Program Evaluation(a): The Selection Problem

Chris Conlon

April 8, 2020

Applied Econometrics

Intro

Overview

This set of lectures will cover (roughly) the following papers:

Theory:

- Angrist and Imbens (1994)
- Heckman Vytlacil (2005/2007)
- Abadie and Imbens (2006)

And draw heavily upon notes by

- Guido Imbens
- Richard Blundell and Costas Meghir

The Evaluation Problem

- The issue we are concerned about is identifying the effect of a policy or an investment or some individual action on one or more outcomes of interest
- This has become the workhorse approach of the applied microeconomics fields (Public, Labor, etc.)
- Examples may include:
 - The effect of taxes on labor supply
 - The effect of education on wages
 - The effect of incarceration on recidivism
 - The effect of competition between schools on schooling quality
 - The effect of price cap regulation on consumer welfare
 - The effect of indirect taxes on demand
 - The effects of environmental regulation on incomes
 - The effects of labor market regulation and minimum wages on wages and employment

Example: Borjas (1987)

• Consider two countries (0/1) (source and host).

$$\ln w_0 = \alpha_0 + u_0$$
 with $u_0 \sim N(0, \sigma_0^2)$ source country $\ln w_1 = \alpha_1 + u_1$ with $u_1 \sim N(0, \sigma_1^2)$ host country

- Now we allow for migration cost of C which he writes in hours: $\pi = \frac{C}{w_0}$.
- Assume workers know everything; you only see $u_0 \ \mathsf{OR} \ u_1$ depending on country.
- Correlation in earnings is $\rho = \frac{\sigma_{01}}{\sigma_0 \sigma_1}$.

Example: Borjas (1987)

• Workers will migrate if:

$$(\alpha_1 - \alpha_0 - \pi) + (u_1 - u_0) > 0$$

• Who migrates? Probability of migration. Define $\nu = u_1 - u_0$.

$$P = \Pr\left[\nu > (\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 + \pi)\right] = \Pr\left[\frac{\nu}{\sigma_\nu} > \frac{(\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 + \pi)}{\sigma_\nu}\right]$$
$$= 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{(\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 + \pi)}{\sigma_\nu}\right) \equiv 1 - \Phi(z)$$

• Higher $z \to \text{less migration}$.

Example: Borjas (1987): How does selection work?

Construct counterfactual wages for workers in source country for those who immigrate:

• For now ignore mean differences $\alpha_0 = \alpha_1 = \alpha$.

$$\begin{split} E\left(w_{0}|\text{ Immigrate }\right) &= \alpha + E\left(\varepsilon_{0}|\frac{\nu}{\sigma_{\nu}} > z\right) \\ &= \alpha + \sigma_{0}E\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{0}}{\sigma_{0}}|\frac{\nu}{\sigma_{\nu}} > z\right) \end{split}$$

- Wages depend on:
 - 1. Mean earnings in the source country
 - 2. Both error terms (u_0, u_1) through ν
 - 3. Implicitly, it also depends on the correlation between the error terms.

Example: Borjas (1987): How does selection work?

• If everything is normal, we just run univariate regression $E\left(u_0|\nu\right)=\frac{\sigma_{0\nu}}{\sigma_{\nu}^2}\nu$:

$$E\left(\frac{u_0}{\sigma_0}\Big|\frac{\nu}{\sigma_\nu}\right) = \frac{1}{\sigma_0} \cdot \frac{\sigma_{0\nu}}{\sigma_\nu^2} \cdot \frac{\sigma_\nu^2}{\sigma_\nu^2} \cdot \nu = \frac{\sigma_{0\nu}}{\sigma_0\sigma_\nu} \frac{\nu}{\sigma_\nu} = \rho_{0\nu} \frac{\nu}{\sigma_\nu}$$

$$\begin{split} E\left(w_{0}|\text{ Immigrate }\right) &= \alpha_{0} + \sigma_{0}E\left(\frac{u_{0}}{\sigma_{0}}|\frac{\nu}{\sigma_{\nu}} > z\right) \\ &= \alpha_{0} + \rho_{0\nu}\sigma_{0}E\left(\frac{\nu}{\sigma_{\nu}}|\frac{\nu}{\sigma_{\nu}} > z\right) \\ &= \alpha_{0} + \rho_{0\nu}\sigma_{0}\left(\frac{\phi(z)}{1 - \Phi(z)}\right) \end{split}$$

• This hazard rate of the standard normal has a special name Inverse Mills Ratio E[x|x>z].

Example: Borjas (1987): How does selection work?

• A similar expression for those who do immigrate:

$$E\left(w_{1} | \text{ Immigrate }\right) = \alpha_{1} + E\left(u_{1} | \frac{\nu}{\sigma_{\nu}} > z\right)$$
$$= \alpha_{1} + \rho_{1\nu}\sigma_{1}\left(\frac{\phi(z)}{\Phi(-z)}\right)$$

• We can re-write both expressions in terms of the Inverse Mills Ratio

Inverse Mills Ratio

$$\begin{split} E\left(w_{0}|\text{ Immigrate }\right) &= \alpha_{0} + \rho_{0\nu}\sigma_{0}\left(\frac{\phi(z)}{1-\Phi(z)}\right) \\ &= \alpha_{0} + \frac{\sigma_{0}\sigma_{1}}{\sigma_{\nu}}\left(\rho - \frac{\sigma_{0}}{\sigma_{1}}\right)\left(\frac{\phi(z)}{1-\Phi(z)}\right) \\ E\left(w_{1}|\text{ Immigrate }\right) &= \alpha_{1} + \rho_{1\nu}\sigma_{1}\left(\frac{\phi(z)}{1-\Phi(z)}\right) \\ &= \alpha_{1} + \frac{\sigma_{0}\sigma_{1}}{\sigma_{\nu}}\left(\frac{\sigma_{1}}{\sigma_{0}} - \rho\right)\left(\frac{\phi(z)}{1-\Phi(z)}\right) \end{split}$$

Where $\rho = \sigma_{01}/\sigma_0\sigma_1$.

Positive Hierarchical Sorting

Let
$$Q_0 = E(u_0|I=1)$$
, $Q_1 = E(u_1|I=1)$ (expected skill of immigrants).

- Immigrants are positively selected and above average $(Q_0,Q_1)>0$ and $\frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_0}>1$ and $\rho>\frac{\sigma_0}{\sigma_1}$
 - $\frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_0} > 1$ returns to "skill" are higher in host country.
 - $\rho > \frac{\sigma_0}{\sigma_1}$ correlation between valued skills in both counties is high (similar skills valued in both countries).
- Best and brightest leave because returns to skill are too low in home country.

Negative Hierarchical Sorting

We swap the standard deviations:

- Immigrants are negatively selected and below average $(Q_0,Q_1)<0$ and $\frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_0}>1$ and $\rho>\frac{\sigma_0}{\sigma_1}$
 - $\frac{\sigma_0}{\sigma_1} > 1$ returns to "skill" are lower in host country.
 - $\rho > \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_0}$ correlation between valued skills in both counties is high (similar skills valued in both countries).
- Compressed wage structure attracts the low skill types because it provides "insurance" or "subsidizes" low wage workers.

Refugee/Superman Sorting?

- Immigrants are below average at home and above average in host $(Q_0<0,Q_1>1)$ and $\frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_0}>1$:
 - $\rho < \min\left(\frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_0}, \frac{\sigma_0}{\sigma_1}\right)$ being below average in source country makes you above average in host country.
- You are a nerdy intellectual in a country that values physical labor, or are otherwise discriminated against in the labor market.

The missing (fourth) case:

• Mathematically impossible $\rho > \max\left(\frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_0}, \frac{\sigma_0}{\sigma_1}\right)$

The Evaluation Problem

- Define an outcome variable Y_i for each individual
- Two potential outcomes for each person $\{Y_i(1), Y_i(0)\}$ depending on whether they receive treatment or not.
- Call $Y_i(1) Y_i(0) = \beta_i$ the Treatment effect.
- Two major problems:
 - All individuals have different treatment effects (heterogeneity).
 - We don't actually observe any one person's treatment effect! (Missing Data problem)
- ullet We need strong assumptions in order to recover $f(eta_i)$ from data.
- Instead we can characterize simpler functions such as $E[\beta_i]$ (ATE) or $E[\beta_i|T_i=1]$ (ATT) or $E[\beta_i|T_i=0]$ (ATC) with fewer restrictions.

More Difficulties

What is hard here?

- Heterogeneous effect of β_i in population.
- Selection in treatment may be endogenous. That is T_i depends on $Y_i(1), Y_i(0)$.
- Fisher or Roy (1951) model:

$$Y_i = (Y_i(1) - Y_i(0))T_i + Y_i(0) = \alpha + \beta_i T_i + u_i$$

- Agents usually choose T_i with β_i or u_i in mind.
- Can't necessarily pool across individuals since β_i is not constant.

Structural vs. Reduced Form

- Usually we are interested in one or two parameters of the distribution of β_i (such as the average treatment effect or average treatment on the treated).
- Most program evaluation approaches seek to identify one effect or the other effect. This leads to these as being described as reduced form or quasi-experimental.
- The structural approach attempts to recover the entire joint $f(\beta_i, u_i)$ distribution but generally requires more assumptions, but then we can calculate whatever we need.

Start with Easy Cases

- Let's start with the easy cases: run OLS and see what happens.
- OLS compares mean of treatment group with mean of control group (possibly controlling for other X)

$$\beta^{OLS} = E(Y_i|T_i = 1) - E(Y_i|T_i = 0)$$

$$= \underbrace{E[\beta_i|T_i = 1]}_{\text{ATT}} + \underbrace{\left(\underbrace{E[u_i|T_i = 1] - E[u_i|T_i = 0]}_{\text{selection bias}}\right)}_{\text{selection bias}}$$

- Even in absence of heterogeneity $\beta_i = \beta$ we can still have selection bias.
- $Y_i^0 = \alpha + u_i$ may vary within the population (this is quite common).