# MINIMAX-OPTIMAL SEMI-SUPERVISED REGRESSION ON UNKNOWN MANIFOLDS

## BY MOSCOVICH, JAFFE, AND NADLER

Daniel J. McDonald

14 Sept. 2017

#### BASIC STRUCTURE

- Introduction Brief sketch of applications, overview of previous work, no definitions or notation, paragraph stating the main goal
- Problem statement and notation general setup for semi-supervised learning and definition of kNN regression on the geodesic
- Statistical analysis what is minimaxity, previous necessary results, main result and proofs
- Computational considerations
- Simulated and real data

### **DEFINITIONS AND CONCEPTS**

- We have Labeled data  $\mathcal{L} = \{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^n$  and Unlabeled data  $\mathcal{U} = \{(x_j)\}_{j=1}^m$ .
- We assume that all that all m + n x's are iid from the same marginal distribution supported on a manifold  $\mathcal{M}$  of intrinsic dimension d embedded in D-dimensional space.
- Our estimator is in three stages:
  - For all  $x_i \in \mathcal{L} \cup \mathcal{U}$ , construct a graph with each point as a vertex. Construct edge weights as  $d(x_i, x_i)$ .
  - 2 For all  $x_i \in \mathcal{L}$  and  $x_j \in \mathcal{U}$ , calculate the shortest path graph distance  $d_q(x_i, x_j)$ .
  - 3 Use some metric-based supervised learner (they choose kNN)

#### GEODESIC KNN

Let kNN(x) denote the k nearest <u>labeled</u> neighbors of x based on  $d_g$ We can predict at 2 types of points

■ If  $x_j \in \mathcal{L} \cup \mathcal{U}$ ,

$$\widehat{f}(x_j) = \frac{1}{|kNN(x_j)|} \sum_{(x_i, y_i) \in kNN(x_j)} y_j.$$

■ If  $x \notin \mathcal{L} \cup \mathcal{U}$ , find the nearest  $x^* \in \mathcal{L} \cup \mathcal{U}$ , and set

$$\widehat{f}(x) = \widehat{f}(x^*).$$

#### **THEORY**

- Assume  $y = f(x) + N(0, \sigma_2)$ .
- $\blacksquare$  They are interested in the MSE of their estimator at some point x
- $\blacksquare$  Known lower bound (best estimator) if f is

$$MSE(x) \ge cn^{-\frac{2}{2+d}}$$

■ They show that their estimator has

$$MSE(x) \le c_1 n^{-\frac{2}{2+d}} + O\left(\exp\left\{-c_2(n+m)\right\}\right).$$

## THE TECHNIQUE

- Previous work shows that  $d_G$  actually approximates the geodesic on the manifold for n + m large with high probability.
- Their proof is in 2 steps:
  - In Show that  $\mathbb{E}\left[(f(x) f(x^*))^2\right]$  is small. This relies on an assumption on the marginal distribution of x such that points are reasonably close with exponential probability.
  - 2 Show that  $\mathbb{E}\left[(\widehat{f}(x^*) f(x^*))^2\right]$  is small. This is via the bias-variance decomposition. But they condition on on the event that  $d_G$  is a good approximator.

#### **COMPUTATIONS**

- The whole thing seems like a slow operation.
- They present a new algorithm which is faster than the standard but resembles some that others have proposed.

#### SIMS AND DATA

- Their simulation is a bit weak. It's just something closely related to their first dataset
- They examine 2 data sets.
- They compare their method to naive kNN using only the labeled samples.
- They also try Laplacian eigenbasis regression. Essentially it constructs a basis for the estimated manifold using  $\mathcal{L} \cup \mathcal{U}$  (see 675 Laplacian Eigenmaps) and then does linear regression with the basis as features.
- They don't give many details. I bet the tuning parameters are garbage.

#### **CONCLUSIONS**

- I don't like this paper as much as the first.
- Nonetheless, it has a reasonable theoretical component.
- It also contains the usual requirements for a conference like this: (theory, algorithmic discussion, simulations, real data).
- The structure and formatting are much less nice than the other paper, but fine.
- There is no "Conclusion" or "Future work". Boo!