Stat-S 432 Homework 4 Due 19 April 2020

Comparing methods

The sexstudy.csv dataset was collected by George Loewenstein, a behavioral sciences professor at Carnegie Mellon University. The study consisted of 65 married couples who were split into treatment and control groups. The treatment group was told to double the amount of sex they were having. Both groups completed a daily survey for a 3 month period. This data averages many of those measurements over the 3 month period. The goal is to see if more sex leads to more happiness. For more information see here.

- We're going to regress happiness.scale.mean (a measure of happiness, larger being happier) on treatment (0 is control, 1 is treatment), female (1 if female), incomegt40k (1 if income > \$40,000), degree (1 if they have a college degree). We will also use age and yearsmar.
- Do you need to convert the 0-1 variables to factors using, e.g. factor(female) in your lm call?
- Fit a linear model, call it sslm.
- Fit an additive model (hint: only continuous variables need to be smoothed), call it ssgam. Plot the partial response functions.
- Why don't we need to smooth factors?
- The only thing we care about is whether the treatment led to an increase in happiness. Use the two estimated models to answer this question. What coefficient(s) should we look at?
- The following code extracts the leave-one-out CV from both models and prints it.

```
cvlin = mean(residuals(sslm)^2/(1-hatvalues(sslm))^2)
cvgam = mean(residuals(ssgam)^2/(1-ssgam$hat)^2)
c(cvlin,cvgam)
```

Which model do we prefer and why?

- Re-estimate the additive model but smoothing age and yearsmar together. Plot the partial response function(s).
- Someone suggests we recode age into bins: 0-40, 41-50, 51-60, 60+. Use the cut function to make a new variable, age2. Estimate the linear model and an appropriate additive model using age2 instead of age. Do we still smooth age2? Plot the partial response function again.
- You have now made 3 more models. Modify the code to calculate their leave-one-out CV scores. Which model is better?
- Write a paragraph describing your conclusions. Does the treatment lead to more happiness? Use figures and numerics as necessary to support your conclusion.

Writing functions

In the Chapter 11.1–11.3 lecture, I gave you the following code to generate data from a logistic regression with 2 predictors (x variables):

```
logit <- function(z){ # can this take in any z?
  log(z/(1-z))
}
ilogit <- function(z){ # what about this one?
  exp(z)/(1+exp(z))
}
sim.logistic <- function(x, beta.0, beta, bind=FALSE) {</pre>
```

```
linear.parts <- beta.0 + x%*%beta
y <- rbinom(nrow(x), size=1, prob=ilogit(linear.parts))
if (bind) { return(cbind(x,y)) } else { return(y) }
}</pre>
```

- 1. Modify these functions in the following ways:
 - so that the logit and ilogit functions check that the arguments satisfy any necessary conditions.
 - so that sim.logistic doesn't require x as an input, rather it generates x within the function. Each entry of the x matrix should be normally distributed with mean zero and variance 1.
 - so that sim.logistic takes n (the number of observations) as an input
 - so that beta can be a vector of any length more than 1
 - so that sim.logistic returns a data frame (always) of y and x
 - so that sim.logistic checks that all the arguments satisfy any necessary conditions.
- 2. Use your modified function to generate n=250 observations with beta=c(3,2,1) and beta.0=0. Then estimate:
 - a logistic regression model
 - a GAM smoothing each component individually
- 3. Use the code from Chapter 11 (the deviance test) to test the GAM against the linear model. Is it safe to use the linear model, or do you need a GAM?
- 4. For whichever model you selected, produce a confusion matrix and make a calibration plot similar to the notes. Describe what these things tell you and discuss the quality of your chosen model.
- 5. Just for extra practice, use KNN (use CV to choose K), LDA, and QDA as well. Produce a confusion matrix for these 3 methods. Which of these has the lowest error rate? Should we use the misclassification error to choose models?