

## 第二章

# 最小二乘估计（二）

# Outline

- 1 渐近理论基础
- 2 最小二乘估计的大样本性质
- 3 大样本统计推断
- 4 Resampling Methods: Jackknife and Bootstrap

# 渐近理论基础

# Various Modes of Convergence

## Definition (Convergence in Probability)

A sequence of random scalars  $\{z_n\}$  converges in probability to a constant  $\alpha$  if, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Prob}(|z_n - \alpha| > \varepsilon) = 0.$$

The constant  $\alpha$  is called the probability limit of  $z_n$  and is written as  $\text{plim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n = \alpha$  or  $z_n \xrightarrow{p} \alpha$ .

A sequence of  $K$ -dimensional random vectors  $\{\mathbf{z}_n\}$  converges in probability to a  $K$ -dimensional vector of constants  $\alpha$  if, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Prob}(|z_{nk} - \alpha_k| > \varepsilon) = 0 \quad \text{for all } k = 1, 2, \dots, K,$$

where  $z_{nk}$  is the  $k$ -th element of  $\mathbf{z}_n$  and  $\alpha_k$  the  $k$ -th element of  $\alpha$ .

## Definition (Almost Sure Convergence)

A sequence of random scalars  $\{z_n\}$  converges almost surely to a constant  $\alpha$  if

$$\text{Prob} \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n = \alpha \right) = 1.$$

We write this as  $z_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} \alpha$ . The extension to random vectors is analogous to that for convergence in probability.

## Definition (Convergence in Mean Square)

A sequence of random scalars  $\{z_n\}$  converges in mean square (or in quadratic mean) to a constant  $\alpha$  (written as  $z_n \xrightarrow{m.s.} \alpha$ ) if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} [(z_n - \alpha)^2] = 0.$$

# Convergence to a Random Variable

We say that a sequence of  $K$ -dimensional random variables  $\{\mathbf{z}_n\}$  converges in probability to a  $K$ -dimensional random variable  $\mathbf{z}$  and write  $\mathbf{z}_n \xrightarrow{p} \mathbf{z}$  if  $\{\mathbf{z}_n - \mathbf{z}\}$  converges in probability to  $\mathbf{0}$ :

$$“\mathbf{z}_n \xrightarrow{p} \mathbf{z}” \quad \text{is the same as} \quad “\mathbf{z}_n - \mathbf{z} \xrightarrow{p} \mathbf{0}.”$$

Similarly,

$$“\mathbf{z}_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} \mathbf{z}” \quad \text{is the same as} \quad “\mathbf{z}_n - \mathbf{z} \xrightarrow{a.s.} \mathbf{0}.”$$

$$“\mathbf{z}_n \xrightarrow{m.s.} \mathbf{z}” \quad \text{is the same as} \quad “\mathbf{z}_n - \mathbf{z} \xrightarrow{m.s.} \mathbf{0}.”$$

## Definition (Convergence in Distribution)

Let  $\{z_n\}$  be a sequence of random scalars and  $F_n$  be the cumulative distribution function (c.d.f) of  $z_n$ . We say that  $\{z_n\}$  converges in distribution to a random scalar  $z$  if the c.d.f.  $F_n$  of  $z_n$  converges to the c.d.f.  $F$  of  $z$  at every continuity point of  $F$ . We write  $z_n \xrightarrow{d} z$  or  $z_n \xrightarrow{L} z$  and call  $F$  the asymptotic (or limit or limiting) distribution of  $z_n$ .

## Theorem (Multivariate Convergence in Distribution Theorem)

Let  $\{\mathbf{z}_n\}$  be a sequence of  $K$ -dimensional random vectors. Then:

$$\mathbf{z}_n \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{z} \Leftrightarrow \boldsymbol{\lambda}'\mathbf{z}_n \xrightarrow{d} \boldsymbol{\lambda}'\mathbf{z} \text{ for any } K\text{-dimensional vector of real numbers } \boldsymbol{\lambda}.$$

**Remark:** For convergence in distribution, element-by-element convergence does not necessarily mean convergence for the vector sequence.

## Theorem (Relationship among the four modes of convergence)

$$(a) \mathbf{z}_n \xrightarrow{m.s.} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \Rightarrow \mathbf{z}_n \xrightarrow{p} \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \quad \mathbf{z}_n \xrightarrow{m.s.} \mathbf{z} \Rightarrow \mathbf{z}_n \xrightarrow{p} \mathbf{z}.$$

$$(b) \mathbf{z}_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \Rightarrow \mathbf{z}_n \xrightarrow{p} \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \quad \mathbf{z}_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} \mathbf{z} \Rightarrow \mathbf{z}_n \xrightarrow{p} \mathbf{z}.$$

$$(c) \mathbf{z}_n \xrightarrow{p} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{z}_n \xrightarrow{d} \boldsymbol{\alpha}. \quad (\text{That is, if the limiting random variable is a constant [a trivial random variable], convergence in distribution is the same as convergence in probability.})$$

## Viewing Estimators as Sequences of Random Variables

Let  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n$  be an estimator of a parameter vector  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  based on a sample of size  $n$ .

We say that an estimator  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n$  is **consistent** for  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  if  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_n \xrightarrow{p} \boldsymbol{\theta}$ .



# Continuous Mapping Theorem

## Theorem (Continuous Mapping Theorem)

Let  $\mathbf{a}(\cdot)$  be a vector-valued function that does not depend on  $n$ .

(a) Suppose  $\mathbf{a}(\cdot)$  is continuous at  $\alpha$ . Then,  $\mathbf{z}_n \xrightarrow{p} \alpha \Rightarrow \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{z}_n) \xrightarrow{p} \mathbf{a}(\alpha)$ .

Stated differently,

$$\text{plim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{z}_n) = \mathbf{a}(\text{plim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{z}_n).$$

(b) Suppose  $\mathbf{a}(\cdot)$  is continuous almost everywhere. Then,

$$\mathbf{z}_n \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{z} \Rightarrow \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{z}_n) \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{z}).$$

# Slutsky's Theorem

## Theorem (Slutsky's Theorem)

- (a) " $\mathbf{x}_n \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}_n \xrightarrow{p} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ "  $\Rightarrow$  " $\mathbf{x}_n + \mathbf{y}_n \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ."
- (b) " $\mathbf{x}_n \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}_n \xrightarrow{p} \mathbf{0}$ "  $\Rightarrow$  " $\mathbf{y}_n' \mathbf{x}_n \xrightarrow{p} 0$ ."
- (c) " $\mathbf{x}_n \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{A}_n \xrightarrow{p} \mathbf{A}$ "  $\Rightarrow$  " $\mathbf{A}_n \mathbf{x}_n \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}$ ." In particular, if  $\mathbf{x} \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ , then  $\mathbf{A}_n \mathbf{x}_n \xrightarrow{d} N(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathbf{A}')$ .
- (d) " $\mathbf{x}_n \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{A}_n \xrightarrow{p} \mathbf{A}$ "  $\Rightarrow$  " $\mathbf{x}_n' \mathbf{A}_n^{-1} \mathbf{x}_n \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{x}' \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{x}$ ," provided  $\mathbf{A}$  is nonsingular.

# Delta Method

## Theorem (Delta Method)

Suppose  $\{\mathbf{x}_n\}$  is a sequence of  $K$ -dimensional random vectors such that  $\mathbf{x}_n \xrightarrow{p} \boldsymbol{\beta}$  and

$$\sqrt{n}(\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\beta}) \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{z},$$

and suppose  $\mathbf{a}(\cdot) : \mathbb{R}^K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^r$  has continuous first derivatives with  $\mathbf{A}(\boldsymbol{\beta})$  denoting the  $r \times K$  matrix of first derivatives evaluated at  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ :

$$\mathbf{A}(\boldsymbol{\beta}) \equiv \frac{\partial \mathbf{a}(\boldsymbol{\beta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}'}.$$

Then

$$\sqrt{n}[\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{x}_n) - \mathbf{a}(\boldsymbol{\beta})] \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{A}(\boldsymbol{\beta})\mathbf{z}.$$

In particular:

$$\sqrt{n}(\mathbf{x}_n - \boldsymbol{\beta}) \xrightarrow{d} N(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) \Rightarrow \sqrt{n}[\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{x}_n) - \mathbf{a}(\boldsymbol{\beta})] \xrightarrow{d} N(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{A}(\boldsymbol{\beta})\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\mathbf{A}(\boldsymbol{\beta})').$$

Proof.

由中值定理, 存在  $y_n$  between  $x_n$  and  $\beta$  使得 :

$$\mathbf{a}(x_n) - \mathbf{a}(\beta) = \mathbf{A}(y_n)(x_n - \beta).$$

等式两边同时乘以  $\sqrt{n}$ ,

$$\sqrt{n}[\mathbf{a}(x_n) - \mathbf{a}(\beta)] = \mathbf{A}(y_n)\sqrt{n}(x_n - \beta).$$

因为  $x_n \xrightarrow{p} \beta$ , 所以 :  $y_n \xrightarrow{p} \beta$ . 再由连续映射定理可以得到 :

$$\mathbf{A}(y_n) \xrightarrow{p} \mathbf{A}(\beta).$$

根据 Slutsky's Theorem 和  $\sqrt{n}(x_n - \beta) \xrightarrow{d} z$  易知定理结论成立。 □

# 大数定律和中心极限定理

## Theorem (Law of Large Numbers, LLN)

- *Khintchine's weak LLN*: Let  $\{z_i\}$  be i.i.d. with a finite mean  $\mu$ . Then

$$\bar{z}_n \equiv \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n z_i \xrightarrow{p} \mu.$$

- *Kolmogorov's strong LLN*: Let  $\{z_i\}$  be i.i.d. random variables. Then

$$\bar{z}_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} \mu \quad \text{iff} \quad \mathbb{E}|z_i| < \infty \text{ and } \mathbb{E}(z_i) = \mu.$$

## Theorem (Lindeberg-Levy Central Limit Theorem, CLT)

Let  $\{z_i\}$  be i.i.d. with  $\mathbb{E}(z_i) = \mu$  and  $\text{Var}(z_i) = \Sigma$ . Then

$$\sqrt{n}(\bar{z}_n - \mu) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n (z_i - \mu) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \Sigma).$$

# 时间序列分析的基本概念

## (Strictly) Stationarity

The process  $\{\mathbf{z}_i\}$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ) is said to be (strictly) stationary if  $(\mathbf{z}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{z}_{i_r})$  and  $(\mathbf{z}_{i_1+k}, \dots, \mathbf{z}_{i_r+k})$  have the same joint distribution, for any  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  and any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

## Weakly Stationarity

The process  $\{\mathbf{z}_i\}$  is said to be weakly stationary (or covariance stationary or second-order stationary) if:

- (i)  $\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{z}_i)$  does not depend on  $i$ , and
- (ii)  $\text{Cov}(\mathbf{z}_i, \mathbf{z}_{i-j})$  exists, is finite, and depends only on  $j$  but not on  $i$ .

## Ergodicity

A stationary process  $\{z_i\}$  is said to be **ergodic** if, for any two bounded functions  $f : \mathbb{R}^{k+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $g : \mathbb{R}^{l+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} & \left| \mathbb{E}[f(z_i, \dots, z_{i+k})g(z_{i+n}, \dots, z_{i+n+l})] \right| \\ &= \left| \mathbb{E}[f(z_i, \dots, z_{i+k})] \right| \left| \mathbb{E}[g(z_i, \dots, z_{i+l})] \right|. \end{aligned}$$

A stationary process that is ergodic will be called **ergodic stationary**.

**Remark:** Heuristically, a stationary process is ergodic if it is asymptotically independent.

## Theorem (Ergodic Theorem)

Let  $\{\mathbf{z}_i\}$  be a stationary and ergodic process with  $\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{z}_i) = \boldsymbol{\mu}$ . Then

$$\bar{\mathbf{z}}_n \equiv \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{z}_i \xrightarrow[a.s.]{} \boldsymbol{\mu}.$$

## Martingale

A vector process  $\{\mathbf{z}_i\}$  is called a **martingale** if

$$\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{z}_i \mid \mathbf{z}_{i-1}, \dots, \mathbf{z}_1) = \mathbf{z}_{i-1} \quad \text{for } i \geq 2.$$

## Martingale Difference Sequence

A vector process  $\{\mathbf{g}_i\}$  with  $\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{g}_i) = \mathbf{0}$  is called a **martingale difference sequence (m.d.s.)** if the expectation conditional on its past values, too is zero:

$$\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{g}_i \mid \mathbf{g}_{i-1}, \dots, \mathbf{g}_1) = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{for } i \geq 2.$$

A martingale difference sequence has no serial correlation.



## Theorem (Ergodic Stationary Martingale Differences CLT)

Let  $\{\mathbf{g}_i\}$  be a vector martingale difference sequence that is stationary and ergodic with  $\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{g}_i \mathbf{g}_i') = \Sigma$ , and let  $\bar{\mathbf{g}} \equiv \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{g}_i$ . Then

$$\sqrt{n}\bar{\mathbf{g}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{g}_i \xrightarrow{d} N(\mathbf{0}, \Sigma).$$

### Remark:

- This CLT, being applicable not just to i.i.d. sequences but also to stationary martingale differences such as ARCH(1) processes, is more general than Lindeberg-Levy.
- Ergodic Theorem is an LLN for serially correlated processes. A central limit theorem for serially correlated processes can be found in Section 6.5 of [Hayashi, 2000].

# 最小二乘估计的大样本性质

大样本性质的推导基于以下关于数据生成过程 ( Data Generating Process, DGP ) 的假设 :

① Assumption 2.1 (linearity):

$$y_i = \mathbf{x}_i' \boldsymbol{\beta} + \varepsilon_i \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

where  $\mathbf{x}_i$  is a  $K$ -dimensional vector of regressors,  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  is a  $K$ -dimensional coefficient vector, and  $\varepsilon_i$  is the unobservable error term.

② Assumption 2.2 (ergodic stationarity): The  $(K + 1)$ -dimensional vector stochastic process  $\{y_i, \mathbf{x}_i\}$  is jointly stationary and ergodic.

③ Assumption 2.3 (predetermined regressors): All the regressors are predetermined in the sense that they are orthogonal to the contemporaneous error term:  $\mathbb{E}(x_{ik}\varepsilon_i) = 0$  for all  $i$  and  $k$  ( $= 1, 2, \dots, K$ ). This can be written as  $\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}_i \cdot (y_i - \mathbf{x}_i' \boldsymbol{\beta})] = \mathbf{0}$  or  $\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{g}_i) = \mathbf{0}$  where  $\mathbf{g}_i \equiv \mathbf{x}_i \cdot \varepsilon_i$ .

- ④ **Assumption 2.4 (rank condition):** The  $K \times K$  matrix  $\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i')$  is nonsingular (and hence finite). We denote this matrix by  $\Sigma_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}}$ .
- ⑤ **Assumption 2.5 ( $\mathbf{g}_i$  is a martingale difference sequence with finite second moments):**  $\{\mathbf{g}_i\}$  is a martingale difference sequence. The  $K \times K$  matrix of cross moments,  $\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{g}_i \mathbf{g}_i')$ , is nonsingular.

### Remark

- Let  $\mathbf{S} \equiv \text{Avar}(\bar{\mathbf{g}})$ , the variance of the asymptotic distribution of  $\sqrt{n}\bar{\mathbf{g}}$ . Then,  $\mathbf{S} = \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{g}_i \mathbf{g}_i')$  under Assumption 2.5.
- This DGP accommodates conditional heteroskedasticity (条件异方差)。
- Assumption 2.5 is stronger than Assumption 2.3.

# 最小二乘估计的大样本性质

## Theorem (asymptotic distribution of the OLS Estimator)

- (a) (*Consistency of  $\mathbf{b}$  for  $\beta$* ) Under Assumptions 2.1-2.4,  $\text{plim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{b} = \beta$ .
- (b) (*Asymptotic Normality of  $\mathbf{b}$* ) If Assumption 2.3 is strengthened as Assumption 2.5, then

$$\sqrt{n}(\mathbf{b} - \beta) \xrightarrow{d} N(\mathbf{0}, \text{Avar}(\mathbf{b})) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (1)$$

where

$$\text{Avar}(\mathbf{b}) = \Sigma_{\mathbf{xx}}^{-1} \mathbf{S} \Sigma_{\mathbf{xx}}^{-1}.$$

- (c) (*Consistent Estimate of  $\text{Avar}(\mathbf{b})$* ) Suppose there is available a consistent estimator,  $\hat{\mathbf{S}}$ , of  $\mathbf{S}$ . Then, under Assumption 2.2,  $\text{Avar}(\mathbf{b})$  is consistently estimated by

$$\widehat{\text{Avar}}(\mathbf{b}) = \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{xx}}^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{xx}}^{-1},$$

where

$$\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{xx}} \equiv \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i' = \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{X}.$$

## Proof.

(a) We first write  $\mathbf{b} - \boldsymbol{\beta}$  in terms of sample means.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{b} - \boldsymbol{\beta} &= \left( \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{X} \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{X}' \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \right) = \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i' \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i \cdot \varepsilon_i \right) \\ &\equiv \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{xx}}^{-1} \bar{\mathbf{g}}.\end{aligned}$$

Since by Assumption 2.2  $\{\mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i'\}$  is ergodic stationary,  $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{xx}} \xrightarrow{p} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{xx}}$ . Since  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{xx}}$  is invertible by Assumption 2.4,  $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{xx}}^{-1} \xrightarrow{p} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{xx}}^{-1}$  by Continuous Mapping Theorem. Similarly,  $\bar{\mathbf{g}} \xrightarrow{p} \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{g}_i) = \mathbf{0}$ . So by Continuous Mapping Theorem again,  $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{xx}}^{-1} \bar{\mathbf{g}} \xrightarrow{p} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{xx}}^{-1} \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}$ . Therefore,  $\text{plim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{b} = \boldsymbol{\beta}$ .

(b) Write

$$\sqrt{n}(\mathbf{b} - \boldsymbol{\beta}) = \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{xx}}^{-1}(\sqrt{n}\bar{\mathbf{g}}).$$

By Assumption 2.5,  $\sqrt{n}\bar{\mathbf{g}} \xrightarrow{d} N(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{S})$ . So, by Slutsky's Theorem,  $\sqrt{n}(\mathbf{b} - \boldsymbol{\beta}) \xrightarrow{d} N(\mathbf{0}, \text{Avar}(\mathbf{b}))$ .

(c) Since  $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{xx}} \xrightarrow{p} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{xx}}$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{S}} \xrightarrow{p} \mathbf{S}$ ,  $\widehat{\text{Avar}}(\mathbf{b}) \xrightarrow{p} \text{Avar}(\mathbf{b})$  by Continuous Mapping Theorem. □

$s^2$  is consistent

## Theorem (consistent estimation of error variance)

Let  $e_i \equiv y_i - \mathbf{x}_i' \mathbf{b}$  be the OLS residual for observation  $i$ . Under Assumptions 2.1-2.4,

$$s^2 \equiv \frac{1}{n-K} \sum_{i=1}^n e_i^2 \xrightarrow{p} \mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_i^2),$$

provided  $\mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_i^2)$  exists and is finite.

## Proof.

Since

$$s^2 = \frac{n}{n-K} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n e_i^2 \right),$$

## Proof.

it suffices to prove that the sample mean of  $e_i^2$  converges in probability to  $\mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_i^2)$ . The relationship between  $e_i$  and  $\varepsilon_i$  is given by

$$e_i \equiv y_i - \mathbf{x}_i' \mathbf{b} = y_i - \mathbf{x}_i' \boldsymbol{\beta} - \mathbf{x}_i' (\mathbf{b} - \boldsymbol{\beta}) = \varepsilon_i - \mathbf{x}_i' (\mathbf{b} - \boldsymbol{\beta}),$$

so that

$$e_i^2 = \varepsilon_i^2 - 2(\mathbf{b} - \boldsymbol{\beta})' \mathbf{x}_i \cdot \varepsilon_i + (\mathbf{b} - \boldsymbol{\beta})' \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i' (\mathbf{b} - \boldsymbol{\beta}).$$

Summing over  $i$ , we obtain

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n e_i^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i^2 - 2(\mathbf{b} - \boldsymbol{\beta})' \bar{\mathbf{g}} + (\mathbf{b} - \boldsymbol{\beta})' \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{xx}} (\mathbf{b} - \boldsymbol{\beta}).$$

Therefore,

$$\text{plim} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n e_i^2 \right) = \text{plim} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i^2 \right) = \mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_i^2).$$

□



# Estimating $\mathbf{S}$ consistently

A consistent estimator of  $\mathbf{S} = \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{g}_i \mathbf{g}_i') = \mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_i^2 \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i')$  is required to calculate the estimated asymptotic variance,  $\widehat{\text{Avar}}(\mathbf{b})$ . Let

$$\hat{\mathbf{S}} \equiv \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\varepsilon}_i^2 \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i', \quad (2)$$

where  $\hat{\varepsilon}_i \equiv y_i - \mathbf{x}_i' \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$  and  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$  is some consistent estimator of  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ . For this estimator to be consistent for  $\mathbf{S}$ , we need to make a fourth-moment assumption about the regressors.

- ⑥ **Assumption 2.6 (finite fourth moments for regressors):**  $\mathbb{E}[(x_{ik}x_{ij})^2]$  exists and is finite for all  $k, j = 1, 2, \dots, K$ .

Theorem (consistent estimation of  $\mathbf{S}$ )

Suppose the coefficient estimate  $\hat{\beta}$  used for calculating the residual  $\hat{\varepsilon}_i$  for  $\hat{\mathbf{S}}$  in (2) is consistent, and suppose  $\mathbf{S} = \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{g}_i \mathbf{g}_i')$  exists and is finite. Then, under Assumptions 2.1, 2.2, and 2.6,  $\hat{\mathbf{S}}$  given in (2) is consistent for  $\mathbf{S}$ .

## Proof.

Following the same steps as in the proof of the previous theorem, we have

$$\hat{\varepsilon}_i \equiv y_i - \mathbf{x}_i' \hat{\beta} = y_i - \mathbf{x}_i' \beta - \mathbf{x}_i' (\hat{\beta} - \beta) = \varepsilon_i - \mathbf{x}_i' (\hat{\beta} - \beta),$$

so that

$$\hat{\varepsilon}_i^2 = \varepsilon_i^2 - 2(\hat{\beta} - \beta)' \mathbf{x}_i \cdot \varepsilon_i + (\hat{\beta} - \beta)' \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i' (\hat{\beta} - \beta).$$

## Proof.

Therefore, for the  $(l, m)$ -th element of  $\hat{\mathbf{S}}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathbf{S}}_{lm} &\equiv \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\varepsilon}_i^2 x_{il} x_{im} \quad (l, m = 1, 2, \dots, K) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i^2 x_{il} x_{im} - 2(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta})' \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i \cdot x_{il} x_{im} \varepsilon_i \right) \\ &\quad + (\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta})' \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i' x_{il} x_{im} \right) (\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta}).\end{aligned}$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,<sup>a</sup> and ergodic stationarity, it is easy to show that  $\hat{\mathbf{S}}_{lm} \xrightarrow[p]{p} \mathbf{S}_{lm}$ . □

---

<sup>a</sup> $\mathbb{E}(|f \cdot h|) \leq \sqrt{\mathbb{E}(f^2)\mathbb{E}(h^2)}.$

# 大样本统计推断

# Testing Linear Hypotheses

## Theorem (robust $t$ -ratio and Wald statistic)

Suppose Assumptions 2.1-2.5 hold, and suppose there is available a consistent estimate  $\hat{\mathbf{S}}$  of  $\mathbf{S}$ . Then

- (a) Under the null hypothesis  $\mathbb{H}_0 : \beta_k = \bar{\beta}_k$ ,

$$t_k \equiv \frac{\sqrt{n}(b_k - \bar{\beta}_k)}{\sqrt{\widehat{\text{Avar}}(b_k)}} = \frac{b_k - \bar{\beta}_k}{SE^*(b_k)} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1),$$

where  $b_k$  is the  $k$ -th element of  $\mathbf{b}$ ,  $\widehat{\text{Avar}}(b_k)$  is the  $(k, k)$  element of  $\widehat{\text{Avar}}(\mathbf{b})$ , and

$$SE^*(b_k) \equiv \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \cdot \widehat{\text{Avar}}(b_k)} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \cdot \left( \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{xx}}^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{xx}}^{-1} \right)_{kk}}.$$

$SE^*(b_k)$  is called the **heteroskedasticity-consistent standard error**, (**heteroskedasticity**)-**robust standard error**, or **White's standard error**.

- (b) Under the null hypothesis  $\mathbb{H}_0 : \mathbf{R}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{r}$ , where  $\mathbf{R}$  is a  $J \times K$  matrix of full row rank,

$$W \equiv n \cdot (\mathbf{R}\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{r})' \left\{ \mathbf{R}[\widehat{\text{Avar}}(\mathbf{b})]\mathbf{R}' \right\}^{-1} (\mathbf{R}\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{r}) \xrightarrow{d} \chi^2(J).$$

## Proof.

(a) It is easy to establish this result using (1) and Slutsky's Theorem.

(b) Write  $W$  as

$$W = \mathbf{c}_n' \mathbf{Q}_n^{-1} \mathbf{c}_n \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{c}_n \equiv \sqrt{n}(\mathbf{R}\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{r}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{Q}_n \equiv \widehat{\mathbf{R}\text{Avar}(\mathbf{b})\mathbf{R}'}$$

Under  $\mathbb{H}_0$ ,  $\mathbf{c}_n = \mathbf{R}\sqrt{n}(\mathbf{b} - \beta)$ . So by (1),

$$\mathbf{c}_n \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{c} \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{c} \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{R}\text{Avar}(\mathbf{b})\mathbf{R}').$$

Also note that

$$\mathbf{Q}_n \xrightarrow{p} \mathbf{Q} \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{Q} \equiv \mathbf{R}\text{Avar}(\mathbf{b})\mathbf{R}'.$$

Because  $\mathbf{R}$  is of full row rank and  $\text{Avar}(\mathbf{b})$  is positive definite,  $\mathbf{Q}$  is invertible. Therefore,

$$W \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{c}'\mathbf{Q}^{-1}\mathbf{c}.$$

Since the  $J$ -dimensional random vector  $\mathbf{c}$  is normally distributed with mean  $\mathbf{0}$  and since  $\mathbf{Q}$  equals  $\text{Var}(\mathbf{c})$ ,

$$\mathbf{c}'\mathbf{Q}^{-1}\mathbf{c} \sim \chi^2(J).$$



# Testing Nonlinear Hypotheses

## Theorem (continued)

- (c) Under the null hypothesis with  $J$  restrictions  $\mathbb{H}_0 : \mathbf{a}(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \mathbf{0}$  such that  $\mathbf{A}(\boldsymbol{\beta})$ , the  $J \times K$  matrix of continuous first derivatives of  $\mathbf{a}(\boldsymbol{\beta})$ , is of full row rank, we have

$$W \equiv n \cdot \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{b})' \left\{ \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{b}) \widehat{\text{Avar}}(\mathbf{b}) \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{b})' \right\}^{-1} \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{b}) \xrightarrow{d} \chi^2(J).$$

## Proof.

- (c) The asymptotic result given in (1) and Delta Method imply that

$$\sqrt{n}[\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{b}) - \mathbf{a}(\boldsymbol{\beta})] \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{c}, \quad \mathbf{c} \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{A}(\boldsymbol{\beta}) \text{Avar}(\mathbf{b}) \mathbf{A}(\boldsymbol{\beta})').$$

Under  $\mathbb{H}_0$ ,  $\mathbf{a}(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \mathbf{0}$ , therefore

$$\sqrt{n}\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{b}) \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{c}, \quad \mathbf{c} \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{A}(\boldsymbol{\beta}) \text{Avar}(\mathbf{b}) \mathbf{A}(\boldsymbol{\beta})').$$

## Proof.

Since  $\mathbf{b} \xrightarrow{p} \beta$ , by Continuous Mapping Theorem,  $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{b}) \xrightarrow{p} \mathbf{A}(\beta)$ . Hence,

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{b})\widehat{\mathbf{A}\text{var}(\mathbf{b})}\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{b})' \xrightarrow{p} \mathbf{A}(\beta)\mathbf{A}\text{var}(\mathbf{b})\mathbf{A}(\beta)' = \text{Var}(\mathbf{c}).$$

Because  $\mathbf{A}(\beta)$  is of full row rank and  $\mathbf{A}\text{var}(\mathbf{b})$  is positive definite,  $\text{Var}(\mathbf{c})$  is invertible. Then

$$\sqrt{n}\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{b})' \left\{ \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{b})\widehat{\mathbf{A}\text{var}(\mathbf{b})}\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{b})' \right\}^{-1} \sqrt{n}\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{b}) \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{c}'\text{Var}(\mathbf{c})^{-1}\mathbf{c} \sim \chi^2(J).$$





# Implications of Conditional Homoskedasticity

⑦ **Assumption 2.7 (conditional homoskedasticity):**  $\mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_i^2 | \mathbf{x}_i) = \sigma^2 > 0$ .

Theorem (large-sample properties of  $\mathbf{b}$ ,  $t$ , and  $F$  under Conditional Homoskedasticity)

Suppose Assumptions 2.1-2.5 and 2.7 are satisfied. Then

- (a) (**Asymptotic distribution of  $\mathbf{b}$** ) The OLS estimate  $\mathbf{b}$  of  $\beta$  is consistent and asymptotically normal with  $\text{Avar}(\mathbf{b}) = \sigma^2 \Sigma_{\mathbf{xx}}^{-1}$ .
- (b) (**Consistent estimation of asymptotic variance**) Under the same set of assumptions,  $\text{Avar}(\mathbf{b})$  is consistently estimated by  $\widehat{\text{Avar}}(\mathbf{b}) = s^2 \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{xx}}^{-1} = n \cdot s^2 \cdot (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}$ .
- (c) (**Asymptotic distribution of the  $t$  and  $F$  statistics of the finite-sample theory**) Under  $\mathbb{H}_0 : \beta_k = \bar{\beta}_k$ , the usual  $t$ -ratio is asymptotically distributed as  $N(0, 1)$ . Under  $\mathbb{H}_0 : \mathbf{R}\beta = \mathbf{r}$ ,  $J \cdot F$  is asymptotically  $\chi^2(J)$ , where  $F$  is the  $F$  statistic and  $J$  is the number of restrictions in  $\mathbb{H}_0$ .

## Proof.

(a) Under Assumption 2.7, we have

$$\mathbf{S} = \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i' \varepsilon_i^2) = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i' \mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_i^2 | \mathbf{x}_i)] = \sigma^2 \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}}.$$

Therefore,  $\text{Avar}(\mathbf{b}) = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}}^{-1} \mathbf{S} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}}^{-1} = \sigma^2 \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}}^{-1}$ .

(b) By ergodic stationary,  $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}} \xrightarrow{p} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}}$ . Therefore,  $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}}^{-1} \xrightarrow{p} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}}^{-1}$ . Note that  $s^2$  is consistent for  $\sigma^2$  under Assumptions 2.1-2.4. So,  $s^2 \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}}^{-1} \xrightarrow{p} \sigma^2 \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}}^{-1}$ .<sup>a</sup>

(c) Recall that the usual  $t$ -ratio is given by

$$t_k \equiv \frac{b_k - \bar{\beta}_k}{SE(b_k)} \equiv \frac{b_k - \bar{\beta}_k}{\sqrt{s^2 \cdot ((\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1})_{kk}}}.$$

And, the robust  $t$ -ratio is

$$t_k = \frac{b_k - \bar{\beta}_k}{SE^*(b_k)} \quad \text{with} \quad SE^*(b_k) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \cdot \widehat{\text{Avar}}(b_k)} = \sqrt{s^2 \cdot ((\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1})_{kk}}.$$

So the usual finite-sample  $t$ -ratio is numerically identical to the robust  $t$ -ratio, and hence asymptotically distributed as  $N(0, 1)$ .

<sup>a</sup>Under conditional homoskedasticity, we do not need Assumption 2.6 for consistency.

Proof.

(c) Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
 W &= n \cdot (\mathbf{R}\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{r})' \left\{ \mathbf{R}[\widehat{\text{Avar}}(\mathbf{b})]\mathbf{R}' \right\}^{-1} (\mathbf{R}\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{r}) \\
 &= n \cdot (\mathbf{R}\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{r})' \left\{ \mathbf{R}[n \cdot s^2 \cdot (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}]\mathbf{R}' \right\}^{-1} (\mathbf{R}\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{r}) \\
 &= (\mathbf{R}\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{r})' \left\{ \mathbf{R}[(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}]\mathbf{R}' \right\}^{-1} (\mathbf{R}\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{r}) / s^2 \\
 &= J \cdot F \\
 &= (SSR_R - SSR_U) / s^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the Wald statistic  $W$  is numerically identical to  $J \cdot F$ . □

# 特例：解释变量联合显著性检验

For a regression with a constant, consider the null hypothesis that the coefficients of the  $K - 1$  nonconstant regressors are all zero. We have shown that, under conditional homoskedasticity,

$$F = \frac{R^2/(K - 1)}{(1 - R^2)/(n - K)}.$$

From this equation, we can derive:

$$nR^2 = \frac{1}{\frac{n - K}{n} + \frac{1}{n}(K - 1)F} (K - 1)F.$$

Since  $(K - 1)F$  converges in distribution to a random variable,  $\frac{1}{n}(K - 1)F \xrightarrow{p} 0$ . Then, the asymptotic distribution of the RHS is the same as that of  $(K - 1)F$ , which is  $\chi^2(K - 1)$ .

# Resampling Methods: Jackknife and Bootstrap

# Jackknife

- The jackknife estimates moments of estimators using the distribution of the **leave-one-out estimators**.
- Let  $\hat{\theta}$  be any estimator of a vector-valued parameter  $\theta$  which is a function of a random sample of size  $n$ . Let  $V_{\hat{\theta}} = \text{Var}(\hat{\theta})$  be the variance of  $\hat{\theta}$ .
- Define the leave-one-out estimators  $\hat{\theta}_{(-i)}$  which are computed using the formula for  $\hat{\theta}$  except that observation  $i$  is deleted.
- The jackknife estimator for  $V_{\hat{\theta}}$  is defined as

$$\hat{V}_{\hat{\theta}}^{\text{jack}} = \frac{n-1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \hat{\theta}_{(-i)} - \bar{\theta} \right) \left( \hat{\theta}_{(-i)} - \bar{\theta} \right)', \quad (3)$$

where  $\bar{\theta}$  is the sample mean of the leave-one-out estimators

$$\bar{\theta} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\theta}_{(-i)}.$$

To motivate (3), consider the case where  $\hat{\theta}$  is the mean of  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . We have

$$\hat{\theta}_{(-i)} = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{j \neq i} x_j = \frac{n}{n-1} \bar{x} - \frac{1}{n-1} x_i, \quad \bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i,$$

$$\bar{\theta} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\theta}_{(-i)} = \bar{x},$$

$$\hat{\theta}_{(-i)} - \bar{\theta} = \frac{1}{n-1} (\bar{x} - x_i).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{V}_{\hat{\theta}}^{\text{jack}} &= \frac{n-1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{1}{n-1} \right)^2 (\bar{x} - x_i)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \left( \frac{1}{n-1} \right) \sum_{i=1}^n (\bar{x} - x_i)^2. \end{aligned}$$

This is the conventional estimator for the variance of  $\bar{x}$ .

- Formula (3) is quite general and does not require any technical calculations. However, it requires  $n$  separate estimations, which in some cases can be computationally costly.
- In most cases  $\hat{V}_{\hat{\theta}}^{\text{jack}}$  will be similar to a robust asymptotic variance matrix estimator.
- The main attractions of the jackknife estimator are that it can be used when an explicit asymptotic variance formula is not available.



# Bootstrap

- The bootstrap distribution is obtained by estimation on independent samples created by i.i.d. sampling (sampling with replacement) from the original dataset.
- Let  $B$  be the number of bootstrap draws. The **bootstrap estimator of variance** of an estimator  $\hat{\theta}$  is the sample variance across the bootstrap draws:

$$\hat{V}_{\hat{\theta}}^{\text{boot}} = \frac{1}{B-1} \sum_{b=1}^B \left( \hat{\theta}^*(b) - \bar{\theta}^* \right) \left( \hat{\theta}^*(b) - \bar{\theta}^* \right)',$$

$$\bar{\theta}^* = \frac{1}{B} \sum_{b=1}^B \hat{\theta}^*(b),$$

where  $\hat{\theta}^*(b)$  denotes the bootstrap estimate of  $\theta$  based on the  $b$ -th bootstrap sample.

- Let  $\{\hat{\theta}_1^*, \hat{\theta}_2^*, \dots, \hat{\theta}_B^*\}$  be an i.i.d. sample of bootstrap estimates of a parameter  $\theta$ .
- For any  $0 < \tau < 1$ , one can calculate the empirical quantile  $q_\tau^*$  of these bootstrap estimates.
- The **percentile bootstrap**  $100(1 - \tau)\%$  **confidence interval** is

$$C^{\text{PC}} = [q_{\tau/2}^*, q_{1-\tau/2}^*].$$

For example, if  $B = 1000$ ,  $\tau = 0.05$ , and the empirical quantile estimator is used, then  $C^{\text{PC}} = [\hat{\theta}_{(25)}^*, \hat{\theta}_{(975)}^*]$ .

# Bootstrap Hypothesis Tests

To test  $\mathbb{H}_0 : \theta = \theta_0$  against  $\mathbb{H}_1 : \theta \neq \theta_0$ , the bootstrap  $t$ -statistic is

$$t^* = \frac{\hat{\theta}^* - \hat{\theta}}{s(\hat{\theta}^*)},$$

where  $\hat{\theta}^*$  is the bootstrap estimator of  $\theta$  and  $s(\hat{\theta}^*)$  is the bootstrap standard error. The bootstrap  $p$ -value is given by

$$p^* = \frac{1}{B} \sum_{b=1}^B \mathbf{1}(|t^*(b)| > |t|),$$

that is, the percentage of bootstrap  $t$ -statistics that are larger than the observed  $t$ -statistic.

When standard errors are not available or are not reliable, we can use the non-studentized statistic  $t = \hat{\theta} - \theta_0$ . The bootstrap version is  $t^* = \hat{\theta}^* - \hat{\theta}$ . The bootstrap  $p$ -value is

$$p^* = \frac{1}{B} \sum_{b=1}^B \mathbf{1} \left( \left| \hat{\theta}^*(b) - \hat{\theta} \right| > \left| \hat{\theta} - \theta_0 \right| \right).$$

Similarly, the bootstrap Wald statistic for testing  $\mathbb{H}_0 : \boldsymbol{\theta} = \boldsymbol{\theta}_0$  is

$$W^* = \left( \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^* - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \right)' \hat{\mathbf{V}}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}}^{*-1} \left( \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^* - \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \right).$$

The bootstrap  $p$ -value is given by

$$p^* = \frac{1}{B} \sum_{b=1}^B \mathbf{1} (W^*(b) > W).$$

If a reliable covariance matrix estimator  $\hat{\mathbf{V}}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}}$  is not available, a Wald-type test can be implemented with any positive-definite weight matrix instead of  $\hat{\mathbf{V}}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}}$ .

# Bootstrap for Regression

Consider the linear regression model

$$y_i = \mathbf{x}_i' \boldsymbol{\beta} + \varepsilon_i, \quad \mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_i | \mathbf{x}_i) = 0, \quad \mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j) = 0, \forall i \neq j$$

where there are  $n$  observations.

## 1 The Residual Bootstrap

- Obtain the OLS estimates  $\mathbf{b}$  and residuals  $e_i$ .
- Obtain the rescaled residuals using  $u_i \equiv \left( \frac{n}{n-K} \right)^{1/2} e_i$ .
- Generate the bootstrap sample by the equation

$$y_i^* = \mathbf{x}_i' \mathbf{b} + u_i^*, \quad u_i^* \sim \text{EDF}(u_i).$$

**Remark:** The residual bootstrap is not valid if the error terms are not independently and identically distributed.

## 2 The Wild Bootstrap

- Obtain the OLS estimates  $\mathbf{b}$  and residuals  $e_i$ .
- Generate the bootstrap sample by the equation

$$y_i^* = \mathbf{x}_i' \mathbf{b} + e_i v_i^*,$$

where  $v_i^*$  is a random variable with mean 0 and variance 1. In practice, the following two-point distribution is most commonly used to generate  $v_i^*$ :

$$v_i^* = \begin{cases} \frac{-(\sqrt{5}-1)}{2} & \text{with probability } \frac{(\sqrt{5}+1)}{2\sqrt{5}} \\ \frac{(\sqrt{5}+1)}{2} & \text{with probability } \frac{(\sqrt{5}-1)}{2\sqrt{5}}. \end{cases}$$

# References



Fumio Hayashi. (2000)

*Econometrics, Chapter 2.*

Princeton University Press, 2000.



Jeffrey M. Wooldridge. (2010)

*Econometric Analysis of Cross Section and Panel Data, 2nd, Chapter 3.*

The MIT Press, 2010.



Bruce E. Hansen. (2019)

*Econometrics, Chapter 10.*

<https://www.ssc.wisc.edu/~bhansen/econometrics/> [▶ Link](#)



James G. MacKinnon. (2006)

*Bootstrap Methods in Econometrics.*

The Economic Record **82**: S2–S18.