

Stat 134 Lec 5

Warm up 9:00 - 9:10

Halloween will be here before you know it and the children in your neighborhood will come trick-or-treating (that is, they will come to your door and demand candy). Suppose there are 20 children in your neighborhood and 30 houses (one of which is yours). Each child independently chooses 10 houses at random without replacement to visit.

- a What is the probability that a specific child will visit your house? $P = \frac{1}{3}$
- b What is the probability that exactly 10 children visit your house?

$$P(k=10) = \binom{20}{10} \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{10} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{10}$$

$n=20$
 $P=\frac{1}{3}$
 $k=10$

Last time

Sec 2.1 The Binomial Distribution

A Bernoulli trial has 2 outcomes, success and failure.

$$\text{Prob } q = 1 - p$$

Prob p

(think of tossing a coin having prob p of landing head)

n independent Bernoulli trials, each with prob p of success, has a Binomial distribution written $\text{Bin}(n, p)$.

For $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$ the Binomial formula

$$\text{say } P(k) = \binom{n}{k} p^k q^{n-k}$$

\nwarrow the prob of getting exactly k out of n successes. $\nearrow n!$ $\nearrow k!(n-k)!$

if $n=5, p=\frac{1}{2}$

$$P(0) = \binom{5}{0} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^0 \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^5 = \frac{1}{32}$$

$$P(1) = \binom{5}{1} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^1 \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^4 = \frac{5}{32}$$

$$P(2) = \binom{5}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^3 = \frac{10}{32}$$

$$P(3) = \frac{10}{32}$$


$$P(4) = \frac{5}{32}$$

$$P(5) = \frac{1}{32}$$


1	1	0	0	0
1	0	1	0	0
1	0	0	1	0
1	0	0	0	1
0	1	1	0	0
0	1	0	1	0
0	1	0	0	1
0	0	1	1	0
0	0	1	0	1
0	0	0	1	1

number of arrangements of two 1s in 5 slots


$$\binom{5}{2} = \frac{5!}{2! \cdot 3!} = 10$$



round 1



round 2



← people wrote b after we discussed in class 😊

ex

Wednesday January 30 2019

1. Ten cards are dealt off the top of a well shuffled deck. The binomial formula doesn't apply to find the chance of getting exactly three diamonds because:

- a The probability of a trial being successful changes
- b** The trials aren't independent
- c There isn't a fixed number of trials
- d more than one of the above

b

only the conditional probability is changing each card turn, and not the unconditional probability. with Bernoulli distribution we use the unconditional.

c

We don't know the number of trials

d

a and b are correct: the probability of getting a Diamond changes as each of the 10 cards is dealt, therefore the trials are not independent

Compare with :

- . A well shuffled deck is cut in half so there are 7 ~~a~~^{diamonds} in the first half deck and 6 ~~a~~^{diamonds} in the second half deck. Five cards are dealt off the top of one half deck and five cards are dealt off the top of the other half deck. The binomial formula doesn't apply to find the chance of getting exactly three diamonds total because:

- **a** The probability of a trial being successful changes
- **b** The trials aren't independent
- **c** There isn't a fixed number of trials
- **d** more than one of the above

Today

- ① Finish sec 2.1 Binomial distributions
- ② Start sec 2.2 Normal approximations to the binomial.

① Binomial Dist The mode and mean
 what does the binomial distribution look like
 for different n, p ?
 (most likely outcome)


Defⁿ (Mode)

The mode of the binomial distribution is the most likely outcomes (i.e. the k such that $P(k) = \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k}$ is largest)


* Proof at end of notes using $\frac{P(k)}{P(k-1)}$, the consecutive odds ratio,
Thm For $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$

- $K < np + p$ iff $P(k-1) > P(k)$
- $K = np + p$ iff $P(k-1) = P(k)$
- $K > np + p$ iff $P(k-1) < P(k)$

$$\begin{aligned} n &= 5 \\ p &= \frac{1}{2} \\ np + p &= 3 \end{aligned}$$




Picture



$$\begin{aligned} n &= 5 \\ k &= 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 \\ p &= \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$$

$$np + p = 5 \cdot \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} = 3$$



$$\text{ex } n=5, p=\frac{1}{4}, k=1, 2, 3, 4, 5$$

$$np + p = 5 \cdot \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} = 1.5$$

The mode for the binomial distribution has 2 cases:

$$\text{mode} = \begin{cases} m & \text{if } np+p \notin \mathbb{Z} \\ m+1, m & \text{if } np+p \in \mathbb{Z} \end{cases}$$

where $m = \lfloor np+p \rfloor$


↑
the integer part of $np+p$

The mode is a measure of the center of the data.
However, the true center of your data is the expectation (i.e. the mean).

Fact ← shown in Chap 3
The expected (mean) number of success is
 $\Rightarrow m = np$

This isn't usually an integer

$$\text{e.g. } n=5, p=\frac{1}{2}, m=np=5/2$$



Given $0 < p < 1$, if the mean is an integer is it a mode?

$$np \in \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow np+p \notin \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow \text{there is a single mode}$$

$$m = \lfloor np+p \rfloor = np$$

↑ the mean.


Fact ← shown in Chap 3
the average spread around the mean
(standard deviation) is $\sigma = \sqrt{npq}$ where $q = 1-p$

Notice that the spread around the mean gets larger as n gets bigger.


P88

Binomial ($n, \frac{1}{2}$)

$$\sigma = \sqrt{n p q} = \sqrt{n \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2}} = \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}$$



Notice
Spread ↑
as $n \uparrow$



50% ± 10%

50 ± 10



Stat 134

Chapter 2 Friday February 1 2019

1. A fair coin is tossed, and you win a dollar if there are exactly 50% heads. Which is better?

a 10 tosses

b 100 tosses

$$P(5) = \binom{10}{5} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^5 \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^5 = .246$$

$$P(50) = \binom{100}{50} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{50} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{50} = .08$$

Note, this doesn't contradict the law of averages. As n gets large you get 50% \pm a really small percentage. However a small percentage of a large number can still be many possibilities, and it is unlikely to get exactly 50%,

② sec 2.2 The normal distribution


The normal curve is $y = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^2}$

Notice :

- ① two param $\mu = \text{mean}$
 $\sigma = \text{std dev}$
- ② inflection pts $\mu \pm \sigma$
- ③ almost all data between $\mu \pm 3\sigma$

P93

FIGURE 1. The normal curve.



To find the area under the curve it is convenient to make a change of coordinates

$$z = \frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}$$

This makes $\mu=0$ and $\sigma=1$

$$\phi(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}z^2}$$


std normal curve

Define cumulative distribution function (cdf)

as $\Phi(z) = \int_{-\infty}^z \phi(t) dt$

\leftarrow area between $-\infty$ and z



P95



We can't solve integral $\Phi(z)$ but instead use a look up table.

Notice

$$\Phi(-z) = 1 - \Phi(z)$$



Appendix 5

Normal Table

Table shows values of $\Phi(z)$ for z from 0 to 3.59 by steps of .01. Example: to find $\Phi(1.23)$, look in row 1.2 and column .03 to find $\Phi(1.2 + .03) = \Phi(1.23) = .8907$. Use $\Phi(z) = 1 - \Phi(-z)$ for negative z .

Find area between 1 and -1 in std normal curve:

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi(1) - \Phi(-1) \\ &= .8413 - (1 - .6413) \\ &= .68 \end{aligned}$$

	.0	.01	.02	.03	.04	.05	.06	.07	.08	.09
0.0	.5000	.5040	.5080	.5120	.5160	.5199	.5239	.5279	.5319	.5359
0.1	.5398	.5438	.5478	.5517	.5557	.5596	.5636	.5675	.5714	.5753
0.2	.5793	.5832	.5871	.5910	.5948	.5987	.6026	.6064	.6103	.6141
0.3	.6179	.6217	.6255	.6293	.6331	.6368	.6406	.6443	.6480	.6517
0.4	.6554	.6591	.6628	.6664	.6700	.6736	.6772	.6808	.6844	.6879
0.5	.6915	.6950	.6985	.7019	.7054	.7088	.7123	.7157	.7190	.7224
0.6	.7257	.7291	.7324	.7357	.7389	.7422	.7454	.7486	.7517	.7549
0.7	.7580	.7611	.7642	.7673	.7703	.7734	.7764	.7794	.7823	.7852
0.8	.7881	.7910	.7939	.7967	.7995	.8023	.8051	.8078	.8106	.8133
0.9	.8159	.8186	.8212	.8238	.8264	.8289	.8315	.8340	.8365	.8389
1.0	.8413	.8438	.8461	.8485	.8508	.8531	.8554	.8577	.8599	.8621
1.1	.8643	.8665	.8686	.8708	.8729	.8749	.8770	.8790	.8810	.8830
1.2	.8849	.8869	.8888	.8907	.8925	.8944	.8962	.8980	.8997	.9015
1.3	.9032	.9049	.9066	.9082	.9099	.9115	.9131	.9147	.9162	.9177
1.4	.9192	.9207	.9222	.9236	.9251	.9265	.9279	.9292	.9306	.9319
1.5	.9332	.9345	.9357	.9370	.9382	.9394	.9406	.9418	.9429	.9441
1.6	.9452	.9463	.9474	.9484	.9495	.9505	.9515	.9525	.9535	.9545
1.7	.9554	.9564	.9573	.9582	.9591	.9599	.9608	.9616	.9625	.9633
1.8	.9641	.9649	.9656	.9664	.9671	.9678	.9686	.9693	.9699	.9706
1.9	.9713	.9719	.9726	.9732	.9738	.9744	.9750	.9756	.9761	.9767
2.0	.9772	.9778	.9783	.9788	.9793	.9798	.9803	.9808	.9812	.9817
2.1	.9821	.9826	.9830	.9834	.9838	.9842	.9846	.9850	.9854	.9857
2.2	.9861	.9864	.9868	.9871	.9875	.9878	.9881	.9884	.9887	.9890
2.3	.9893	.9896	.9898	.9901	.9904	.9906	.9909	.9911	.9913	.9916
2.4	.9918	.9920	.9922	.9925	.9927	.9929	.9931	.9932	.9934	.9936
2.5	.9938	.9940	.9941	.9943	.9945	.9946	.9948	.9949	.9951	.9952
2.6	.9953	.9955	.9956	.9957	.9959	.9960	.9961	.9962	.9963	.9964
2.7	.9965	.9966	.9967	.9968	.9969	.9970	.9971	.9972	.9973	.9974
2.8	.9974	.9975	.9976	.9977	.9977	.9978	.9979	.9979	.9980	.9981
2.9	.9981	.9982	.9982	.9983	.9984	.9984	.9985	.9985	.9986	.9986
3.0	.9987	.9987	.9987	.9988	.9988	.9989	.9989	.9989	.9990	.9990
3.1	.9990	.9991	.9991	.9991	.9992	.9992	.9992	.9992	.9993	.9993
3.2	.9993	.9993	.9994	.9994	.9994	.9994	.9994	.9995	.9995	.9995
3.3	.9995	.9995	.9995	.9996	.9996	.9996	.9996	.9996	.9996	.9997
3.4	.9997	.9997	.9997	.9997	.9997	.9997	.9997	.9997	.9997	.9998
3.5	.9998	.9998	.9998	.9998	.9998	.9998	.9998	.9998	.9998	.9998

Find area between 3 and -3.

.997

This is known as the empirical rule.

Appendix

Thm

- (1) $K < np + p$ iff $P(K-1) < P(K)$
- (2) $K > np + p$ iff $P(K-1) > P(K)$
- (3) $K = np + p$ iff $P(K-1) = P(K)$

PF/

First note that $\frac{\binom{n}{K}}{\binom{n}{K-1}} = \frac{\frac{n!}{K!(n-K)!}}{\frac{n!}{(K-1)!(n-K+1)!}} = \boxed{\frac{n-K+1}{K}}$

Called the
consecutive
odds ratio

$\frac{P(K)}{P(K-1)}$ / binomial formula $= \frac{(n)_K p^K (1-p)^{n-K}}{(n)_{K-1} p^{K-1} (1-p)^{n-K+1}} = \boxed{\frac{n-K+1}{K} \cdot \frac{p}{1-p}}$

$$P(K-1) < P(K)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow 1 < \frac{P(K)}{P(K-1)}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow 1 < \frac{n-K+1}{K} \cdot \frac{p}{1-p}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow K(1-p) < (n-K+1)p$$

$$\Leftrightarrow K - Kp < np - nk + p$$

$$\Leftrightarrow K < np + p$$

$$\text{so } P(K-1) < P(K) \Leftrightarrow K < np + p$$

similarly for $>$ or $=$ instead of $<$

□