$$f(y; \theta; \phi) = exp \left\{ \frac{y(\theta)}{a(\phi)} + c(y; \phi) - \frac{b(\phi)}{a(\phi)} \right\}$$

$$(*)$$

recall exponential family of parameter $\theta = (\theta_1, -, \theta_s)^T$ is of the form

$$f(y;e) = h(y) \exp \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{S} q_{j}(\theta) T_{j}(y_{j}) - B(\theta) \right\}$$
(**)

Assumes: $T_i(y_i) = y_i$ + $g_i(\theta) = \frac{\theta i}{a_i(\theta)}$ (subfamily of exponential family).

Similar to single parameter exponential family except for dispersion term a: (\$).

EX; = Mi Example (Normal model):

$$f(y_{i}', \mu_{i}', 6) = \frac{1}{12\pi 6} \exp\left(-\frac{(y_{i}' - \mu_{i})^{2}}{26^{2}}\right)$$

$$\log f(y_{i}') \mu_{i}, 6) = \log \frac{1}{12\pi 6} - \frac{(y_{i}' - \mu_{i}')^{2}}{26^{2}}$$

$$= -\log (\sqrt{2\pi 6}) - \frac{y_{i}^{2} - 2\mu_{i}' y_{i}' + \mu_{i}'^{2}}{26^{2}}$$

$$= \frac{\eta_{i} \mu_{i}' - \frac{\mu_{i}'}{2}}{6^{2}} - \log (\sqrt{2\pi 6}) - \frac{\eta_{i}^{2}}{26^{2}}$$

So)
$$\alpha(\beta) = 6^{2}$$

$$\theta_{i} = \mu_{i}$$

$$b(\theta_{i}) = \frac{\mu_{i}^{2}}{2} = \frac{\theta_{i}^{2}}{2}$$

$$C(\eta_{i}, \beta) = -\log(\sqrt{\mu_{i}}) - \frac{\eta_{i}^{2}}{26^{2}} \quad (\text{only depends on } 6, \text{ not } \mu_{i})$$

We can learn something about this distribution by considering it's mean and variance. Because we don't have an explicit form of the density, we rely on two facts:

Because we don't have an explicit form of the density, we rely on two facts:
$$1. \operatorname{E}\left[\frac{\partial \log f(Y_i;\theta_i,\phi)}{\partial \theta_i}\right] = 0.$$

$$2. \operatorname{E}\left[\frac{\partial^2 \log f(Y_i;\theta_i,\phi)}{\partial \theta_i^2}\right] + \operatorname{E}\left[\left(\frac{\partial \log f(Y_i;\theta_i,\phi)}{\partial \theta_i}\right)^2\right] = 0.$$
These will also some up later when we take about information matrix.

$$2.~\mathrm{E}\left[rac{\partial^2 \log f(Y_i; heta_i,\phi)}{\partial heta_i^2}
ight] + \mathrm{E}\left[\left(rac{\partial \log f(Y_i; heta_i,\phi)}{\partial heta_i}
ight)^2
ight] = 0.$$

These will also some up later when we talk about information motivix.

For
$$\log f(y_i; heta_i, \phi) = rac{y_i heta_i - b(heta_i)}{a_i(\phi)} + c(y_i, \phi),$$

Using
$$D$$
:

 $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{i}} \log f(y_{i}^{*}; \theta_{i}, \emptyset) = \frac{1}{a_{i}(\theta)} (y_{i}^{*} - b'(\theta_{i}))$
 $E\left[\frac{1}{\alpha_{i}(\theta)}(y_{i}^{*} - b'(\theta_{i}))\right] = 0 \implies b'(\theta_{i}) = E[y_{i}] \implies \text{infunction about the mean is contained in b'(\theta_{i})}$
 $E.g. Normal model$
 $b(\theta_{i}) = \frac{\theta_{i}^{*}}{2} \implies b'(\theta_{i}) = \frac{2\theta_{i}^{*}}{2} = \theta_{i}^{*} = \mu_{i}^{*} = E[y_{i}]$
 $defined$

E.g. Normal model
$$b(\theta_i) = \frac{\theta_i^2}{2} \implies b'(\theta_i) = \frac{2\theta_i}{2} = \theta_i' = \mu_i' = E[Y_i]$$
defined

Using 2:

$$\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \theta_{i}^{2}} \log f(\gamma_{i}^{2}/\theta_{i}, \emptyset) = -\frac{b''(\theta_{i})}{a_{i}^{2}(\emptyset)} \Rightarrow E\left[\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \theta_{i}^{2}} \log f(\gamma_{i}^{2}/\theta_{i}, \emptyset)\right] = -\frac{b''(\theta_{i})}{a(\emptyset)}$$

$$E\left[\left(\frac{\partial \log f(\gamma_{i}/\theta_{i}, \emptyset)}{\partial \theta_{i}}\right)^{2}\right] = E\left[\left(\frac{1}{a_{i}(\emptyset)}(\gamma_{i} - L'(\theta_{i}))\right)^{2}\right] = \frac{1}{a_{i}(\emptyset)^{2}} E\left[\left(\gamma_{i} - \mu_{i}\right)^{2}\right]$$

$$\Rightarrow -\frac{b''(\theta_{i})}{a(\emptyset)} + \frac{1}{a_{i}(\emptyset)^{2}} \operatorname{Var} \gamma_{i} = 0 \Rightarrow \operatorname{Var}\left[\gamma_{i}\right] = a_{i}(\emptyset) b'(\theta_{i})$$

- Var [4,7 positive >> b"(0i) positive & values of 0i => b(0i) strictly convex b'(0i) monotone thereasily so b' exists.

Example (Bernoulli model):

$$f(y_{i}; p_{i}) = p_{i}^{y_{i}}(1 - p_{i})^{1-y_{i}}$$

$$\log f(y_{i}) p_{i}^{y_{i}} = q_{i} \log p_{i} + (1-q_{i}) \log (1-p_{i})$$

$$= q_{i} \frac{1}{\log p_{i}^{p_{i}}} + \frac{1}{\log (1-p_{i})}$$

$$\frac{\sqrt{p_{i}^{y_{i}} - b(p_{i})}}{q_{i}(p_{i})} + c(q_{i}, p_{i})$$

$$\Rightarrow \theta_{i}^{y_{i}} = \log \frac{p_{i}^{y_{i}}}{p_{i}^{y_{i}}} \Rightarrow p_{i}^{y_{i}} = \frac{\exp(e_{i})}{1+\exp(p_{i})}$$

$$= -\log \left(1 - \frac{\exp(e_{i})}{1+\exp(p_{i})}\right)$$

$$= \log \left(1 + \exp(e_{i})\right)$$

$$= \log \left(1 + \exp(e_{i})\right)$$

$$= \log \left(1 + \exp(e_{i})\right)^{2} \exp(e_{i}) \exp(e_{i}) + \left(1 + \exp(e_{i})\right)^{2} \exp(e_{i})$$

$$= \frac{(1 + \exp(e_{i}))^{2} \exp(e_{i}) - \exp(e_{i}) \exp(e_{i})}{(1 + \exp(e_{i}))^{2}} = \frac{\exp(e_{i})}{(1 + \exp(e_{i}))^{2}}$$

$$= \frac{\exp(e_{i})}{(1 + \exp(e_{i}))} \cdot \frac{1}{(1 + \exp(e_{i}))^{2}}$$

Finally, back to modelling. Our **goal** is to build a relationship between the mean of Y_i and covariates x_i .

what to down for
$$q$$
?

What to down for q ?

We know $\mu_i = g'(x_i^T \beta)$

We know $\mu_i = b'(\theta_i)$
 $\Rightarrow b'(\theta_i) = g'(x_i^T \beta)$

OR $\theta_i = b'^{-1}(g'(x_i^T \beta))$

If we choose $g' = b'$, then this will chan up winty! i.e. $\theta_i^* = \underline{x}_i^T \underline{x}_i$.

"Canonicl" or "Actual" link function.

 $\Rightarrow \log_{-1} \text{likelihood is}$
 $l(\beta, \phi \mid \{Y_i, x_i^T\}_{i=1}^n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \{\frac{Y_i}{2}, x_i^T \beta - b(\underline{x}_i^T \beta) + c(Y_i, \phi)\}$

Example (Bernoulli model, cont'd):

$$b(\theta i) = \log (1 + \exp(\theta i))$$

$$b'(\theta i) = \frac{\exp(\theta i)}{1 + \exp(\theta i)} = \frac{\exp(\Xi^{T} B)}{1 + \exp(\Xi^{T} B)}$$

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\exp(\Xi^{T} B)}{1 + \exp(\Xi^{T} B)}$$

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\exp(\Xi^{T} B)}{1 + \exp(\Xi^{T} B)}$$
(Same form as before)

1.4 Marginal and Conditional Likelihoods

Consider a model which has $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2)$, where θ_1 are the parameters of interest and θ_2 are nuisance parameters.

One way to improve estimation for θ_1 is to find a one-to-one transformation of the data Y to (V, W) such that either

$$f_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{y}_{j},\underline{\theta}_{1},\underline{\theta}_{2}) = f_{\mathbf{w},\mathbf{v}}(\underline{\mathbf{w}}_{1},\underline{\mathbf{v}}_{j},\underline{\theta}_{1},\underline{\theta}_{2})$$

$$= f_{\mathbf{w},\mathbf{v}}(\underline{\mathbf{w}}_{1},\underline{\mathbf{v}}_{j},\underline{\theta}_{1},\underline{\theta}_{2}) f_{\mathbf{v}}(\underline{\mathbf{v}}_{j},\underline{\theta}_{1})$$
"marginal"

$$f_{y}(y_{1};\underline{\theta}_{1},\underline{\theta}_{2}) = f_{y}(\underline{w}|\underline{v};\underline{\theta}_{1}) f_{y}(\underline{v};\underline{\theta}_{1};\underline{\theta}_{2}) \qquad \text{(and it brab)}$$

The key feature is that one component of each contains only the parameter of interest.

dienos vivos

Example (Neyman-Scott problem): Let Y_{ij} , i = 1, ..., n, j = 1, 2 be intependent normal random variables with possible different means μ_i but the same variance σ^2 .

Our goal is to estimate σ^2 . Should we be able to?

Following the usual arguments,

$$\begin{split} \hat{\mu}_{i,\text{MLE}} &= \frac{Y_{i1} + Y_{i2}}{2} \\ \hat{\sigma}_{\text{MLE}}^2 &= \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^2 (Y_{ij} - \hat{\mu}_{i,\text{MLE}})^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{j=1}^n \left\{ \left(\frac{Y_{ij}}{I_{ij}} - \frac{Y_{ij} + Y_{i2}}{2} \right)^3 + \left(\frac{Y_{i2}}{I_{ij}} - \frac{Y_{ij} + Y_{i2}}{2} \right)^2 \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{j=1}^n \left\{ \left(\frac{Y_{ij} - Y_{i2}}{2} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{Y_{i2} - Y_{ii}}{2} \right)^2 \right\}_{\text{ferms are equivalent}} \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{Y_{ij}} \left(\frac{Y_{ij} - Y_{i2}}{2} \right)^2 \end{split}$$