Statistical Computing Homework 5

Jieying Jiao
05 October 2018

Abstract

This is Jieying Jiao's homework 5 for statistical computing, fall 2018.

Contents

1	Exercise 4.8.1	
	1.1	Verify E- and M- step formula
	1.2	EM algorithm function in R
		generate data and estimate parameters

1 Exercise 4.8.1

1.1 Verify E- and M- step formula

$$\begin{split} Q(\Psi|\Psi^{(k)}) &= E[l_n^c(\Psi)|(x,y)] \\ &= \sum_z P(z|(x,y),\Psi^{(k)}) l_n^c(\Psi) \\ &= \sum_z P(z|(x,y),\Psi^{(k)}) \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m z_{ij} \{log\pi_j + log\varphi(y_i - x_i^T\beta_j;0,\sigma^2)\} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m [\sum_z z_{ij} P(z|(x,y),\Psi^{(k)})] \{log\pi_j + log\varphi(y_i - x_i^T\beta_j;0,\sigma^2)\} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m E(z_{ij}|(x,y),\Psi^{(k)}) \{log\pi_j + log\varphi(y_i - x_i^T\beta_j;0,\sigma^2)\} \end{split}$$

Since z_{ij} is binary random variable, so we have:

$$E(z_{ij}|(x,y), \Psi^{(k)}) = P(z_{ij} = 1|(x,y), \Psi^{(k)})$$

$$= P(z_{ij} = 1|(x_i, y_i), \Psi^{(k)})$$

$$= \frac{P(x_i, y_i, z_{ij} = 1|\Psi^{(k)})}{P(x_i, y_i|\Psi^{(k)})}$$

$$= \frac{\pi_j^{(k)} \varphi(y_i - x_i^T \beta_j; 0, \sigma^2)}{\sum_{j=1}^m \pi_j^{(k)} \varphi(y_i - x_i^T \beta_j; 0, \sigma^2)}$$

$$= p_{ij}^{(k+1)}$$

$$\Rightarrow Q(\Psi|\Psi^{(k)}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} p_{ij}^{(k+1)} \{ log \pi_j + log \varphi(y_i - x_i^T \beta_j; 0, \sigma^2) \}$$

with $p_{ij}^{(k+1)}$ defined above, and it's all known at the k-th iteration step.

Next we need to maximum $Q(\Psi|\Psi^{(k)})$. As we can easily observe:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} p_{ij}^{(k+1)} = 1, \sum_{j=1}^{m} \pi_j = 1$$

$$\begin{split} Q(\Psi|\Psi^{(k)}) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} p_{ij}^{(k+1)} \{log\pi_j + log\varphi(y_i - x_i^T\beta_j; 0, \sigma^2)\} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} p_{ij}^{(k+1)} log\pi_j + (-\frac{1}{2}) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} p_{ij}^{(k+1)} log2\pi\sigma^2 + (-\frac{1}{2}) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} p_{ij}^{(k+1)} \frac{(y_i - x_i\beta_j)^2}{\sigma^2} \\ &= I_1 + I_2 + I_3 \end{split}$$

(1) For $\pi_j^{(k+1)}$:

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial}{\partial \pi_{j}} Q(\Psi | \Psi^{(k)}) &= \frac{\partial I_{1}}{\partial \pi_{j}} \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \pi_{j}} \{ \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} log \pi_{j} \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{ij}^{(k+1)} + log (1 - \pi_{1} - \dots - \pi_{m-1}) \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{im}^{(k+1)} \} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{ij}^{(k+1)}}{\pi_{j}} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{im}^{(k+1)}}{\pi_{m}} \\ &= 0 \end{split}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{ij}^{(k+1)}}{\pi_{j}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{im}^{(k+1)}}{\pi_{m}} = c$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{ij}^{(k+1)} = c\pi_{j}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{ij}^{(k+1)} = c \sum_{j=1}^{m} \pi_{j}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{n} 1 = c$$

$$\Rightarrow c = n$$

$$\Rightarrow \pi_{j}^{(k+1)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{ij}^{(k+1)}}{n}, j = 1, 2, ..., m - 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \pi_{m}^{(k+1)} = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \pi_{j}^{(k+1)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} p_{ij}^{(k+1)} - \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{ij}^{(k+1)}}{n} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{im}^{(k+1)}}{n}$$

(2) For $\beta_j^{(k+1)}$:

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_j} Q(\Psi | \Psi^{(k)}) &= \frac{\partial I_3}{\partial \beta_j} \\ &= -2 \sum_{i=1}^n p_{ij}^{(k+1)} x_i (y_i - x_i^T \beta_j) \\ &= 0 \end{split}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{ij}^{(k+1)} (x_i y_i - x_i x_i^T \beta_j^{(k+1)}) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \beta_j^{(k+1)} = (\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i x_i^T p_{ij}^{(k+1)})^{-1} (\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i p_{ij}^{(k+1)} y_i)$$

(3) For $\sigma^{2^{(k+1)}}$:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma^2} Q(\Psi | \Psi^{(k)}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m p_{ij}^{(k+1)} \left\{ \frac{1}{sigma^2} - \frac{(y_i - x_i^T \beta_j^{(k+1)})^2}{\right\}$$

$$= 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \sigma^{2^{(k+1)}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} p_{ij}^{(k+1)} (y_i - x_i^T \beta_j^{(k+1)})^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} p_{ij}^{(k+1)}}$$
$$= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} p_{ij}^{(k+1)} (y_i - x_i^T \beta_j^{(k+1)})^2}{n}$$

It's easy to verify that the second derivitives are negative, so the above results indeed maximum the conditional expectation.

1.2 EM algorithm function in R

```
regmix_em <- function(y, xmat, pi.init, beta.init, sigma.init,</pre>
                       control=list(maxit = 100, tol = .Machine$double.eps^0.5)) {
 maxit <- control$maxit</pre>
 tol <- control$tol
 n <- nrow(xmat)</pre>
 m <- length(pi.init)</pre>
 pi <- pi.init
  beta <- beta.init
  sigma <- sigma.init
 p <- matrix(0, nrow = n, ncol = m)</pre>
 beta.new <- matrix(0, nrow = ncol(xmat), ncol = m)</pre>
  xmat <- as.matrix(xmat)</pre>
  convergence <- 0
 for (i in 1:maxit) {
    for (obs in 1:n) {
      p[obs, ] \leftarrow pi * dnorm(y[obs] - xmat[obs, ] %*% beta, mean = 0, sd = sigma) /
        sum(pi * dnorm(y[obs] - xmat[obs, ] %*% beta, mean = 0, sd = sigma))
    pi.new <- colMeans(p)</pre>
    for (j in 1:m) {
      beta.new[, j] <- solve(t(xmat) %*% diag(p[, j]) %*% xmat) %*%
        t(xmat) %*% diag(p[, j]) %*% y
    sigma.new <- sqrt(sum(p * (y %*% t(rep(1, m)) - xmat %*% beta.new)^2)/n)
    if (sum(abs(pi-pi.new))+sum(abs(beta-beta.new))+abs(sigma-sigma.new) < tol) {
      break
    pi <- pi.new
    beta <- beta.new
    sigma <- sigma.new
  }
```

1.3 generate data and estimate parameters

```
regmix sim <- function(n, pi, beta, sigma) {</pre>
    K <- ncol(beta)</pre>
    p <- NROW(beta)</pre>
    xmat <- matrix(rnorm(n * p), n, p) # normal covaraites</pre>
    error <- matrix(rnorm(n * K, sd = sigma), n, K)
    ymat <- xmat %*% beta + error # n by K matrix</pre>
    ind <- t(rmultinom(n, size = 1, prob = pi))</pre>
    y <- rowSums(ymat * ind)</pre>
    data.frame(y, xmat)
}
n <- 400
pi \leftarrow c(.3, .4, .3)
bet <- matrix(c( 1, 1, 1,
                 -1, -1, -1), 2, 3)
sig <- 1
set.seed(1205)
dat <- regmix_sim(n, pi, bet, sig)</pre>
fit <- regmix_em(y = dat[,1], xmat = dat[,-1], pi.init = pi / pi / length(pi),
                  beta.init = matrix(c(1, 2, 3, 0, 0, 0), 2, 3),
                  sigma.init = sig / sig,
                  control = list(maxit = 500, tol = 1e-5))
fit$convergence
## [1] 0
fit$iteration.number
## [1] 59
fit$pi
## [1] 0.3858260 0.2687727 0.3454014
fit$beta
##
              [,1]
                        [,2]
                                      [,3]
```

```
## [1,] 0.8796635 0.9912055 -0.9136807
## [2,] 0.9341890 -1.2424681 -1.1990374
```

fit\$sigma

[1] 1.023598

The fitted value are shown above. Also it's shown that the algorithm converged successfully after 59 iterations. As we can observe, if the initial value for β is same for every group, then the value won't get updated in each iteration. So I changed the initial value instead of using all 0's.