Worksheet og (Solutions)

1. Find the MLE estimator for the estimation of the parameter λ from i.i.d. observations of an exponentialy distributed random variable.

Solution: We have the following log-likelihood:

$$l(\lambda; x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log \left[\lambda \cdot e^{-\lambda x_i} \right]$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\log(\lambda) - \lambda x_i \right]$$

The derivative with respect to λ is:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} l(\lambda; x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{1}{\lambda} - x_i \right]$$

Setting this equal to zero (and putting a hat on the parameter), gives:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\hat{\lambda}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i$$

$$\frac{n}{\hat{\lambda}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i$$

$$\frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i} = \hat{\lambda}$$

$$\frac{1}{\frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i} = \hat{\lambda}$$

In other words, the MLE is just one divided by the sample mean. That makes a lot of sense (but, again, not maybe very interesting) given that λ is the inverse of the mean for the exponential distribution.

2. Find the MLE estimator for the estimation of the variance from i.i.d. observations of an exponentialy distributed random variable. Hint: This is easily derived from the previous result. Should not require any new derivatives.

Solution: We know that the variance of an exponentially distributed random variable is λ^{-2} . We already have the MLE for λ , so the MLE of the variance is just this value to the -2 power:

$$MLE = \left[\frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i\right]^{-2}$$
$$= \bar{X}^{-2}.$$

Notice that this **is** quite different than the typical estimator that we use for estimating the variance of a sample (S_X^2) , taking into account the special structure of the exponential distribution.

3. Find the MLE estimator for the estimation of the parameter p from i.i.d. observations of a Bernoulli distributed random variable. Hint: When you set the derivative equal to zero, multiple by $\frac{1}{n}$ to write the equation in terms of just \bar{X} and \hat{p} .

Solution: We have the following log-likelihood:

$$l(p; x_1, ..., x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log \left[p^{x_i} \cdot (1-p)^{1-x_i} \right]$$

= $\sum_{i=1}^n \left[x_i \cdot \log(p) + (1-x_i) \cdot \log(1-p) \right]$

The derivative with respect to *p* is:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial p}l(p;x_1,\ldots,x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{x_i}{p} + \frac{(-1)\cdot(1-x_i)}{1-p} \right]$$

Setting this equal to zero (and putting a hat on the parameter), gives the following

$$\frac{1}{\hat{p}} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i = \frac{1}{1-\hat{p}} \cdot \sum_{i} (1-x_i)$$

Dividing both side by n as in the hint gives:

$$\frac{1}{\hat{p}} \cdot \bar{x} = \frac{1}{1 - \hat{p}} \cdot (1 - \bar{x})$$

And then, solving gives:

$$egin{aligned} ar{x}(1-\hat{p}) &= \hat{p}(1-ar{x}) \\ ar{x} - ar{x} \cdot \hat{p} &= \hat{p} - ar{x} \cdot \hat{p} \\ ar{x} &= \hat{p} \end{aligned}$$

And again, we see that the MLE is just the sample mean.

4. Find the MLE estimator for the estimation of the parameters μ and σ^2 from i.i.d. observations of a normally distributed random variable. Hint: We want to think of σ^2 as a single parameter (not the square of a parameter). I recommend using $v=\sigma^2$ to keep this clear. Also, find $\hat{\mu}$ first. You can find the MLE for the mean without knowing the MLE of the variance.

Solution: This is where things get a bit more interesting. We have the following log-likelihood:

$$l(\mu, v; x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi v}} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2v}[x_i - \mu]^2} \right]$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1/2) \cdot \log(2\pi v) - \frac{1}{2v}[x_i - \mu]^2$$

The derivative with respect to μ is:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu}l(\mu, v; x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{v}[x_i - \mu]$$

Setting this equal to zero gives:

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} [x_i - \hat{\mu}]$$
$$\hat{\mu} = \bar{x}.$$

Which is similar to the other results. The more interesting one is the variance. We see that the derivative is:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial v}l(\mu, v; x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{-1/2}{2\pi v} \cdot (2\pi) + \frac{1}{2v^2} [x_i - \mu]^2$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{-1}{2v} + \frac{1}{2v^2} [x_i - \mu]^2$$

Setting this equal to zero and plugging in the value that we know for $\hat{\mu}$, we get:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2\hat{v}} = \frac{1}{2\hat{v}^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} [x_i - \hat{\mu}]^2$$

$$\frac{2n\hat{v}^2}{2\hat{v}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} [x_i - \hat{\mu}]^2$$

$$\hat{v} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} [x_i - \hat{\mu}]^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} [x_i - \bar{x}]^2$$

So, this is very similar, but not quite the same, as the estimator S_X^2 that we have been using so far.

5. What is the bias of the MLE estimator for the variance from a normal distribution with unknown mean and variance? Hint: Use what we know about S_X^2 to make this relatively easy.

Solution: We know that the \hat{v} can be written in terms of S_X^2 as follows:

$$\hat{v}_{MLE} = \frac{n-1}{n} \cdot S_X^2$$

So, the expected value is:

$$\mathbb{E}\hat{v}_{MLE} = \frac{n-1}{n} \cdot \mathbb{E}S_X^2$$
$$= \frac{n-1}{n} \cdot v$$

And the bias is:

$$\mathbb{E}\hat{v}_{MLE} - v = \frac{n-1}{n} \cdot v - v$$

$$= v \cdot \left[\frac{n-1}{n} - 1 \right]$$

$$= v \cdot \left[\frac{n-1}{n} - \frac{n-1}{n-1} \right]$$

$$= v \cdot \left[\frac{1}{n} \right]$$

$$= \frac{v}{n}$$

So, the bias is not zero, but (as we know will be true of all MLE estimators) will limit to zero in the limit of $n \to \infty$.