

Product-moment covariance and PM₁₀ outlier detection

2021-09-06

Product-moment covariance estimation

Raymaekers, J., & Rousseeuw, P. J. (2021). Fast robust correlation for high-dimensional data. *Technometrics*, 63(2), 184-198.

Estimation procedure

1. Obtain robust location and scale estimate $\hat{\mu}_j$ and $\hat{\sigma}_j$.
2. Transform x_{ij} to

$$x_{ij}^* = g(x_{ij}) = \hat{\mu}_j + \hat{\sigma}_j \psi_{b,c} \left(\frac{x_{ij} - \hat{\mu}_j}{\hat{\sigma}_j} \right),$$

where

$$\psi_{b,c}(z) = \begin{cases} z, & 0 \leq |z| < b, \\ q_1 \tanh(q_2(c - |z|)) \text{sign}(z), & b \leq |z| < c, \\ 0, & c \leq |z|. \end{cases}$$

3. Compute covariance matrix using transformed data x_{ij}^* .

Missing data

- Raymaekers(2021)는 missing이 존재할 경우, $\hat{\mu}_j$ 으로 대체하여 사용
- 지금처럼 missing이 많은 경우에 모두 $\hat{\mu}_j$ 으로 대체하는 경우에는 각 curve의 특성이 사라지며, 실제로 시뮬레이션 결과에서도 오히려 M-est보다 좋지 않았음
- 따라서 missing을 그대로 두고, 이 값들을 제외하여 covariance를 계산할 경우 M-est나 GK 보다 좋은 결과를 보여줌
- Imputation으로 L_2 -distance가 가장 가까운 일부 curve들의 평균으로 imputation하여 covariance를 계산한 결과가 NA를 제외하고 한 경우보다 약간 더 좋았음
 - 예를 들어, 1st curve의 missing을 제외한 부분과의 distance가 가까운 curve들을 순서대로 나열한 후, 차례대로 missing인 부분에 대해서만 colMeans를 하며 NA가 포함되지 않을 때까지의 개수만을 사용하여 평균 계산

Simulation results

- 50 simulations
- 모두 noise variance를 고려하였으며, Yao et al.(2005) 방법으로 계산
- 비교 방법론
 - Mest : 기존의 proposed method
 - GK : Gnanadesikan and Kettenring (1972) method (박연주 교수님 코드 사용)
 - PM : Product-moment method proposed by Raymaekers (2021)
 - PM-NA : PM 방법에 NA를 그대로 두고 이를 제외하여 covariance를 계산한 방법
 - PM-Im : PM 방법에서 전체 평균 imputation 대신, distance가 가까운 일부만을 사용한 평균으로 imputation한 방법

Delaigle setting

Method	PVE	Reconstruction			Completion		Eigenfunction	
Mest	0.82	0.18	(0.03)		0.40	(0.13)	0.17	(0.11)
Mest-sm	0.97	0.15	(0.03)		0.32	(0.11)	0.14	(0.11)
GK	0.86	0.17	(0.03)		0.38	(0.12)	0.16	(0.11)
GK-sm	0.98	0.15	(0.02)		0.31	(0.10)	0.14	(0.11)
PM	0.86	0.16	(0.03)		0.37	(0.12)	0.16	(0.10)
PM-sm	0.96	0.15	(0.03)		0.33	(0.11)	0.15	(0.10)
PM-NA	0.87	0.15	(0.03)		0.33	(0.11)	0.14	(0.09)
PM-sm-NA	0.97	0.14	(0.02)		0.29	(0.10)	0.12	(0.09)
PM-Im	0.88	0.15	(0.03)		0.31	(0.10)	0.13	(0.10)
PM-sm-Im	0.97	0.14	(0.02)		0.28	(0.10)	0.12	(0.10)


Boente setting

Method	PVE	Reconstruction			Completion		Eigenfunction	
Mest	0.84	0.36	(0.07)		0.49	(0.17)	0.94	(0.05)
Mest-sm	0.92	0.32	(0.07)		0.42	(0.16)	0.94	(0.05)
GK	0.88	0.39	(0.09)		0.58	(0.21)	0.89	(0.08)
GK-sm	0.95	0.38	(0.09)		0.54	(0.20)	0.89	(0.08)
PM	0.89	0.34	(0.08)		0.52	(0.21)	0.93	(0.06)
PM-sm	0.91	0.33	(0.08)		0.50	(0.19)	0.93	(0.06)
PM-NA	0.92	0.29	(0.06)		0.35	(0.11)	0.92	(0.06)
PM-sm-NA	0.95	0.28	(0.06)		0.34	(0.10)	0.92	(0.06)
PM-Im	0.94	0.26	(0.05)		0.27	(0.07)	0.94	(0.05)
PM-sm-Im	0.96	0.25	(0.05)		0.27	(0.07)	0.94	(0.05)


PM₁₀ outlier detection

Region 1

Eigenfunctions




Covariance surfaces



Outlier map

- Score distance vs Orthogonal distance plot



Outlier detection

- 4가지 outlier detection 고려 (1~3의 경우, completion 후에 적용)
 - robMah : the outlier detection method corresponds to the approach of Rousseeuw and Leroy (1987) using the robust Mahalanobis distance.
 - LRT : the outlier detection method corresponds to the approach of Febrero et al. (2007) using the likelihood ratio test.
 - HU : the outlier detection method corresponds to the approach of Hyndman and Ullah (2008) using the integrated square forecast errors.
 - PCA-dist : Outlie map에서 1사분면에 해당하는 curve를 outlier로 결정






Figure 1: From the left, robMah, LRT, HU and PCA-dist, respectively.

Region 2


Eigenfunctions



Covariance surfaces



Outlier map



Outlier detection






Figure 2: From the left, robMah, LRT, HU and PCA-dist, respectively.

Region 3


Eigenfunctions



Covariance surfaces



Outlier map



Outlier detection






Figure 3: From the left, robMah, LRT, HU and PCA-dist, respectively.

Region 4


Eigenfunctions



Covariance surfaces



Outlier map



Outlier detection




Figure 4: From the left, robMah, LRT, HU and PCA-dist, respectively.