Bot Detective - User Study Protocol

Stav Ben-Tov Tel-Aviv University stavbentov5@gmail.com

1 STUDY HYPOTHESIS

We intend to conduct an online survey to evaluate the efficacy of Bot Detective. Our hypothesis posits that users who utilize Bot Detective will exhibit increased awareness of security and bot presence, as well as enhanced critical thinking when engaging with online information.

PARTICIPANT CHARACTERISTICS

Our plan is to conduct an online survey to reach people of all ages and locations, aiming to gather diverse insights without the need for physical presence. Our goal is to understand different perspectives, spanning from 16 to 80 years old. User behavior and perspectives on bots and Twitter usage are shaped by their country and surroundings. To address potential variations, we believe it's essential to conduct this survey in multiple countries.

SURVEY STRUCTURE

The study will be conducted through a pre- and post-usage survey. The survey will focus on changes in security awareness, critical thinking, and usability, resulting from the extension use over a two-month period.

The pre-usage (and initial) stage of the survey will involve assessing the participants' knowledge about bots, evaluating their ability to detect them, and estimating their awareness of their risks.

4 ONLINE SURVEY QUESTIONS

4.1 **Pre- Questions**

Pro

oduct	Motivation					
(1)) Are you interested in a tool that can enhance your online security and assist you in mitigating the influence of bots?					
	□ Yes	□ No				
(2)	provides additional inf	d in using a browser extension that formation about Twitter users, such een bots and humans?				
	□ Yes □ No	□ Don't Know				
(3)	informed about the au	how important is it for you to be thenticity of Twitter accounts you wasing social media? (1 being 'Not g 'Very Important')				

Tamir Davidi Tel-Aviv University tdavidi10@gmail.com

	○ 5
4)	Have you used any tools or methods in the past to determine whether a Twitter account is a bot or human?
	□ Yes □ No
5)	If yes, please rate the level of satisfaction you have experienced with it. (1 being 'Not Satisfied' and 5 being 'Very Satisfied') 1 2 3 4 5
6)	Do you believe that having a better understanding of bots on social media would contribute to a safer and more informed online experience?
	☐ Yes ☐ Don't ☐ No Know
7)	[These initial questions are designed to assess users' interest in browser extensions like Bot Detective. They provide an overall view of users' willingness to use a tool that offers insights about Twitter users' authenticity for improved online security and gives us knowledge about prior experience with similar solutions. The answers to these questions will help us understand the potential user base for Bot Detective and the extent to which our extension can meet users' needs.] Bot Awareness Please rate the extent to which you agree with the following definitions of bots. (1 being 'Strongly Disagree' and 5 being 'Strongly Agree'). (a) "Social bots are programs that automatically generate content, distribute it via a particular social network, and interact with its users" 1 2 3 4 5 5
	 (b) "Automated malware program that can infect a system, steal data, or commit other fraudulent activities" 1 2 3 4 5

(8) Do you believe you have come across bots on Twitter?

1

	☐ Yes	□ No	algorithms picked up	called Cynk. Automatic trading this conversation and started		
(9)	Do you typically att user whose tweet yo	empt to verify the authenticity of a u read?	in a 200-fold increase company's worth to	company's stocks. This resulted e in market value, bringing the \$5 billion. By the time analysts		
	☐ Yes	□ No		estration behind this operation suspended, the losses were real."		
(10)		the amount of time do you typically	☐ Yes	□ No		
	spend evaluating an ○ Around 1 minut	account to decide if it's a bot or not?	_ 163	_ 110		
	Around 5 minut		(b) "America learned Rus	sia had run a vast cyber and so-		
	O Around 10 minu			argeting the 2016 US presidential		
	O More then 10 m		election."			
(11)	~	, how frequently do you encounter				
		le browsing social media platforms?	☐ Yes	□ No		
	(1 being 'Rarely' and	5 being 'Very Frequently')				
	O 1		(c) "ISIS uses several pra	actices designed to amplify its		
	\bigcirc 2			Twitter, including "bots" (com-		
	O 3		puter software that cr	reates activity on a social media		
			account in the absen	ce of a human user) and spam		
(12)	-	how concerned are you about your	(purchased tweets pro	omoting ISIS content)."		
(12)		privacy while using social media? (1				
		d' and 5 being 'Very Concerned')	□ Yes	□ No		
	\bigcirc 1	,				
	○ 2			mpt to convince people to invest		
	○ 3			ies, and have even been thought		
	O 4		to steal from existing	crypto wallets"		
(10)	O 5	1 1 6 1 1				
(13)		please rate your level of trust in the	☐ Yes	□ No		
		hat you are not personally acquainted ciated with widely known organiza-	F			
		Trust' and 5 being 'High Trust')		ss participants' understanding of		
	○ 1			lents and their potential risks. By with such cases, we can demon-		
	O 2			t Detective in raising awareness		
	○ 3		about the impact of bots in			
	O 4		Presence Awareness			
	O 5		(16) How much content do you	think that bots are responsible		
(14)		h the practice of purchasing bot fol-	of on Twitter?	-		
	lowers to enhance so	cial engagement?	O Under 10%			
			O Under 20%			
	☐ Yes	□ No	O 20%-50%			
			○ More then 50%	1 11 1 1 1		
		nelp us assess participants' familiarity	(17) What percentage of Twitte	er users do you believe are bots?		
		which is important for understanding	○ Under 5%			
		ess and comprehension of bot-related	○ 5-20%○ 20-50%			
		they help us understand users experi- vitter, their cautiousness towards user	○ More then 50%			
		uency of encountering suspicious users,		that between 9% and 15% of active		
		erns, and the need for a tool like Bot		ecounts, and they are responsible		
	Detective.]	, Jo. a tool the Dol		ely 35% of the content on Twitter.		
	Bot Awareness			ve aim to understand if the partic-		
(15)		t the connection to bots in any of the	ipants' perspective on the pr	revalence of bots aligns with these		
	following cases:	•	findings. It will help us asses	ss how well-informed participants		
	(a) 15.1. "A recent	orchestrated bot campaign success-	are about the role of bots on the platform.]			

Bot Detection Ability

fully created the appearance of a sustained discussion

(18)	to as socia Conf	ssess the credibility of al media? (1 being 'No fident') 1 2 3 4	onfident are you in your ability information shared by users on ot Confident' and 5 being 'Very	ReganCera (Di ponts ReganCera (BreganCera				
(19)	19) In this section, we present you with real Twitter account and links to them. Your task is to evaluate whether yo		ask is to evaluate whether you	Not followed by anyone you're following	from the classified datasets (a,b,d are			
			oot or not based on your percep-	bots).				
	tion.			These questions aim to gauge participants' perceptions and				
	Click	κ on attached profile i	mage to explore it on Twitter:	_	s on social media platforms. They help ility to identify bots among real user			
	(a)	\Box Bot	☐ Human	accounts, which is esse and relevance of Bot 1	ential in evaluating the potential impact Detective.]			
				(20) Among the following	g features, which do you believe is a			
		← DennaMcsparren 108 posts		notable factor in det	ermining whether a user is a bot or			
		a guy and a girl	can be	not?	C			
		just friends, but	at one	 Number of follow 	wers.			
		oint or another		O Number of follo				
		fall for each		Number of digit				
			··· Follow	_				
		DennaMcsparren		O Rate of likes act	*			
		@DennaMcsparren		○ Username lengtl				
		Aspiring singer/songwriter/vocal producer. #DRVEN. the the more that I appear.(Jesus Is my homeboy)	e more you try to erase me		ns to understand participants' percep			
		Ø bit.ly/bi_?lx449 ☐ Joined March 2014			cant factors in identifying bot accounts			
				and whether they alig	n with the feature importance observed			
				in our model.]				
	(b)	⊔ Bot	☐ Human	After a use of 2-month asked to answer the followi	periods, the participants will be ing post-use questions			
		← LizbethAment 95 posts		4.2 Post- Questions				
				User Behavior				
				(1) Has the Bot Detective	e extension influenced your behavior			
		W W	Follow		accounts and content on Twitter or			
		LizbethAment		changed your percep	otion of the user's credibility?			
		@LizbethAment LOS ANGELES (v-bar) fashion (v-bar) music (v-bar) co	Man fr. had bonslere					
		romantic bit.ly/bi_7/5c33 Joined March 2014	the state of a state of a state of the state	\square Yes	□ No			
		151 Following 37 Followers						
		Not followed by anyone you're following		(2) Has the display of the	e bot percentage among 100 randomly			
					wers influenced you to alter your in-			
		_	_	teractions with that i				
	(c)	\square Bot	☐ Human	teruetrono with that	4001			
				☐ Yes	□ No			
		← A. R		□ les	□ No			
		9 posts			r actions would vary if the bot per- ined not from 100 randomly selected ll their followers?			
		A, R	Follow	□ Yes	□ No			
		A. R @AmalReynolds10 ¥						
		☑ Joined October 2019			ormed and cautious about interacting g the Bot Detective extension?			

 $\square \;\; Human$

 \square Bot

(d)

(5)	☐ Yes Did the articles presented in the contribute to your understanding		(12)	(12) In this section, we present you real Twitter accounts and links to them. Your task is to evaluate whether you believe each account is a bot or not based on your perception of the provided information. Click on attached profile image to explore it on Twitter:			
	☐ Yes	□ No		(a)	□ Bot] Human
(6)	Did the accuracy information proto bot/human indicators play a roprocess and feel necessary to yo	ole in your decision-making		()	LizbethAment So posts		
	□ Yes	□ No					
(7)	Have you refrained from interaction't know due to its bot classif				LizbethAment @LizbethAment LOS ANGELES (y-bar) fashion (y-bar) music (y-bar) oromantic	coffee (v-bar) hopeless	
	□ Yes	□ No			© bit.ly/bi_75c33 ☐ Joined March 2014 151 Following 37 Followers Not followed by anyone you're following		
(8)	Have you felt comfortable enga don't know due to its human cla			(b)	□ Bot		Human
	□ Yes	□ No			← A.R 9 posts		
(9)	[These questions delve into to on users' behavior and decision-mether the extension influences and enhances users' sense of awa User Perception Are you surprised by the number Detective) you have encountered	naking on Twitter, assessing interactions with accounts areness and caution.]			A. R @AmaiReynolds10 ¥ Solined October 2019	··· Follow	
	□ Yes	□ No			□ Bot		Human
(10)	Were there any instances where classification made by Bot Detect a bot or human)?				CDiana AUDA poets		
	☐ Yes	□ No			Diana @Rockenhighroad	Follow	
	[These questions aim to assess regarding bots have evolved aft essence, they help us determine tective in raising awareness and	ter using Bot Detective. In the effectiveness of Bot De-			Cet the tools to give YOU the edge in marketing. United States: @progresstYoughmiktgloois.bibined August 2009 L416 Following: 662 Followers	logspot.com	
	into the prevalence of bots on soc Bot Detection Ability			(c)	□ Bot		Human
(11)	On a scale of 1 to 5, how confide to assess the credibility of information social media? (1 being 'Not Confident') 1 2 2	mation shared by users on			cadence.	Tollow	
	○ 3○ 4○ 5				CadenceArens Insta: cadenceJeigh © Oklahoma D Joined April 2014 358 Following 378 Followers		

	[(a,c are bots).			\bigcirc 1	
	The purpose of this se	ction is to evaluate users' capacity to		○ 2	
	identify bots and comp	pare their performance to the previous		○ 3	
	test. This detection ex	ercise is composed of two human ac-		O 4	
	counts and two bot ac	counts. Notably, one human and one		O 5	
		ously classified by the participants in		•	are designed to gather feedback on var
		using Bot Detective. We are conduct-			Detective. They aim to assess usability
		th the assumption that users have not			al impact on browsing speed, challenge.
		nowledge about these accounts' true			alignment of the tool with their expec
		al classification. This approach allows			lt understanding, and perceived value o
	us to ascertain if users'	bot detection skills have improved. We			display feature. This feedback provides
	assume that using Bot	Detective can enhance users' ability		valuable insights in	to the extension's user experience, effec
	to independently ident	ify bots. We believe that participants		tiveness, and areas j	for improvement.]
	can gain insights into	the common characteristics shared by		Bot Detective Effect	iveness
	users classified as bots,	potentially enabling them to identify	(20)	On a scale of 1 to	5, 1 being 'Strongly Disagree' and 5
		heir own criteria for identifying bots.	, ,		ree', please rate your agreement with
		ate that Bot Detective will serve as a		the following states	
		ing users about bot-like behavior and			ective has made me more aware of the
		city to discern between genuine users			ts on social media platforms."
				_	its on social media platforms.
	and bots in other socia	i media too.]		O 1	
, .	Usability			\bigcirc 2	
(13)		How satisfied are you with the Bot		O 3	
		(1 being 'Not Satisfied' and 5 being		O 4	
	'Very Satisfied')			O 5	
	O 1			(b) "Using Bot Det	tective has encouraged me to critically
	O 2			_	nt and interactions on Twitter."
	○ 3				in and interactions on Twitter.
	O 4			\bigcirc 1	
	\bigcirc 5			\bigcirc 2	
(14)	•	peed on Twitter decreased since you		O 3	
(14)				O 4	
	started using Bot Det	ective?		O 5	
				(c) "If more users	will use Bot Detective we will be able
	☐ Yes	□ No			re secure and informed online environ
					re secure and informed offine environ
(15)		ng the Bot Detective extension in the		ment for you."	
	future?			O 1	
				\bigcirc 2	
	☐ Yes	□ No		O 3	
				O 4	
(16)	Were there any challe	enges or difficulties you faced while		O 5	
()		If yes, please elaborate.	(21)	Has your overall ne	rception of online security and privacy
(17)		et your expectations in terms of func-	(21)	changed since usin	
(1/)				changed since usin	g bot Detective:
	tionality and perform	ance:			
				☐ Yes	□ No
	☐ Yes	□ No			
			(22)	Has using Bot Dete	ective changed your perception of how
(18)		ibility of Bot Detective's results. (1		many bots are pres	ent on Twitter?
	being 'Not Understand	dable' and 5 being 'Very Understand-			
	able')			☐ Yes	□ No
	O 1			_ 100	_ 110
	\bigcirc 2			[7]	1
	\bigcirc 3				are designed to gauge the effectiveness of
	O 4				nancing users' awareness of bot presence
	O 5				ontent evaluation, and influencing their
(10)		atura that diaplays the hot			security. The responses will help validate
(19)		ature that displays the bot percentage		our hypothesis and	provide insights into the tool's impact or
	_	vers? (1 being 'Not Valuable' and 5		users' behavior and	mindset.]
	being 'Very Valuable')			