

## Handout 19: Cramér-Rao Lower Bound

Consider a random variable  $X$  with a probability density function  $f(\theta; x)$  with one univariate parameter  $\theta$ . We can define a random variable  $V$ , called the **score**, as the derivative of the logarithm of the density of  $X$ .<sup>1</sup> We see that this has a nice form by applying the chain rule:

$$\begin{aligned} V &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} [\log(f(\theta; X))] \\ &= \frac{1}{f(\theta; X)} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} [f(\theta; X)]. \end{aligned}$$

The score measures the sensitivity of the data to the parameter  $\theta$ . However, because it can be positive or negative, on average it turns out that the score will have an expected value of zero:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}V &= \int f(\theta; x) \cdot \frac{1}{f(\theta; x)} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} [f(\theta; x)] dx \\ &= \int \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(\theta; x) dx = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \int f(\theta; x) dx = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} [1] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This holds for any value of  $\theta$ .

Because the positive and negative scores cancel each other out, in order to use the score as a measurement of the relationship between the parameter  $\theta$  and a value of the data  $X$ , we need to look at the square of the score. The expected value of this is called the **Fisher information**, commonly denoted by  $\mathcal{I}(\theta)$ :

$$\mathcal{I}(\theta) = \mathbb{E}[V^2 | \theta] = \text{Var}(V^2 | \theta).$$

The Fisher information serves as a measurement of how much information about  $\theta$  is provided by the data  $X$ . The Fisher information can change for different values of  $\theta$ , but does not depend on the data  $X$ , which has been integrated out.

Now, let  $T = t(X)$  be an unbiased point estimator for the parameter  $\theta$ . Let's look at the covariance of  $T$  and  $V$ , note that this is equal to just  $\mathbb{E}[VT]$  since the expected value of  $V$  is zero.<sup>2</sup> This has, by construction, a nice form:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(V, T) &= \int \left[ f(\theta; x) \times t(x) \times \frac{1}{f(\theta; x)} \times \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} [f(\theta; x)] \right] dx \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left[ \int t(x) f(\theta, x) dx \right] = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \mathbb{E}T = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Where the last step comes from the fact that  $T$  is unbiased. Next, we need to use the **Cauchy-Schwartz Inequality**, which for probability spaces says that covariance of two random variables is always less in absolute value than the square-root of the product of their variances.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> The important point is that the score tells us how much the density  $f$  changes at a point  $x$  with respect to  $\theta$ . The logarithm is there to make the score measure the relative change rather than the absolute change, which can also be seen through the application of the chain-rule.

<sup>2</sup> Recall that the covariance in general would be  $\mathbb{E}[(V - \mathbb{E}V)(T - \mathbb{E}T)]$ .

<sup>3</sup> The more general form says that the squared inner product  $|\langle u, v \rangle|^2$  is less than  $\langle u, u \rangle \cdot \langle v, v \rangle$ . Applying this to the integration with density  $f$  yields the probabilistic version.

Applying this to  $T$  and  $V$  shows that:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(T) \cdot \text{Var}(V) &\geq |\text{Cov}(V, T)|^2 \\ \text{Var}(T) \cdot \mathcal{I}(\theta) &\geq |1|^2 \\ \text{Var}(T) &\geq \frac{1}{\mathcal{I}(\theta)}. \end{aligned}$$

So, the variance of  $T$  can never be less than the inverse of the Fisher information. This provides a bound on the best that we can hope to do in terms of estimating the parameter  $\theta$  from the data  $X$ . This result is called the **Cramér-Rao** lower bound.

The **efficiency** of an unbiased estimator, written  $e(\hat{\theta})$ , provides a measurement of how far away the variance of the estimator is away from the Cramér-Rao bound. Namely, we have:

$$e(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{\mathcal{I}(\theta)^{-1}}{\text{Var}(\hat{\theta})}.$$

We say that an estimator is **efficient** if it has an efficiency of 1. Another way to state the Cramér-Rao bound is to simply say that the efficiency is never greater than 1.

Under some regularity conditions—in particular, that the logarithm of the density function  $f$  is twice-differentiable—the Fisher information can be written in a somewhat simplified form:

$$\mathcal{I}(\theta) = -\mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \log f(\theta; x) \right].$$

Typically, squaring the log density requires having a number of cross terms, whereas the second derivative removes a number of terms, simplifying the calculation. This is the version that we will use on the worksheet.

It is possible to extend the result above to the case where  $X$  and  $\theta$  are vectors. The extension for a vector  $X$ , which includes the important case of a random sample of size  $n$ , is fairly trivial. We just replace all of the single integrals above with  $n$ -dimensional integrals over  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Generalizing to a vector value for  $\theta$  is a bit more work, requiring some vector calculus that goes beyond the prerequisites for this course. The general idea, however, is very similar.