

# Exercise 1

Yu take a trip to Curtis Orchard are interested in Ambrosia and Winesap apples. Assume the following:

- All apple weights are independent.
  - The weight of the Ambrosia apples is normally distributed with a mean of 90 grams and a standard deviation of 4 grams. Let  $A$  be the weight of a randomly selected Ambrosia apple.
  - The weight of the Winesap apples is normally distributed with a mean of 88 grams and a standard deviation of 6 grams. Let  $W$  be the weight of a randomly selected Winesap apple.
- (0.5 pt) Suppose you pick 5 Winesap apples at random. Assuming independence, what is the probability that that the **average** weight of the 5 applies is less than 89 grams?
  - (0.5 pt) Suppose you pick 5 Ambrosia apples at random. Assuming independence, what is the probability that that the **total** weight of the 5 applies is more than 446 grams?
  - (0.5 pt) Suppose you pick one Ambrosia and One Winesap apple at random. What is the probability that the Ambrosia apple weighs less than the Winesap apple?
  - (1 pt) Suppose you pick 5 Winesap apples and 5 Ambrosia apples. What is the probability that their total weight is less than 900g?
  - (0.5 pt) Suppose you continue to pick Winesap apples until you get three that weigh over 95g. Let  $X$  represent the number of apples you must pick. Find  $E[X]$ .

$$A \sim \text{Normal}(\mu=90, \sigma^2=16) ; W \sim \text{Normal}(\mu=88, \sigma^2=36)$$

from calculator/R

from Normal Table

$$a) \bar{W} \sim \text{Normal}(\mu=88, \sigma^2=36/5)$$

$$P[\bar{W} < 89] = P\left[Z < \frac{89-88}{6/\sqrt{5}}\right] \approx 0.6453$$

$$\approx P[Z < 0.37] \approx 0.6443$$

$$b) \sum_{i=1}^5 A_i \sim \text{Normal}(\mu=5 \cdot 90, \sigma^2=5 \cdot 16)$$

$$P\left[\sum_{i=1}^5 A_i > 446\right] = P\left[Z > \frac{446-450}{4\sqrt{5}}\right] \approx 0.6726$$

$$\approx P[Z > -0.45] \approx 0.6736$$

$$c) \text{Solve } P[A < W] \rightarrow P[A - W < 0]$$

Let  $X$  be the distribution of  $A - W$  :  $X \sim \text{Normal}(\mu=90-88, \sigma^2=16+36)$

$$P[X < 0] = P\left[Z < \frac{0-2}{\sqrt{52}}\right] \approx 0.3908$$

$$\approx P[Z < -0.28] \approx 0.3897$$

$$d) \text{Solve } P\left[\sum_{i=1}^5 W_i + A_i < 900\right]$$

Let  $Y$  be  $\sum_{i=1}^5 W_i + A_i \rightarrow Y \sim \text{Normal}(5(90+88), 5(16+36))$

$$P[Y < 900] = P\left[Z < \frac{900-890}{2\sqrt{65}}\right] \approx 0.7324$$

$$\approx P[Z < 0.62] \approx 0.7324$$

$$e) P[W > 95] = P\left[Z > \frac{95-88}{6}\right] = P\left[Z > \frac{7}{6}\right] \approx 0.1218 \leftarrow \text{probability of one Winesap Apple}$$

$$X \sim \text{NBinoomial}(r=3, p=0.1218) \rightarrow \mu = E[X] = r\left(\frac{1}{p}\right) \approx 24.66 \text{ apples}$$

$$= 3\left(\frac{1}{0.121}\right) \approx 24.79 \text{ apples}$$

## Exercise 2

Consider two random variables  $X$  and  $Y$ , where

- $\sigma_X = 5$
- $\sigma_Y = 2$
- $\text{Var}[2X - 3Y] = 80$

(2 pts) Calculate the correlation between  $X$  and  $Y$ ,  $\rho_{XY}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_x &= 5 \rightarrow \sigma_x^2 = 25 \\ \sigma_y &= 2 \rightarrow \sigma_y^2 = 4\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Var}[2X - 3Y] &= (2^2)\sigma_x^2 - (2)(6)\sigma_{xy} + (3^2)\sigma_y^2 \\ 80 &= 4(25) - 12\sigma_{xy} + 9(4)\end{aligned}$$

$$12\sigma_{xy} = 56$$

$$\sigma_{xy} = 14/3 \approx 4.667$$

$$\rho_{xy} = \frac{\sigma_{xy}}{\sigma_x \sigma_y} = \frac{14/3}{5 \cdot 2} = 7/15 \approx 0.4667$$

## Exercise 3

Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{100}$  be a i.i.d. random sample of size  $n=100$  from a distribution with probability density function:

$$f(x) = 6x(1-x), \quad 0 < x < 1$$

(3 pts) Approximate

$$P(0.45 < \bar{X} < 0.5), \quad \text{where } \bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$

Hint: find  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$ .

$$\mu = E[X] = \int_0^1 6x^2 - 6x^3 dx = \left[ 2x^3 - \frac{3}{2}x^4 \right]_0^1 = 1/2 = 0.50$$

$$E[X^2] = \int_0^1 6x^3 - 6x^4 dx = \left[ \frac{3}{2}x^4 - \frac{6}{5}x^5 \right]_0^1 = 3/10 = 0.30$$

$$\sigma^2 = \text{Var}[X] = E[X^2] - (E[X])^2 = \frac{3}{10} - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 = 1/20 = 0.05$$

$$\bar{X} \sim \text{Normal}\left(\mu = \frac{1}{2}, \sigma^2 = \frac{1}{2000}\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}P[0.45 < \bar{X} < 0.50] &= P[\bar{X} < 0.50] - P[\bar{X} < 0.45] = P\left[Z < \frac{0.50 - 0.50}{1/\sqrt{2000}}\right] - P\left[\frac{0.45 - 0.50}{1/\sqrt{2000}}\right] \\ &= P[Z < 0] - P[Z < -\sqrt{5}] \approx 0.50 - 0.0128 \approx 0.4872 \\ &\approx P[Z < 0] - P[Z < -2.24] \approx 0.50 - 0.0125 \approx 0.4875\end{aligned}$$

## Exercise 4

Because of the randomness, the numbers are not important; however, the code must follow similar logic as the three variants below.

Let  $A \sim N(90, 16)$  and  $W \sim N(88, 36)$ .

a) (1 pt) Use R to generate 2 independent, random samples of size 10 from each of these distributions (A and W). Comparing by elements, what proportion of A are smaller than W?

Defining a function (not necessary but convenient):

```
manzanas = function(sample_size) {  
  ambrosias = rnorm(n = sample_size, mean = 90, sd = 4)  
  winesaps = rnorm(n = sample_size, mean = 88, sd = 6)  
  return(mean(ambrosias < winesaps))  
}
```

---

**n = 10**

```
prop_10 = manzanas(sample_size = 10)  
prop_10
```

```
## [1] 0.1
```

The proportion of A that is smaller than W is 0.1.

---

b) (1 pt) Repeat 4(a) with samples of size  $n = 100$  and  $n = 10000$ . What proportion of A are elementwise smaller than W? (Compare your answer to 1.c))

**n = 100**

```
prop_100 = manzanas(sample_size = 100)  
prop_100
```

```
## [1] 0.4
```

The proportion of A that is smaller than W in this random sample of size  $n = 100$  is 0.4.

**n = 10000**

```
prop_10000 = manzanas(sample_size = 10000)  
prop_10000
```

```
## [1] 0.3952
```

The proportion of A that is smaller than W in this random sample of size  $n = 10000$  is 0.3952.

---

Notice that, as  $n$  increases, the proportion of elementwise  $A < W$  generally becomes closer and closer to the answer from Exercise 1 Part c, which is the true proportion/expectation of the population. This is because a large sample has a narrower sampling distribution than a smaller one (in other words, higher  $n$  leads to lower variance), therefore coming closer to the true proportion/expectation.

Note: Other successful methods include `sum(booleans)/sample_size`, for loops, and more, but we recommend this method for its concision.