- LO 1. Distinguish between the explanatory variable(s) and the response variable in an experiment.
 - explanatory variable: a variable that is either controlled by the experimenter or assumed to be the cause of the outcome of the experiment.
 - **response variable**: the primary variable of interest that is believed to be affected by the values of the explanatory variables.

The designation of which variable is response or explanatory is often not fixed, and may be a decision of the investigator.

- LO 2. Distinguish between **observational** and **experimental** studies and the conclusions that can be derived from them.
 - **observational study**: draws inferences from a sample to a population where the explanatory variable is not under the control of the researcher. This may be because of ethical concerns, logistical constraints, or taking a *sample of convenience*.
 - **experimental study**: draws inferences from a sample in which the explanatory variable of interest is selected at random.

Casual relationships can only be identified through the use of experimental studies.

- LO 3. Understand the role of the null hypothesis and alternative hypothesis in inferential statistics.
 - Null hypothesis: A statement about an unknown parameter, usually that there is no relationship between two measured phenomena, or no association among groups. Denoted by H_0 .
 - Alternative hypothesis: A statement that directly contradicts the null hypothesis. Denoted by H_A
- LO 4. Define a **test statistic** and its **sampling distribution** under the null hypothesis (H_0) . Identify the value of a test statistic within R's output and as seen in scientific literature.
- LO 5. Understand how simulation can be used to produce a estimation of a sampling distribution.
- LO 6. Describe the **p-value** in terms of a inferential statistics
 - The **p-value** is the probability of observing a test statistics that is *at least as extreme* as the one observed.
 - A small p-value indicates strong support for the alternative hypothesis (H_A) in favor of the null hypothesis.
 - A large p-value does <u>not</u> indicate that the null hypothesis is likely to be true. Instead, it just indicates that there is no strong evidence against it. Think of a court trial where the defendant is found innocent.
- LO 7. Apply and interpret the use of the Z-test for differences in proportions within **R**. Memorize code for producing the test output from a dataset. Identify the null and alternative hypotheses, test statistic, p-value, and observed odds ratio.
- LO 8. Understand the assumptions behind the Z-test for difference in proportions and common alternatives:
 - **Z-test for proportions** (tmod_z_test_prop): assumes that independent variables are selected beforehand and samples are independent

- Fisher's Exact Test (tmod_chi_squared_test): assumes that the marginal sums of the contingency table are fixed and known
- Chi-squared Test (tmod_fisher_test): assumes that both categorical variables are randomly determined by the experiment

You should also understand that science and social science research commonly conflates these tests and you should not put much faith on their 'correct' usage. Also, the results are generally not too far off between each of the tests.

- LO 9. Install R, RStudio, and required packages on your laptop.
- LO 10. Understand how to start a new R notebook, load libraries, and execute code.
- LO 11. Organize tabular data using the unit of observation.
- LO 12. Produce a comma separated values (CSV) or Excel file with tabular data. Memorize code for reading the data into R.
- LO 13. Follow general naming conventions when constructing variable names.
 - only include lowercase letters, underscores, and numbers
 - do not start a variable name with a number of underscore
 - do not use spaces; replace with underscores when needed
 - keep names short and concise; do not add superfluous information (for example, use age instead of patient_age, age_years, or recorded_age unless you need to distinguish two types of age variables)
- LO 14. Understand the challenges of publication bias in scientific research and resulting replication crisis. Identify potential approaches for addressing these challenges, such as:
 - pre-registering results
 - outlets for null results
 - support for replication studies
 - better informing journalists and public about challenges of over-generalizing and over-hyping a single study