

Post-treatment variables



How Conditioning on Posttreatment Variables Can Ruin Your Experiment and What to Do about It

Jacob M. Montgomery Washington University in St. Louis

Brendan Nyhan Dartmouth College

Michelle Torres Washington University in St. Louis

Abstract: In principle, experiments offer a straightforward method for social scientists to accurately estimate causal effects. However, scholars often unwittingly distort treatment effect estimates by conditioning on variables that could be affected by their experimental manipulation. Typical examples include controlling for posttreatment variables in statistical models, eliminating observations based on posttreatment criteria, or subsetting the data based on posttreatment variables. Though these modeling choices are intended to address common problems encountered when conducting experiments, they can bias estimates of causal effects. Moreover, problems associated with conditioning on posttreatment variables remain largely unrecognized in the field, which we show frequently publishes experimental studies using these practices in our discipline's most prestigious journals. We demonstrate the severity of experimental posttreatment bias analytically and document the magnitude of the potential distortions it induces using visualizations and reanalyses of real-world data. We conclude by providing applied researchers with recommendations for best practice.

Post-treatment variables

Table 1. Posttreatment Conditioning in Experimental Studies

Category	Prevalence
Engages in posttreatment conditioning	46.7%
Controls for/interacts with a posttreatment variable	21.3%
Drops cases based on posttreatment criteria	14.7%
Both types of posttreatment conditioning present	10.7%
No conditioning on posttreatment variables	52.0%
Insufficient information to code	1.3%

Note: The sample consists of 2012–14 articles in the *American Political Science Review*, the *American Journal of Political Science*, and the *Journal of Politics* including a survey, field, laboratory, or lab-in-the-field experiment (n = 75).