

Advanced Algorithms - Homework 5

Question 1

Players possible path

Player 1: $\{(s, A)\}, \{(s, V), (V, A)\}$

Player 2: $\{(s, V), (V, B)\}$

Player 3: $\{(s, C)\}, \{(s, V), (V, C)\}$

Profiles

$$R_1 = \{(s, A)\} + \{(s, V), (V, B)\} + \{(s, C)\} = 3 + (x + 6) + 2 = x + 11$$

$$R_2 = \{(s, A)\} + \{(s, V), (V, B)\} + \{(s, V), (V, C)\} = 3 + \left(\frac{x}{2} + 6\right) + \left(\frac{x}{2} + 1\right) = x + 10$$

$$R_3 = \{(s, V), (V, A)\} + \{(s, V), (V, B)\} + \{(s, C)\} = \left(\frac{x}{2} + 1\right) + \left(\frac{x}{2} + 6\right) + 2 = x + 9$$

$$R_4 = \{(s, V), (V, A)\} + \{(s, V), (V, B)\} + \{(s, V), (V, C)\} = \left(\frac{x}{3} + 1\right) + \left(\frac{x}{3} + 6\right) + \left(\frac{x}{3} + 1\right) = x + 8$$

Social Optimum - $R_4 = x + 8$

(Nash Equilibrium, PoS, PoA)

1. $x > 4 - \left(R_1, \frac{x+11}{x+8}, \frac{x+11}{x+8}\right)$
2. $x = 4 - \left(R_1, R_3, \frac{x+9}{x+8} = \frac{13}{12}, \frac{x+11}{x+8} = \frac{5}{4}\right)$
3. $4 > x > 3 - \left(R_3, \frac{x+9}{x+8}, \frac{x+9}{x+8}\right)$
4. $x = 3 - R_3, R_4 \left((R_3, R_4), \frac{x+8}{x+8} = 1, \frac{x+9}{x+8} = \frac{12}{11} \right)$
5. $3 > x \geq 0 - \left(R_4, \frac{x+8}{x+8} = 1, \frac{x+8}{x+8} = 1\right)$

Question 2

1. In the profile p_x , the cost of the strategy $\{sa, at\}$ is $3x + 6$, and the cost of the strategy $\{st\}$ is $3(10-x)$. The strategy P_x is a NE if (players on top path are stable) $3x + 6 \leq 3(11-x)$ and (players on lower path are stable) $3(10-x) \leq 3x + 9$ That is P_4 .

2. We need to find a function of social optimum then derive it, in order to find the global minimum. (we can make sure that is minimum by checking $x = 3$ and $x = 5$)

$$SC(x) = 3(10-x)^2 + (6+x)^2 + 2 \cdot x^2 = 6x^2 - 48x + 336$$

$$SC'(x) = 12x - 48$$

$$0 = 12x - 48, x = 4$$

$$SC(4) = 240$$

3. There is only one NE and is $P_4 \rightarrow PoS = \frac{NE_{best}}{SC} = \frac{240}{240} = 1$

Question 3

W.l.o.g let's say that the algorithm terminates when $C_1 > C_2$. Assume towards contradiction that $\frac{C_1}{2} > C_2$.

Mark $j' = \operatorname{argmin}_{j \in M_1}(p_j)$ and $C'_1 = C_1 - p_{j'}$, $C'_2 = C_2 + p_{j'}$.

If $job_{j'}$ is moved from M_1 to M_2 . The condition of termination is that for every job_j that scheduled in M_1 , moving j from M_1 to M_2 will not lower $|C_1 - C_2|$.

If $|C'_1 - C'_2| \geq |C_1 - C_2|$ then $C'_2 > C'_1$

$$C'_2 - C'_1 = C_2 + p_{j'} - (C_1 - p_{j'})$$

$$C_2 - C_1 + 2p_{j'} \geq C_1 - C_2 \text{ (because, } C_1 > C_2 \text{)}$$

$$2p_{j'} \geq 2C_1 - 2C_2 > 2\left(C_1 - \frac{1}{2}C_1\right) = C_1 \text{ (because what we assumed at the start)}$$

$$p_{j'} > \frac{C_1}{2}$$

$job_{j'}$ must be the only job on M_1 . If not, $p_j + p_{j'} \geq 2p_{j'} > C_1$.

Hence $job_{j'}$ is the only job on M_1 and $p_{j'} = C_1$. But

$$C_1 = p_{j'} \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_j p_j = \frac{1}{2}(C_1 + C_2) < \frac{1}{2}\left(C_1 + \frac{1}{2}C_1\right) = \frac{3}{4}C_1$$

Contradiction! $\frac{C_2}{2} \leq C_1 \leq 2C_2$. Let P be the approximation ratio, $P = \sum_j p_j = C_1 + C_2$, $OPT \geq \frac{P}{2} = \frac{1}{2}(C_1 + C_2)$.

In the worst case the algorithm terminates with $C_2 = \frac{C_1}{2} \rightarrow P = \frac{3C_1}{2}$, then $\frac{ALG}{OPT} \leq \frac{\frac{2P}{3}}{\frac{P}{2}} = \frac{4}{3}$

W.l.o.g let $C_1 > C_2$, and let job_j be the longest job that can be migrated that improves the gap.

Mark the gap as $D = C_1 - C_2$, moving job_j improves the gap so the $D' \leq D$.

1. If $C'_2 > C'_1$ then $D' = C'_2 - C'_1 = C_2 - C_1 + 2p_j = -D + 2p_j \leq D$, then $D' = -D + 2p_j \leq -p_j + 2p_j = p_j$. The new gap $< p_j$, and in every iteration the gap decreases until it stops. job_j will not be migrated again, therefore it is migrated at most once.
2. If $C'_1 > C'_2$ then the gap decreased, and job_j won't move again until $C_2 > C_1$ but then migrating job_j will only increase the gap so it won't move again.
3. If $C'_1 = C'_2$ then the algorithm terminates.

Therefore, every job is moved at most once \rightarrow algorithm terminates after at most n moves.

Question 4

As we discussed in the class the worst case of the algorithm is when the cow walks towards the hole and just before the hole the cow turns around.

Let $OPT = 2^j + \epsilon$ where $j = \text{\#iterations}$

Each distance the cow walked 4 times except the last d which the cow walks 2 times and the OPT value.

$$\begin{aligned} 4(2^0 + 2^1 + 2^2 + \dots + 2^j) + 2 \cdot 2^{j+1} + 2^j + \epsilon &= 4(2^{j+1}-1) + 4 \cdot 2^j + 2^j + \epsilon \\ &= 8 \cdot 2^j - 4 + 4 \cdot 2^j + 2^j + \epsilon \leq 8 \cdot 2^j + 4 \cdot 2^j + 2^j + \epsilon = 13 \cdot 2^j + \epsilon \\ &\leq 13 \cdot OPT \end{aligned}$$

The competitive ratio is 13

Question 5

Let M = maximum match and N = maximal match. Let e be an edge in $M \setminus N$, then in $N \setminus M$ there is at most 2 edges touching the vertices of $e \rightarrow |M \setminus N| \leq 2|N \setminus M|$

We know that for any set the following statement is valid: $|M| = |M \cap N| + |M \setminus N|$

$$|M| = |M \cap N| + |M \setminus N| \leq |M \cap N| + 2|N \setminus M| \leq 2|M \cap N| + 2|N \setminus M| = 2|N|$$

$|M| \leq 2|N| \rightarrow$ maximal matching is a 2-approximation for the maximum matching.

Online Algorithm

1. Let M be empty set (the matching group of edges)
2. Foreach $u \in U$
 - a. Foreach $e = (u, v \mid v \in V)$ (foreach edge that touching u and any $v \in V$)
If v still exists, Then $M = M \cup \{e\}, V = V \setminus \{v\}$. Break to step 2.

Correctness

The algorithm finds matching because there are no 2 edges that sharing the same vertex (we are achieving it by " $V = V \setminus \{v\}$ ")

2-Competitive

Let M be matching, the output of the algorithm. Assume towards contradiction that M is not a maximal matching then there is M' matching that $M \subset M'$ then there is an edge, $e = (u, v)$ s.t $e \in M' \setminus M$, this is impossible situation because when the vertex u was revealed the algo chose not to add it because either v is already deleted or another edge that touching u is picked, hence M' is not matching and M is maximal. Straight from 5.1 we can say that $2 \cdot M \leq \text{matching}_{\text{maximum}}$

Let $V = \{v_1, v_2\}$ and $U = \{u_1, u_2\}$. Given a deterministic algorithm *Algo* we need to prove that its competitive ratio is at least 2.

1. u_1 is revealed, edges are $(v_1, u_1), (v_2, u_1)$
2. *Algo* have 2 options
 - a. If *Algo* selects (v_1, u_1) reveal u_2 with edge $(v_1, u_2) \rightarrow$ *Algo* can't add u_2 into the match but $OPT = 2, \{(v_2, u_1), (v_1, u_2)\}$
 - b. If *Algo* selects (v_2, u_1) reveal u_2 with edge $(v_2, u_2) \rightarrow$ *Algo* can't add u_2 into the match but $OPT = 2, \{(v_2, u_2), (v_1, u_1)\}$

Therefore, we can see that $\frac{OPT}{\text{Algo}} = 2 \rightarrow$ *Algo* is 2-competitive