

HW 1

Bora Seo

2/26/20

1.

1) $1/3 + 1/4 = 0.5833333$

2) $2^{10} + 1 = 1025$

3) When $f = 440$, $1127 \ln(1 + f/700) = 549.6415$

4) When $a = -2$, $b = 4$, and $c = -4$, $\frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a} = \text{output: } 0.7320508$

R expressions are as below:

```
9
10 ~~~{r}
11 # 1)
12 first <- (1/3 + 1/4)
13 print(first)
14
15 # 2)
16 second <- (2^10 + 1)
17 print(second)
18
19 # 3)
20 f <- 440
21 third <- 1127*log(1+f/700)
22 print(third)
23
24 # 4)
25 a <- 2
26 b <- 4
27 c <- -4
28 d <- b^2 - 4*a*c
29 forth <- (-b+sqrt(d)) / (2*a)
30 print(forth)
31
32 ~~~|
```

```
[1] 0.5833333
[1] 1025
[1] 549.6415
[1] 0.7320508
```

2.

1) *R* in “fourth” was used in 6 times at Klein’s, 13 times in Macy’s, and 16 times in the emphatic condition. The sum of these numbers is 35. I used `xtabs` function and set up the conditions to earn the required data.

R expressions are as below:

```
10 {r}
11 setwd("/Users/velos/Documents/r_project")
12 nyc_df <- read.csv('NYC.csv')
13
14 #Q2_1
15 result <- ftable(xtabs(~store+word+emphasis, data=nyc_df, subset = r == "1",
16   exclude = c("floR", "normal")))
17 result
18 sum(result)
19 ...
```

	emphasis	emphatic
store	word	
Klein's	fouRth	6
Macy's	fouRth	13
Saks	fouRth	16
[1]	35	

2) Regardless of use or *r*, “floor” was spoken 104 times by the employees at Klein’s. Among this number, *r* was used 12 times. The percentage is approximately 11.5%.

R expressions are as below:

```
3 {r}
4 setwd("/Users/velos/Documents/r_project")
5 nyc_df <- read.csv('NYC.csv')
6
7 #Q2_2
8 klns_flr <- ftable(xtabs(~word+store, data=nyc_df, exclude = c("Macy's",
9   "Saks", "fouRth")))
10 klns_flr
11
12 r_flr <- ftable(xtabs(~word+store, data=nyc_df, subset = r == "1", exclude =
13   c("Macy's", "Saks", "fouRth")))
14 r_flr
15
16 r_flr[1] / klns_flr[1] * 100
17 ...
```

	store	Klein's
word		
flooR		104
	store	Klein's
word		
flooR		12
[1]	11.53846	

3) Regarding the stretch goal, I looked into the increase or decrease rate in use of r between normal and emphatic condition at each department store. I extracted table with xtabs function, and only included where the value or r equals to 1.

1. Macy's:

“Fourth”: Use of r decreased by approximately 60.61% in emphatic condition.

“Floor”: Use of r decreased by approximately 35.42% in emphatic condition.

2. Klein's:

“Fourth”: Use of r doubled in emphatic condition.

“Floor”: Use of r increased by 40% in emphatic condition.

3. Saks:

“Fourth”: There was no change in use or r .

“Floor”: Use of r increased by approximately 32.26% in emphatic condition.

R expressions are as below:

```

1 #Q2_stretch
2 setwd("/Users/velos/Documents/r_project")
3 nyc_df <- read.csv('NYC.csv')
4
5 dep_m_r <- xtabs(~store+word+r+emphasis, data=nyc_df, subset = r == "1", exclude = c("Klein's",
6 "Saks"))
7 print(m_r <- ftable(dep_m_r))
8
9 print(m_r_frth <- ((m_r[4] - m_r[2]) / m_r[4]) * 100)
10 print(m_r_flr <- ((m_r[3] - m_r[1]) / m_r[3]) * 100)
11
12 dep_k_r <- xtabs(~store+word+r+emphasis, data=nyc_df, subset = r == "1", exclude = c("Macy's",
13 "Saks"))
14 print(k_r <- ftable(dep_k_r))
15
16 print(k_r_frth <- ((k_r[4] - k_r[2]) / k_r[4]) * 100)
17 print(k_r_flr <- ((k_r[3] - k_r[1]) / k_r[3]) * 100)
18
19 dep_s_r <- xtabs(~store+word+r+emphasis, data=nyc_df, subset = r == "1", exclude = c("Macy's",
20 "Klein's"))
21 print(s_r <- ftable(dep_s_r))
22
23 print(s_r_frth <- ((s_r[4] - s_r[2]) / s_r[4]) * 100)
24 print(s_r_flr <- ((s_r[3] - s_r[1]) / s_r[3]) * 100)
25
26 ...

```

			emphasis		emphatic		normal	
store	word	r						
Macy's	floor	1			31		48	
	fouRth	1			13		33	
			[1]	60.60606				
			[1]	35.41667				
emphasis emphatic normal								
Klein's	floor	1			7		5	
	fouRth	1			6		3	
			[1]	-100				
			[1]	-40				
emphasis emphatic normal								
Saks	floor	1			21		31	
	fouRth	1			16		16	
			[1]	0				
			[1]	32.25806				

The highest r -lessness was shown at Macy's when the employees were saying "fourth" between both conditions. In terms of the lowest r -lessness, "fourth" at Klein's seems to correspond to the condition since it showed increase of use of r unlike other cases. Also, there are certain gaps between the output of each word, however it is difficult to find the coherent tendency.

3.

1) I read VOT.tsv file through read.table function and used quantile function to get the sample quartile of entire VOTs. Q1 = -17.975, Q2 (median) = 13.825, and Q3 = 27.365

2) I assigned a variable to for the VOTs of Spanish speaker and used mean function to get the mean. The output is -24.31306.

3) As in 2, I assigned a variable for the VOTs of English speaker and used sd function to get the standard deviation. The output is 19.86479. For the stretch goal, I used the given formula, and the output here is the same as in previous result.

R expressions are as below:

```
setwd("/Users/velos/Documents/r_project")

#Q3_1
vot_df <- read.table(file='VOT.tsv', sep = '\t', header = TRUE, fill = TRUE)
quantile(vot_df[, "vot"])

#Q3_2
vot_df_sp <- vot_df[vot_df$language == "spanish",]
mean(vot_df_sp[, "vot"])

#Q3_3
vot_df_en <- vot_df[vot_df$language == "english",]
sd(vot_df_en[, "vot"])

#Q3_stretch
val <- vot_df_en[, "vot"]

n <- length(val)
sd_stretch <- sqrt(sum((val - mean(val))^2 / (n - 1)))
sd_stretch
```

	0%	25%	50%	75%	100%
	-85.290	-17.975	13.825	27.365	82.860
[1]	-24.31306				
[1]	19.86479				
[1]	19.86479				