

## Worksheet 19 (Solutions)

1. Let  $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , with  $\sigma^2 > 0$  a fixed and known constant. (a) Compute the Fisher Information  $\mathcal{I}(\mu)$ . (b) The MLE for  $\mu$  is equal to  $X$  (generally it's the mean, but in the one-observation case the mean is equal to  $X$ ). Find the efficiency of the MLE.

*Solution:* (a) We have the following for the first derivative the of the log likelihood:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \log(f(\mu; x)) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \left[ \frac{-1}{2\sigma^2} (x - \mu)^2 \right] \\ &= \frac{+2}{2\sigma^2} (x - \mu) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma^2} (x - \mu)\end{aligned}$$

And for the second derivative:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial^2 \mu} \log(f(\mu; x)) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma^2} (x - \mu) \right] \\ &= \frac{-1}{\sigma^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Then, the Fisher information is:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}(\mu) &= -\mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \mu^2} \log f(\mu; x) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma^2}.\end{aligned}$$

(b) The variance of the MLE is equal to:

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\mu}) = \text{Var}(X) = \sigma^2.$$

And therefore the efficiency is:

$$e(\hat{\mu}) = \frac{\mathcal{I}(\theta)^{-1}}{\text{Var}(\hat{\theta})} = \frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma^2} = 1.$$

So, the MLE is optimally efficient. It does as well as any unbiased estimator can do in terms of predicting the value of  $\mu$  from the data.

2. Let  $X \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$ . (a) Compute the Fisher Information  $\mathcal{I}(\lambda)$ . (b) The MLE for  $\lambda$  is equal to  $X$  (generally it's the mean, but in the one-observation case the mean is equal to  $X$ ). Find the efficiency of the MLE.

*Solution:* (a) We have the following for the first derivative the of the log likelihood:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \log(f(\lambda; x)) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} [x \log(\lambda) - \lambda + \log(x!)] \\ &= \frac{x}{\lambda} - 1.\end{aligned}$$

And for the second derivative:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial^2 \lambda} \log(f(\lambda; x)) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \left[ \frac{x}{\lambda} - 1 \right] \\ &= \frac{-x}{\lambda^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Then, the Fisher information is:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}(\lambda) &= -\mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \lambda^2} \log f(\lambda; x) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{x}{\lambda^2} \right] \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{\lambda^2} = \frac{1}{\lambda}.\end{aligned}$$

(b) The variance of the MLE is equal to:

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\lambda}) = \text{Var}(X) = \lambda.$$

And therefore the efficiency is:

$$e(\hat{\lambda}) = \frac{\mathcal{I}(\theta)^{-1}}{\text{Var}(\hat{\theta})} = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda} = 1.$$

So, the MLE is optimally efficient. It does as well as any unbiased estimator can do in terms of predicting the value of  $\lambda$  from the data.

3. Let  $X \sim \text{Binomial}(n, p)$  with  $n > 0$  a fixed and known constant.

(a) Compute the Fisher Information  $\mathcal{I}(p)$ .<sup>1</sup> (b) The MLE for  $p$  is equal to  $X/n$ . Find the efficiency of the MLE.

<sup>1</sup> Try to simplify this as much as possible. You should be able to get something that has a denominator equal to  $p(1-p)$ .

*Solution:* (a) We have the following for the first derivative the of the log likelihood:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial p} \log(f(p; x)) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \left[ \log\left(\binom{n}{x}\right) + x \cdot \log(p) + (n-x) \cdot \log(1-p) \right] \\ &= \frac{x}{p} - \frac{n-x}{1-p}.\end{aligned}$$

And for the second derivative:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial^2 p} \log(f(p; x)) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \left[ \frac{x}{p} - \frac{n-x}{1-p} \right] \\ &= \frac{-x}{p^2} + \frac{n-x}{(1-p)^2}\end{aligned}$$

Then, the Fisher information is:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{I}(p) &= -\mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p^2} \log f(p; x) \right] \\
 &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{x}{p^2} - \frac{n-x}{(1-p)^2} \right] \\
 &= \frac{np}{p^2} - \frac{n-np}{(1-p)^2} \\
 &= \frac{n}{p} - \frac{n(1-p)}{(1-p)^2} \\
 &= \frac{n}{p} - \frac{n}{(1-p)} \\
 &= n \cdot \left[ \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{1-p} \right] \\
 &= n \cdot \left[ \frac{(1-p) + p}{p(1-p)} \right] \\
 &= n \cdot \left[ \frac{1}{p(1-p)} \right] \\
 &= \frac{n}{p(1-p)}
 \end{aligned}$$

(b) The variance of the MLE is equal to:

$$\text{Var}(\hat{p}) = \text{Var}(X/n) = \frac{np(1-p)}{n^2} = \frac{p(1-p)}{n}.$$

And therefore the efficiency is:

$$e(\hat{p}) = \frac{\mathcal{I}(\theta)^{-1}}{\text{Var}(\hat{\theta})} = \frac{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}} = 1.$$

So, the MLE is optimally efficient. It does as well as any unbiased estimator can do in terms of predicting the value of  $p$  from the data.