HW #2

Bora Seo

3/4/20

Q1.

The null hypothesis (h_0) is that the prepositional datives and the double objects can be found equiprobably. To test h_0 , we put the put the number of prepositional datives (501) as x, the sum of both constructions (501+1859) as n, and 0.5 from h_0 as p. When we run binom.test in R, we can see the result as below:

```
```{r}
x <- 501
n <- 501+1859
binom.test(x, n, p=0.5)
 Exact binomial test
 x and n
 data:
 number of successes = 501, number of trials
 = 2360, p-value < 2.2e-16
 alternative hypothesis: true probability of success
 is not equal to 0.5
 95 percent confidence interval:
 0.1959431 0.2293504
sample estimates:
probability of success
 0.2122881
```

The 95% confidence interval here is from 0.196 to 0.223 which includes the true probability of success. The p-value is less than 2.2 \*10<sup>-16</sup> which entails that it is undoubtedly less than  $\alpha$  (0.05) at 95% CI, thus the test is statistically significant. We reject the  $h_0$ , which means that the given constructions do not occur equiprobably.

The R expressions are as below:

```
ptb_df <- read.table(file='/Users/velos/Documents/r_project/PTB.tsv', header = TRUE)</pre>
standford.correct <- ptb_df$gold.tag == ptb_df$Stanford.tag
nlp4j.correct <- ptb_df$gold.tag == ptb_df$NLP4J.tag</pre>
#The number of "wins" for the Stanford tagger over NLP4J tagger
stand_win <- sum(standford.correct & !nlp4j.correct)</pre>
stand_win
#The number of "wins" for the NLP4J tagger over Standford tagger
nlp4j_win <- sum(nlp4j.correct & !standford.correct)</pre>
nlp4j_win
x <- min(stand_win, nlp4j_win)</pre>
n <- stand_win+nlp4j_win
#Binomial test
binom.test(x, n, p=0.5)
 [1] 943
 [1] 1016
 Exact binomial test
data: x and n
number of successes = 943, number of trials = 1959, p-value =
alternative hypothesis: true probability of success is not equal to 0.5
95 percent confidence interval:
 0.459029 0.503763
sample estimates:
probability of success
 0.481368
```

The test was insignificant at  $\alpha$  (0.05) at 95% CI since the p-value (0.104) is greater than  $\alpha$ . Thus, we do not reject the null hypothesis. However, it is difficult to say one tagger is significantly better than other at this point. In order to determine this, we need to conduct more tests.