Sequencing: Technical topics

Koen Van den Berge

7/5/2021

Contents

1	Aliasing	1
2	Independent filtering	5
3	Other approaches to modeling counts: limma-voom	F

1 Aliasing

Suppose we are working with the following experimental design. Studying the effect of alcoholism on gene expression, researchers gather RNA-seq data from four alcoholic individuals and four healthy individuals. For each individual, they obtain RNA-seq data from a blood sample as well as liver tissue. The research question relates to differential expression between the diseased versus control conditions, in both tissues.

If we would like to model all data simultaneously, then we could imagine a design such as ~ patient + disease*tissue, where

- disease is a binary indicator referring to alcoholic versus control sample.
- tissue defines if the sample is a liver or blood sample.
- patient defines the individual donor the sample comes from.

Let's try this, by simulating random data for one gene.

```
set.seed(2)
patient <- factor(rep(letters[1:8], each=2)) # 2 samples per patient
disease <- factor(c(rep("healthy",8), rep("alcohol",8))) # first four are healthy, next four are alcoho
tissue <- factor(rep(c("blood", "liver"), 8)) # one liver and one blood sample for each
table(patient, disease, tissue)

## , , tissue = blood
##</pre>
```

```
##
##
                          0
         e
                 1
##
         f
                 1
                          0
                          0
##
                 1
         g
##
         h
                 1
                          0
##
   , , tissue = liver
##
##
          disease
##
  patient alcohol healthy
         a
                 0
##
                 0
                          1
         b
##
         С
                 0
                         1
##
                 0
         d
##
                         0
         е
                 1
##
         f
                 1
                         0
##
                         0
                 1
         g
                          0
##
         h
                 1
## simulate data for one gene
n <- 16
y \leftarrow rpois(n = n, lambda = 50)
## fit a Poisson model
m <- glm(y ~ patient + disease*tissue,</pre>
         family = "poisson")
summary(m)
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = y ~ patient + disease * tissue, family = "poisson")
## Deviance Residuals:
                         Median
                                                 Max
                        0.00013
## -1.52772 -0.43544
                                   0.44162
                                             1.34650
## Coefficients: (1 not defined because of singularities)
                               Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
                                           0.11916 31.631 <2e-16 ***
## (Intercept)
                                3.76900
                                0.06744
                                                     0.450
## patientb
                                           0.14999
                                                            0.6530
## patientc
                                0.06744
                                           0.14999
                                                     0.450
                                                              0.6530
## patientd
                                0.27304
                                           0.14310
                                                    1.908
                                                              0.0564 .
## patiente
                                           0.16224
                                                     1.014
                                                              0.3107
                               0.16449
## patientf
                               0.02565
                                           0.16644
                                                    0.154
                                                              0.8775
## patientg
                               -0.01784
                                           0.16785 -0.106
                                                              0.9154
                                0.05706
                                           0.16544
                                                     0.345
                                                              0.7302
## patienth
## diseasehealthy
                                     NA
                                                NA
                                                        NA
                                                                  NA
                                0.10807
                                           0.10155
                                                     1.064
## tissueliver
                                                              0.2872
## diseasehealthy:tissueliver -0.12374
                                           0.14407 -0.859
                                                              0.3904
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## (Dispersion parameter for poisson family taken to be 1)
##
```

```
## Null deviance: 16.1200 on 15 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 8.8417 on 6 degrees of freedom
## AIC: 120.16
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
```

We find that one of the coefficients is NA! This is obviously not because we're dealing with NA values in the data as we've just simulated the response variable.

Instead, one of the parameters, in this case the parameter distinguising diseased from healthy patients cannot be estimated as it is a linear combination of other parameters. In our case, estimating the diseased effect would use information that is already used to estimate the patient-level intercepts. In other words, once you know the patient, you immediately also know the diseased status, so estimating the diseased vs healthy effect on top of the patient effect provides no additional information if we have already estimated the patient-level effects. This concept is called aliasing, and is common in RNA-seq experiments with complex experimental designs.

While to understand the origin of the aliasing it is crucial to understand the relationship between the variables in the experimental design, we can also investigate it in detail using the alias function, to give us an idea.

```
alias(m)

## Model :
## y ~ patient + disease * tissue
##
## Complete :
## (Intercept) patientb patientc patientd patiente patientf
## diseasehealthy 1 0 0 0 -1 -1
## patientg patienth tissueliver diseasehealthy:tissueliver
```

We see that the effect diseasehealthy is a linear combination of the the intercept minus the patient-specific effects of the alcoholic patients. This makes sense! For clarity, let's reproduce this using our design matrix.

diseasehealthy -1

```
X <- model.matrix(~ patient + disease*tissue)</pre>
## these are indeed the same.
X[,"diseasehealthy"]
                            9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16
                5
                   6
                      7
                         8
                   1
                      1
                         1
                             0
                               0
                                  0
                                     0
X[,"(Intercept)"] - X[,"patiente"] - X[,"patientf"] - X[,"patientg"] - X[,"patienth"]
                             9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16
                         8
                            0
                                  0
                                     0
                      1
                         1
                               0
```

Since one of our parameters is a linear combination of other parameters, it cannot be estimated simultaneously with the other parameters. In this case, we can actually drop the tissue effect from the model, since we know that it is already included in the patient effect. The most convenient way will be to make a new variable that represents the interaction between patient and tissue. This covariate will contain all the information we need. Below, we fit it using a model without an intercept; this eases interpretation as each coefficient can be interpreted as the average expression for that particular tissue of that particular patient.

```
patientTissue <- as.factor(pasteO(tissue, "_", patient))</pre>
patientTissue
    [1] blood a liver a blood b liver b blood c liver c blood d liver d blood e
## [10] liver_e blood_f liver_f blood_g liver_g blood_h liver_h
## 16 Levels: blood_a blood_b blood_c blood_d blood_e blood_f blood_g ... liver_h
m2 \leftarrow glm(y \sim -1 + patientTissue,
          family = "poisson")
summary(m2)
##
## Call:
  glm(formula = y ~ -1 + patientTissue, family = "poisson")
## Deviance Residuals:
   [1]
##
        0 0 0 0 0
                        0 0 0
                                 0
                                     0
                                        0
                                           0
                                              0
##
## Coefficients:
##
                         Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
                                      0.1525
                                               24.66
## patientTissueblood_a
                           3.7612
                                                        <2e-16 ***
## patientTissueblood_b
                           3.7377
                                      0.1543
                                               24.22
                                                        <2e-16 ***
## patientTissueblood_c
                                      0.1508
                                               25.10
                           3.7842
                                                        <2e-16 ***
## patientTissueblood_d
                          4.1589
                                      0.1250
                                               33.27
                                                        <2e-16 ***
## patientTissueblood_e
                                      0.1387
                                               28.49
                                                        <2e-16 ***
                           3.9512
## patientTissueblood_f
                                      0.1459
                                               26.39
                           3.8501
                                                        <2e-16 ***
## patientTissueblood_g
                          3.4965
                                      0.1741
                                               20.09
                                                        <2e-16 ***
## patientTissueblood h
                           3.9512
                                      0.1387
                                               28.49
                                                        <2e-16 ***
                                               24.66
## patientTissueliver_a
                           3.7612
                                      0.1525
                                                        <2e-16 ***
## patientTissueliver_b
                           3.9120
                                      0.1414
                                               27.66
                                                        <2e-16 ***
## patientTissueliver_c
                           3.8712
                                      0.1443
                                               26.82
                                                        <2e-16 ***
## patientTissueliver_d
                                      0.1429
                                               27.24
                                                        <2e-16 ***
                          3.8918
## patientTissueliver_e
                           4.0254
                                      0.1336
                                               30.12
                                                        <2e-16 ***
## patientTissueliver_f
                           3.8501
                                      0.1459
                                               26.39
                                                        <2e-16 ***
## patientTissueliver_g
                           4.0431
                                      0.1325
                                               30.52
                                                        <2e-16 ***
## patientTissueliver_h
                           3.8067
                                      0.1491
                                               25.54
                                                        <2e-16 ***
##
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
  (Dispersion parameter for poisson family taken to be 1)
##
##
       Null deviance: 4.4893e+03 on 16 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: -2.0206e-14 on 0 degrees of freedom
## AIC: 123.32
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 3
```

Question. What do the *p*-values mean here? Why are they all significant?

Answer.

Since now we are working with an intercept-free model, each coefficient represents the average gene expression of that patient for the particular tissue. The coefficients therefore can no longer be interpreted as a difference with respect to the intercept on the linear predictor scale. The null hypothesis is still the same as usual, i.e., the p-value corresponding to β_2 tests the null hypothesis $H_0: \beta_2=0$. Thus, the test is now assessing whether the average gene expression is different from zero (rather than different from the intercept).

We see that all coefficients can now be estimated.

- 2 Independent filtering
- 3 Other approaches to modeling counts: limma-voom