Lecture 4 - Key

Simple Random Sampling

Simple random sampling without replacement of size n is the probability sampling design for which a fixed number of n units are selected from a population of N units without replacement such that every possible sample of n units has equal probability of being selected. A resulting sample is called a simple random sample or srs.

Some necessary combinatorial notation:

- (n factorial) $n! = n \times (n-1) \times (n-2) \times \cdots \times 2 \times 1$. This is the number of unique arrangements or orderings (or permutations) of n distinct items.
- (N choose n) $\binom{N}{n} = \frac{N!}{n!(N-n)!} = \frac{N(N-1)...(N-n+1)}{n!}$. This is the number of combinations of n items selected from N distinct items (and the order of selection doesn't matter).
- There are $\binom{N}{n}$ possible SRSs of size n from a population of size N. Each has $P(\mathcal{S}) = \frac{1}{\binom{N}{n}}$

In general, we will assume sampling is without replacement.

Estimation of \bar{y}_U and t

Using the resampling approach from Lab 2, we could calculate variability or construct confidence intervals of our estimators from the approximate sampling distribution created in R. However, in practice the resources are only available to collect a single sample. We are still interested in expressing the uncertainty in the estimator.

A natural **estimator** for the population mean \bar{y}_U is the sample mean \bar{y} . Because \bar{y} is an estimate of an individual unit's y-value, multiplication by the population size N will give us an estimate \hat{t} of the population total t. That is:

$$\hat{y}_U = \bar{y} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i$$
 $*\hat{t} = \frac{N}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i *$

 \hat{y}_U and \hat{t} are **design unbiased**. That is, the average values of \bar{y} and $N\bar{y}$ taken over all possible SRSs equal \bar{y}_U and t, respectively. You can show this analytically or verify it using an approximate sampling distribution of the estimators.

Does design unbiased imply anything about the variation of the estimator?

The next problem is to study the variances of $\hat{\bar{y}}_U$ and \hat{t} .

You have probably been taught that the variance of the sample mean $V(\bar{Y}) = S^2/n$ and this is appropriate for samples from very large or even infinite populations. However in this case we are dealing with finite populations.

Ex. Consider an extreme case where n = N, that is all units are sampled. What is the variability in \bar{y} ?

When dealing with **finite populations** that are not very large, we adjust the variance formulas using the finite population correction (FPC). The finite population adjusts the variance by multiplying by a factor of $1 - \frac{n}{N}$.

Then with the use of FPC the variance formulas are:

$$*V(\hat{\bar{y}}_U) = \frac{S^2}{n} \left(1 - \frac{n}{N} \right) = \frac{S^2}{n} \left(\frac{N - n}{N} \right)$$
 $V(\hat{t}) = N^2 V(\hat{\bar{y}}_U) = N(N - n) \frac{S^2}{n} *$

Using the estimated variance s^2 in place of S^2 in the above formulas and taking the square root gives the standard error (SE) of these estimators.

Notes about the FPC:

- If N is large relative to n, then the FPC will be close to but less than 1. Omitting the FPC from the variance formulas will slightly overestimate the true variance.
- If N is not large relative to n, then omitting the FPC from the variance formulas can seriously overestimate the true variance. That is, there can be a large positive bias.
- As $n \to N$, $\frac{N-n}{N} \to 0$. That is, as the sample size approaches the population size, the FPC approaches 0 and so do the variances above.
- Thinking about this deeper, where does the uncertainty in \bar{y} come from?

The Coefficient of Variation (CV) of an estimator \bar{y} measures the variability relative to the mean:

$$CV(\bar{y}) = \frac{\sqrt{V(\bar{y})}}{E(\bar{y})} = \sqrt{1 - \frac{n}{N}} \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}} \times \bar{y}_U$$

Note the CV does not have a unit of measurement.

The estimated CV uses the standard error divided by the sample mean

$$*CV(\bar{y}) = \frac{SE(\bar{y})}{\bar{y}} = \sqrt{1 - \frac{n}{N}} \frac{s}{\sqrt{n\bar{y}}} *$$

Confidence Intervals for \bar{y}_U and t

Similar to confidence intervals you have seen in other statistics courses, we will use asymptotics and the central limit theorem to construct confidence intervals.

In an introductory statistics course, you were given confidence interval formulas

$$\bar{y} \pm *z \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}} *$$
 and $\bar{y} \pm *t^* \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}} *$

These formulas are applicable if a sample was to be taken from an infinitely or extremely large population. But when we are dealing with finite populations, we adjust our variance formulas by the finite population correction.

In the finite population version of the Central Limit Theorem, we assume the estimators $\hat{\bar{y}}_U = \bar{y}$ and $\hat{t} = N\bar{y}$ have sampling distributions that are approximately normal. That is,

$$*\hat{\bar{y}}_U \stackrel{.}{\sim} \left(\bar{y}_U, \frac{N-n}{N} \frac{S^2}{n}\right) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \hat{t} \stackrel{.}{\sim} \left(t, N(N-n) \frac{S^2}{n}\right) *$$

For large samples, approximate $100(1-\alpha)\%$ confidence intervals for \bar{y}_U and t are:

For
$$\bar{y}_U$$
 For t :
$$\bar{y} \pm z^* \sqrt{\left(\frac{N-n}{N}\right) \frac{s^2}{n}} \qquad N\bar{y} \pm z^* \sqrt{N(N-n) \frac{s^2}{n}}$$

$$\bar{y} \pm z^* s \sqrt{\left(\frac{N-n}{N}\right)/n} \qquad N\bar{y} \pm z^* s \sqrt{N(N-n)/n},$$

where z^* is the upper $\alpha/2$ critical value from the standard normal distirbution

For smaller samples, approximate $100(1-\alpha)\%$ confidence intervals for \bar{y}_U and t are:

For
$$\bar{y}_U$$
 For t :
$$\bar{y} \pm t^* \sqrt{\left(\frac{N-n}{N}\right) \frac{s^2}{n}} \qquad N\bar{y} \pm t^* \sqrt{N(N-n) \frac{s^2}{n}}$$

$$\bar{y} \pm t^* s \sqrt{\left(\frac{N-n}{N}\right)/n} \qquad N\bar{y} \pm t^* s \sqrt{N(N-n)/n},$$

where t^* is the upper $\alpha/2$ critical values from the t distribution with n-1 degrees of freedom.

So what constitutes a large sample?

The quantity being added and subtracted from $\hat{y}_U = \bar{y}$ or $\hat{t} = N\bar{y}$ in the confidence interval is known as the margin of error.

One-sided Confidence Intervals for \bar{y}_U and t

Occasionally, a researcher may want a one-sided confidence interval. There are two types of one-sided confidence intervals: upper and lower.

Approximate upper and lower $100(1-\alpha)\%$ confidence intervals for \bar{y}_U and t are:

$$\mathbf{pper} \qquad \qquad \mathbf{For} \ \bar{y}_U \qquad \qquad \mathbf{For} \ t: \\ \left(\bar{y} - t^* s \sqrt{\left(\frac{N-n}{N}\right)/n}, \infty\right) \qquad \qquad \left(N\bar{y} - t^* s \sqrt{N(N-n)/n}, \infty\right) \\ \mathbf{lower} \qquad \qquad \left(-\infty, \bar{y} + t^* s \sqrt{\left(\frac{N-n}{N}\right)/n}\right) \qquad \qquad \left(-\infty, N\bar{y} + t^* s \sqrt{N(N-n)/n}, \infty\right),$$

where t^* is the upper α critical value from a t(n-1) distribution. For larger samples, t^* can be replaced with z^{**}

If the response (y-values) cannot be negative, replace $-\infty$ with 0 in the lower confidence interval formulas. If the response cannot be positive, replace ∞ with 0 in the upper confidence formulas.

Later, we will discuss another method of generating a confidence interval called bootstrapping, which is very similar to the methods applied in Lab 2. Bootstrapping is useful with the sample size may be small and the central limit theorem cannot be applied.

Confidence Intervals in R

There are R packages available, but writing code (and functions) in R to compute confidence intervals is straightforward. The code below generates a population of data from a Poisson distribution and samples 10 observations.

The confidence interval for the population mean is (13.56, 16.24).

Another way to do this is to write a function in R. Here is the same code placed into a function.

```
## [1] 13.5636 16.2364
```

Calculate the confidence interval again for varying sizes of n and N (this will require resimulating the data).

- How do your results vary?
- What are the implications of changing n or N?

Proportion Estimation

Suppose we are interested in an attribute associated with the sampling units. The population proportion p is the proportion of population units having that attribute.

Statistically the goal is to estimate proportion p. We use an indicator function that assigns a y_i value to unit i as follows:

$$y_i = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if unit } i \text{ possess the attribute} \\ 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then $t = \sum_{i=1}^{N} y_i$ and $\bar{y}_U = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} y_i = p$. The population proportion p can be expressed as a population mean. Therefore, we will be able to apply SRS methods for estimating \bar{y}_U .

By taking a SRS of size n, we can estimate p with the **sample proportion** \hat{p} of units that possess that attribute:

$$\hat{p} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i}{n} = \bar{y}$$

The sampled proportion \hat{p} is unbiased for p.

For a finite population of 0 and 1 values, the variance of \hat{p} is

$$V(\hat{p}) = \left(\frac{N-n}{N}\right)\frac{S^2}{n} = \left(\frac{N-n}{N}\right)\left(\frac{N}{N-1}\right)\frac{p(1-p)}{n} = \left(\frac{N-n}{N-1}\right)\frac{p(1-p)}{n}$$

Because S^2 is unknown, we estimate it with $s^2 = \frac{n}{n-1} 1\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})$., The square root of this quantity, using s^2 is the standard error of the estimator.

Note: the effects of omitting the FPC from the formulas in large and small samples are the same as earlier.

Confidence intervals for p

Let the random variable Y be the number of units in a SRS of size n that possess the attribute of interest. We know (in theory) that the sampling distribution of Y follows a hypergeometric distribution.

Hypergeometric distribution for SRS: the probability that a SRS of size n will have exactly j sampling units that possess the attribute is:

$$Pr(Y=j) = \frac{\binom{t}{j} \binom{N-t}{n-j}}{\binom{N}{n}}.$$

This is the probability that SRS will consist of j ones and n-j zeroes selected from the population containing t ones and N-t zeros.

Although exact confidence interval calculations can be based on probability values of the hypergeometric distribution, we will use a more common approach that will apply to many sampling situations.

Remember there are t ones and N-t zeros in the population. However, t is unknown. If we can assume that n is small relative to both t and N-t we can use the binomial approximation to the hypergeometric distribution. That is, $Y \sim Binomial(n, p)$.

Although the problem no longer depends on t, it still depends on the unknown proportion parameter p. What is commonly done is to apply the normal approximation to the binomial distribution:

$$\hat{p} \stackrel{.}{\sim} N(p, V(\hat{p})$$

Thus, if the sample size n is large enough, we use $\hat{V}(\hat{p})$ to estimate $V(\hat{p})$. An approximate $100(1-\alpha)\%$ confidence interval for p is:

$$\hat{p} \pm z^* \sqrt{\hat{V}(\hat{p})}$$
 OR $\hat{p} \pm z^* \sqrt{\left(\frac{N-n}{N}\right) \frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{n-1}},$

where z^* is the upper $\alpha/2$ critical value from the standard normal distribution. Sample sizes are typically large enough to use z^* instead of t^* .

The normal approximation will be reasonable given

- n is not too large relative to t or N-t. This will be a problem if p is close to 0 or 1.
- The smaller of $n\hat{p}$ and $n(1-\hat{p})$ is not too small. In most texts, it is suggested that both $n\hat{p}$ and $n(1-\hat{p})$ should be ≥ 5 , while some texts use ≥ 10 .

Sample Size Determination with Simple Random Sampling

It is well known that an increase in sample size n will lead to a more precise estimator of \bar{y}_U or t. It is also obvious that an increase in the sample size n will make the sample more expensive to collect. There will, however, be a limited amount of resources available for data collection.

When designing a sampling plan, the researcher wants to acheive a desired degree of reliability at the lowest possible cost while satisfying the resource limitations for data collection. That is the goal is to get the most information given resources and constraints.

To do this, the researcher tries to achieve a balance to avoid the following mistakes:

- Oversampling: The sampling plan may provide more precision than is needed. Oversampling will lead to increased sampling effort, time, and cost.
- Undersampling: The sampling plan may yield insufficient precision resulting in producing overly-wide confidence intervals. Undersampling will lead to wated time and money.

To determine a sample size n when estimating a parameter θ , we do the following: Estimate the sample size n required so that the probability of the difference between the estimator $\hat{\theta}$ and the parameter being estimated θ exceeds some maximum allowable difference $d = |\hat{\theta} - \theta|$ is at most α . Or equivalently, find n such that $Pr(|\hat{\theta} - \theta| > d) < \alpha$.

Sample Size for \bar{y}_U

Goal: Estimate the SRS size required so the probability that the difference between the estimator $\hat{\bar{y}}_U$ and the population mean \bar{y}_U does not exceed a maximum allowable difference d is at most α .

Mathematically, find n such that $Pr(|\hat{\bar{y}}_U - \bar{y}_U| > d) < \alpha$

Assuming \bar{y} is approximately normally distributed, this is equivalent to finding n so that the margin of error

$$z_{\alpha/2}\sqrt{\left(\frac{N-n}{N}\right)\frac{S^2}{n}} \le d.$$

Solving this inequality for n yields

$$n = \frac{(\frac{zs}{d})^2}{1 + (\frac{zs}{d})^2/N} = \frac{n_0}{1 + \frac{n_0}{N}}$$

where $n_0 = (\frac{zs}{d})^2$ and is the sample size calculation for an infinite population, z is the critical calue from a N(0,1) distribution.

Rounding up the value of n yields the desired sample size.

If the population size N is very large, then $1/N \approx 0$. In this case, $n \approx n_0$. This is the typical formula for infinite sample settings.

There remains one major problem. This sample size formula assumes that you know the population variance S^2 . Therefore to estimate the sample size n, we need a prior estimate of S^2 . Four possible ways to do this are:

- A pilot study: A small sample size pilot study can be conducted prior to the primary study to provide an estimate of S².
- Previous studies: Other similar studies may have been conducted elsewhere and appear in professional journals. Measures of variability from earlier studies may provide an estimate of S².
- Double Sampling: A preliminary SRS of size n_1 is taken and the sample variance s_1^2 is used to estimate S^2 . Using s_1^2 will approximate and adequate sample size n. Then, a further SRS of size $n n_1$ is taken from the remaining unsampled $N n_1$ sampling units.
- Exploiting the structure of the population: Sometimes we may have knowledge of the structure of the population with can provide information about S².

Sample size for t

Goal: Estimate the SRS size required so the probability that the difference between the estimator $\hat{t} = N\bar{y}$ and the population total t does not exceed a maximum allowable difference d is at most α .

Mathematically, find n such that $Pr(|\hat{t}-t|>d)<\alpha$ for a specified maximum allowable difference d.

Assuming $N\bar{y}$ is approximatly normally distributed, this is equivalent to finding n so that the margin of error is $z_{\alpha/2}\sqrt{N(N-n)\frac{S^2}{n}} \leq d$ Solving this inequality for n yields

$$n = \frac{n_0 N^2}{1 + N n_0} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{N^2 n_0} + \frac{1}{N}}.$$

Sample size for p

Goal: Estimate the SRS size required so the probability that the difference between the sample proportion \hat{p} and the population proportion p does not exceed a maximum allowable difference d is at most α .

Mathematically, find n such that $Pr(|\hat{p}-p|>d) \leq \alpha$ for a specified maximum allowable difference d.

Assuming \hat{p} is approximately normally distributed, this is equivalent to finding n so that the margin of error $z_{\alpha/2}\sqrt{\left(\frac{N-n}{N-1}\right)\frac{p(1-p)}{n}} \leq d$.

Solving this inequality for n yields

$$n \approx \frac{1}{\frac{1}{n_0} + \frac{1}{N}}$$

where $n_0 = \frac{z_{\alpha/2}^2 p(1-p)}{d^2}$

Unfortunately, the sample size formulas assume you know the population proportion p, the quantity you are trying to estimate. Thus, to estimate an adequate sample size, we need a prior estimate of p. In addition to the four methods discussed earlier there is another option in this case.

Note that the standard deviation of \hat{p} is largest when p = 1/2. Thus it is conservative to use p = 1/2 if there is no prior reasonable estimate.

Sample Size Calculations in R

```
#### Sample Size Calculations for Proportions
d <- .1 # maximum allowable difference
alpha <- .05 # 95% confidence interval
N <- 500 # population size
p <- .5 # use p= 1/2 for conservative estimate
n.0 <- (qnorm(1-alpha/2)^2 * p *(1-p)) / d^2
n = round(1 / (1/n.0 + 1/N))</pre>
```

For an example where the population size is 500 and the goal is to estimate the proportion with a maximum allowable difference of 0.1, the required sample size is 81.