

Assignment 9

YUYU ZHANHG

14/11/2020

7.5.1

```
f <- function(x) 1/(5*sqrt(2*pi))* x^2 * exp(- (x - 2)^2 / 2)
integrate(f, -Inf, Inf)
```

a.

```
## 1 with absolute error < 1.1e-05
```

```
## Monte Carlo approximation
```

```
nrep1 <- 1000
nrep2 <- 10000
nrep3 <- 50000
n <- 10000
```

```
i.n <- replicate(nrep1, {x <- rnorm(n, -1, 2); mean(f(x) / dnorm(x, -1, 2))})
mean(i.n)
```

```
## [1] 1.0013
```

```
sd(i.n)
```

```
## [1] 0.03470389
```

```
i.c <- replicate(nrep1, {x <- rcauchy(n, -1, 2); mean(f(x) / dcauchy(x, -1, 2))})
```

```
mean(i.c)
```

```
## [1] 1.000617
```

```
sd(i.c)
```

```
## [1] 0.02968756
```

b I would like to use Gamma distribution as $g(x)$ because we want to find out $E(x^2)$ and the estimator of $\mu = \frac{1}{n} \sum h(Z_i)$

```
isAppr <- function(n, h, df, dg, rg, ...) {
  x <- rg(n, ...)
  mean( h(x) * df(x) / dg(x, ...) )
}
```

```

alpha <- 2
h <- function(x) 1/(5*sqrt(2*pi))* x^2 * exp(-(x - 2)^2 / 2)
beta <- 2
df <- function(x) dexp(x, rate = 1 / beta)

mySummary <- function(nrep, n, h, df, dg, rg) {
  ## browser()
  sim <- replicate(nrep, isAppr(n, h, df, dg, rg))
  c(mean = mean(sim), sd = sd(sim))
}

sapply(1:6,
  function(shp) {
    rg <- function(n) rgamma(n, shape = shp, scale = beta)
    dg <- function(x) dgamma(x, shape = shp, scale = beta)
    mySummary(1000, 1000, h, df, dg, rg)
  })

```

c

```

##           [,1]      [,2]      [,3]      [,4]      [,5]      [,6]
## mean 0.134666983 0.134360700 0.134724782 0.13347857 0.13262571 0.13257157
## sd    0.005051541 0.004137639 0.006451849 0.01297198 0.02704814 0.06681102

```

I just assume that $g(x)$ is Gama distribution. and the result is more reliable than the standard normal distribution.

d

7.5.2

1. Write down and implement an algorithm to sample the path of $S(t)$

$$\frac{dS(t)}{S(t)} = \mu dt + \sigma dB(t)$$

Notice that the left hand side of this equation looks similar to the derivative of $\log S(t)$. Applying Ito's Lemma to $\log S(t)$ gives:

$$d(\log S(t)) = (\log S(t))' \mu S(t) dt + (\log S(t))' \sigma S(t) dB(t) + \frac{1}{2} (\log S(t))'' \sigma^2 S(t)^2 dt$$

This becomes:

$$d(\log S(t)) = \mu dt + \sigma dB(t) - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 dt = (\mu - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2) dt + \sigma dB(t)$$

This is an Ito drift-diffusion process. It is a standard Brownian motion with a drift term. Since the above formula is simply shorthand for an integral formula, we can write this as:

$$\log(S(t)) - \log(S(0)) = (\mu - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2) t + \sigma B(t)$$

Finally, taking the exponential of this equation gives:

$$S(t) = S(0) \exp((\mu - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2) t + \sigma B(t))$$

This is the solution the stochastic differential equation

```

blackScholes <- function(strike, time, S0, rfrate, sigma) {
  crit <- (log(strike / S0) - (rfrate - sigma^2 / 2) * time) / sigma / sqrt(time)
  S0 * pnorm(sigma * sqrt(time) - crit) -
    strike * exp(- rfrate * time) * pnorm(-crit)
}

Sa<- function(strike, time, S0, rfrate, sigma) {
  crit <- (log(strike / S0) - (rfrate - sigma^2 / 2) * time) / sigma / sqrt(time)
  (1/12)*S0*(13)/2-
    strike * exp(- rfrate * time) * pnorm(-crit)
}

Sg<- function(strike, time, S0, rfrate, sigma,n) {
  crit <- (log(strike / S0) - (rfrate - sigma^2 / 2) * time) / sigma / sqrt(time)
  (sqrt(factorial(12))) -
    strike * exp(- rfrate * time) * pnorm(-crit)
}

nsim<-5000
strike<-1.1
S0 <-1
time<-1
rfrate <- 0.05
sigma <- 0.5
## analytic solution
blackScholes(strike, time, S0, rfrate, sigma)

```

2. Set $\sigma = 0.5$ and $T=1$

```
## [1] 0.1796232
```

```
Sa(strike, time, S0, rfrate, sigma)
```

```
## [1] 0.1579748
```

```
Sg(strike, time, S0, rfrate, sigma)
```

```
## [1] 21885.72
```

```
## Monte Carlo approximation
```

```

myApprox <- function(msim, strike, time, S0, rfrate, sigma) {
  wt <- rnorm(nsim, sd = sqrt(time) * sigma)
  value <- mean(pmax(S0 * exp((rfrate - sigma^2 / 2) * time + wt) - strike, 0))
  exp(-rfrate * time) * value
}

```

```

mcAppr<-replicate(200, myApprox(nsim, strike, time, S0, rfrate, sigma))
sd(mcAppr)

```

```
## [1] 0.005769494
```

```
mean(mcAppr)
```

```
## [1] 0.179939
```

By change the value of strike price , we see the larger K is , the smaller correlation Pa and Pe with.

```
myApprox <- function(msim, strike, time, S0, rfrate, signma) {  
  wt <- rnorm(nsim, sd = sqrt(time) * sigma)  
  value <- mean(pmax(S0 * exp((rfrate - sigma^2 / 2) * time + wt) - strike, 0))  
  exp(-rfrate * time) * value  
}  
  
S0 <-1  
time<-1  
rfrate <- 0.05  
sigma <- 0.4  
  
nsim<-5000  
strike<-1.5  
mcAppr<-replicate(200, myApprox(nsim, strike, time, S0, rfrate, sigma))  
sd(mcAppr)
```

c

```
## [1] 0.002584633
```

```
mean(mcAppr)
```

```
## [1] 0.0486021
```

well it is obvious that the greater σ is , the correlation coefficients get greater.

####d

```
myApprox <- function(msim, strike, time, S0, rfrate, signma) {  
  wt <- rnorm(nsim, sd = sqrt(time) * sigma)  
  value <- mean(pmax(S0 * exp((rfrate - sigma^2 / 2) * time + wt) - strike, 0))  
  exp(-rfrate * time) * value  
}  
  
S0 <-1  
time<-0.8  
rfrate <- 0.05  
sigma <- 0.5  
  
nsim<-5000  
strike<-1.5  
mcAppr<-replicate(200, myApprox(nsim, strike, time, S0, rfrate, sigma))  
sd(mcAppr)
```

```
## [1] 0.003205864
```

```
mean(mcAppr)
```

```
## [1] 0.06205304
```

It is shown that the greater T is , the correlation coefficients get greater.