Meeting agenda 1-18-2019

Kendrick Li

January 2019

1. Individual estimators:

Table 1: Progress in CatchAll

| 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Model | Estimate | SE | CI | GOF | AIC |
| Poisson | ✓ | √ | √ | √ | √ |
| Geometric | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| Two-component geometric | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| Three-component geometric | | | | | |

- 2. Test with apple test? Comparison of the output by eye \dots
 - (a) Results same for Poisson and Geometric model
 - (b) For two-component mixture model, several estimates differ for some cutoffs
 - (c) GOF?
 - (d) AICc was computed differently. It shouldn't matter for selection
- 3. Model Selection procedure? Easy to implement (5 lines), but for "apples" dataset all failed at the first step...
- 4. Other possibilities for model selection?