the right track. Let's discuss about the test plan and keep extending your application.

* Research document looks good. You should reference all the sources you used though!

* Architecture document looks good.

* Test plan: Let's discuss this for a bit. What kind of test cases are you writing down in paragraph 1.1? You state that you will have one unit test per test case. Is that true?

* FE -> API -> BE connection seems to be working.

* Backend design looks good.

* Basic front-end is present, now to extend it!

* CI seems to be broken! It worked before though.

Checkpoint 11 Jacco 25-11-2021

Petkov, Stanislav S.G. 2 months ago

During this meeting we discussed my current progress. The teacher said that my application is slowly coming along. We also discussed image storing and the deliverables for the end of this sprint.

Checkpoint 10 Jacco 08-11-2021

Petkov, Stanislav S.G. 2 months ago

During this meeting I showed the teacher most of my current progress. He thinks, that I am on the right path of developing my application, but I need to work a lot more on the front-end (progress is definitely lacking there). It is also very important to focus on one thing at a time and avoid struggling with multiple features at the same time.

Checkpoint 9 Jacco 01-11-2021


Petkov, Stanislav S.G. 2 months ago

During this meeting I showed the teacher the current version of my UML diagram for the logic layer of my application. Some useful advice I got was that if you are not going to implement an interface in more than one class in your application then you don't need it. He mentioned that I should use an interface for classes that I want to test (mocks).

Using factory patterns for layer separation can be ignored for now.

In the test plan document I should mention the risks of my application.

Checkpoint 8 Delivery sprint 2 14-10-2021

 No feedback submitted.



Marsman, Onno O.H.M. (Teacher) 3 months ago

- Please no zips inside zips
 - Things like builds and node_modules that are not in the git_repos should not be included in the zip-file!
- Seems like you are progressing nicely

Checkpoint 7 Jacco 11-10-2021

Petkov, Stanislav S.G. 3 months ago

During this meeting I presented a quick demo of my current implementation to the teacher. We also discussed the deliverables for the next sprint. Some feedback that I received was:

1. One main research question is enough for a complete research document.
2. Clear separation of layers should be present in my C4 documentation.
3. Implementation of proper security will be discussed on a later stage.

Checkpoint 6 Jacco 07-10-2021

Petkov, Stanislav S.G. 3 months ago

During this meeting we discussed my C4 diagrams and what I am going to deliver at the end of this sprint.

The teacher advised me to focus on planning my back-end in more detail (UML and sequence diagrams). The C4 diagrams looked alright in his opinion and he informed me that it is expected to have some functioning front-end by the end of the sprint.

Checkpoint 5 Onno 04-10-2021

Petkov, Stanislav S.G. 3 months ago

During this meeting we discussed my Software Architecture Document and how it can be improved. Some tips that the teacher gave me were:

Introduction can be just a basic explanation of the document + a short description of the project;

In the C2 diagram additional container for delivering of the react application can be added;

DAL and clear separation should be added in the C3 diagram;

There should be 2 class diagrams in the C4 diagram: one for a single entity and his way through the application and one for the whole logic layer.

Overall, the teacher was satisfied with the fact that I have started working on the architecture document, but there is still work to do before the end of the second sprint regarding the actual implementation.

Checkpoint 4 Onno 24-09-2021**Petkov, Stanislav S.G.** 4 months ago

During this meeting we talked about my current progress, the teacher was satisfied with the improvements of my user stories, he also told me to include the functionalities of the admins and managers of the website in my project description as well. Mr Marsman also recommended me to start looking into react and in creating a design document for my application and overall focus on the goals of the second sprint.

Checkpoint 3 Onno 20-09-2021 24-09-2021**Petkov, Stanislav S.G.** 4 months ago

During the meeting mr Marsman informed me that I am getting a negative indication for the first sprint, because I didn't talk to both teachers during this sprint and that this should change. We discussed my requirements document and he told me that I don't need both user stories and functional requirements and I should only leave one of them (preferably user stories as it is easier/faster to create a proper backlog with them). He gave some tips on how to improve my user stories: I should put the ones with the highest importance first and leave default functionalities like login/logout at the back; My acceptance criteria should also be improved and be like a type of check list before marking the user story as complete.

Checkpoint 2 Jacco 23-09-2021**Petkov, Stanislav S.G.** 4 months ago

During this meeting we mainly discussed my requirements document. The user stories look fine and the wireframes look good enough to serve their purpose for now. An important thing that is missing from my document is a project description section and should be added as soon as possible. For now I should focus on the goals of sprint 2 and not concentrate too much on my wireframes.

Checkpoint 1 Delivery sprint 1 23-09-2021

No feedback submitted.

**Marsman, Onno O.H.M. (Teacher)** 4 months ago

- We haven't talked to you yet.